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Abstract

Heavy metal pollution by both uranium and arsenic has become a major environmental problem associated with uranium mining worldwide. At present, physical, chemical and biological technologies are available as the main remediation techniques. Among them, phytoremediation is relatively low cost, hinders more pollution and allows for fast recycling of the uranium as compared to other techniques. However, suitable phytoremediation depends critically on the better choice of plant species. In this study, field sampling of soils and plants surrounding a uranium mine was conducted, and atomic emission spectrometry of samples performed, in order to characterize the distribution of heavy metal pollution and to provide a scientific basis for the phytoremediation of uranium mining sites. Soil uranium concentrations were found to be highest in open-pit mine sites, followed by ore dressing investigation sites and also the river confluence sites. Uranium did not migrate from active mining areas and the highest uranium concentration measured 232.70 mg/kg. In contrast, arsenic regularly migrated downstream, with soil concentrations averaging 47.26 mg/kg, two times the limit set by the Three Grade Standard of Soil Quality in China (GB 15618-1995). Rumex nepalensis accumulated high levels of uranium, with a bioconcentration factor of 3.60 and a transfer factor of 3.61. Polygonum viviparum was able to accumulate arsenic, with a root transfer factor of 3.69, and also uranium as indicated by a bioconcentration factor greater than one. Thus, our investigations improved the understanding of the potential role of Polygonum viviparum and Rumex nepalensis involved in phytoremediation of uranium or uranium-arsenic pollution.
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Introduction

The nuclear industry has accelerated global demand for uranium (U). During the past 60 years, uranium has become one of the world’s most important energy minerals [1]. However, uranium mining originates at the cost of ecological destruction and environmental pollution. Waste products from uranium mining contain many harmful metals [2]. Plants uptake and accumulate these metals from polluted soil, and these toxic products are potentially harmful to animals and human beings via the food chain [3]. Pollution containing uranium-arsenic complexes are a particular cause of anxiety demanding special measures to remediate [4]. Uranium can cause cancer in animals because of its chemical toxicity and radiation production [5]. Arsenic (As) is a toxic element often found in uranium mines [6]. Compared to other remediation methods, such as physical fixation and chemical leaching, phytoremediation (i.e., the use of living plants to remove pollutants) is relatively low cost, simple to implement and does not produce any secondary effect of pollution [7]. Phytoremediation can also promote the reestablishment of diverse plant and arthropod communities [8]. Therefore, phytoremediation is preferred by researchers all around the world [9].

The development of phytoremediation technology is still in its infancy, and a number of challenges remain to be solved [10]. For example, the spatial distribution of heavy metals can strongly influence the distribution of susceptible plant species in mine-polluted environments [11]. Metal concentrations tend to affect the distribution of herbaceous species more strongly than those of woody species in serpentine soils [12]. However, trace metals in the soil are not a single force affecting the distribution of supper accumulator plant species, but soil properties, climatic factors, and human activities are also key factors in this scenario [13]. Soil-moisture may be a significant factor influencing vegetation type in herbaceous communities [14]. The distributions of hyper-accumulator plant species are thought to closely correlate with soil pollution.

By using lab tests, duckweed has been shown to reduce uranium concentrations ranging from 300 μg·L⁻¹ to 100 μg·L⁻¹ in 1 L of water, which is recommended for maximum allowable concentrations [15]. The Edenspace Company carried out a pilot phytoremediation project for uranium-polluted soils in the United States Army Aberdeen Weaponry Test Site from 1997-1999. The project found that the remediation of uranium-contaminated soils by planting hyper-accumulator species was a cost-effective and environmentally friendly method [16]. Such phytoremediation has also been used to remediate arsenic-polluted mine soils. Centipede grass acts as an arsenic supper accumulator, with accumulated arsenic accumulation accounting for up to 2.3% of a plant’s biomass [17]. Similarly, sea poppy species were used successfully to remediate arsenic-polluted soils surrounding a silver mine in Turkey [18]. However, most examples of arsenic and uranium phytoremediation to date originated from greenhouse or pilot studies only – not from natural areas polluted by mine tailings.

Heavy metals are elements with high metallic properties with atomic numbers above 20, that of sodium [19]. The most common heavy metal contaminants are Cd, Cr, Hg, Pb, Cu, Zn, and As [20]. Heavy metals can enter the environment both naturally and anthropogenically. The major production causes are anthropogenic sources, specifically mining exploration [21]. The accumulation of heavy metals often leads to soil degradation and ecosystem failure. Heavy metals can be released into food chains via polluted water, air and soil, and consequently cause food contamination, therefore producing a threat to human and animal health [22]. Heavy metal pollution is a global problem that needs the combined efforts of scientists, governments, and communities [23]. Different methods, such as geoaccumulation index (Igeo), pollution load index (PLI), biogeochemical index (BGI), enrichment factor (EF) and potential risk index, have been widely used to assess the contamination level of heavy metals in industrial sites [24-28]. Luo et al. (2014) have investigated heavy metals pollution in soils from the study zones by pollution load index (PLI). According to their studies, elements Sr, Cu, Ni, Pb and Zn environmental risk occurred in the Zoige uranium mine [29]. However, no information concerning the assessment of uranium and arsenic ecological risk has been found in the literature.

The Zoige uranium mine, located in southwestern China, has been the site of extensive research into mineral resources and geological prospecting. Peng et al. examined vegetation growing on mine tailings using a ground-based spectrometer. Results show that the red edge of the vegetation was blue-shifted, indicating heavy metal pollution in soils and plants near the heap of the U mine [30]. In recent years, a little attention has been directed toward the remediation of As- and U-polluted areas, as well as toward heavy-metal tolerant plant species. *Elymus dahuricus* can tolerate high copper concentrations [31], while *Polygonum viviparum* was used to phytoremediate the Zoige peat areas [32].

The objective of this study was to screen plant species for their ability to rehabilitate As- and U-contaminated soils in former uranium mining or milling sites [33]. In order to improve efficiency in screening potential accumulator species for phytoremediation, it may be helpful to know the identity of species growing in polluted areas. In this study, (1) the migration potential of arsenic and uranium was assessed and their distributions mapped, and (2) the accumulation potential and distributions of plant species in polluted areas were examined in order to choose which plant species may be best suited for remediation efforts.
**Materials and Methods**

**Description of the Study Area and Sample Sites**

The Zoige uranium mine is located in China's southwestern Sichuan Province. The area surrounding the mine experiences a sub-humid climate typical of the plateau, with an average annual precipitation of 900 mm falling predominantly from July to September; the temperature ranges from a low of -26ºC to a high of 29ºC. This area also comprises a highly promising and valuable uranium resource base for China, with more than 10 uranium deposits and 20 ore deposits discovered to date [34].

The study area included an open-pit mine, an area of artificial remediation and sites along the Z river, as well as several ore-dressing sites along the Y river. Finally, the S river, a confluence of the Y and Z rivers. To evaluate the level of heavy metal contamination in soils and the remediation capability of tolerant plant species in the mine-polluted area, a variety of soil and plant samples were collected from the study area in 2017. Some sample plants of *Rumex nepalensis* (R) and *Polygonum viviparum* (P) were listed in Y and Z rivers (Fig. 1). All mining activity took place upstream on the rivers, as shown in Fig. 1, which means that poor management of mine waste products can potentially create a serious environmental hazard for downstream ecosystems, as well as for nearby living human beings.

**Plant and Soil Sampling and Analysis**

The GPS coordinates of all sample points were recorded (Columbo A6Gps) and a portable radiometer (FD3013 gamma-ray detector/gamma radiometer) was used to measure gamma radiation at each point. Herbaceous plant samples included both below- and above-ground plant parts. Shrubs were sampled by cutting off small twig pieces. Soil samples included the soil layers from 0 to 20 cm deep and all the samples were transported to a laboratory. Plant samples were first chopped into small pieces, dried at 70ºC and then ground to powder. Soil samples were air-dried at room temperature and then all the samples were passed through a 100-mesh screen.

Soil metallic elements were quantified following the method of Zhang et al. (2007). A 0.1 g soil sample was first incubated with a mixture of 7 mL of nitric acid, 2 mL of hydrofluoric acid and 1 mL of hydrogen...
peroxide in a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) digestion tank at 180°C for half an hour. The PTFE digestion tank was then placed in a microwave digest system (Anton Paar Multiwave PRO), where the sample was digested at 140°C and 200°C for 30 min, respectively. After digestion, 1 mL of perchlorate and 2 mL of nitric acid were added to dissolve solids. Samples were then washed with 5 mL of distilled water before diluting to a final volume of 25 mL in a volumetric flask [35].

Determining As and U concentrations in plant tissues followed by Zhong et al., (2016), starting with 0.2 g of each plant sample and incubating overnight in a PTFE tank with 10 mL of nitric acid. The tank contents were then supplemented with 2 mL of hydrogen peroxide and heated to 180°C in a graphite digestion instrument (SH220) for roughly half an hour until only 2-3 mL of solution remained. Samples were washed with 10 mL of distilled water and stored in 50 mL polypropylene tubes [36]. After sample preparation, the arsenic and uranium concentrations in both plant and soil samples were determined using electron-coupled plasma emission spectrometry (iCAP6500). This method is relatively cheap and high in efficiency, with a detection limit of 10 μg/L [37].

Environmental Risk Assessment

The coefficient of variation (CV), was calculated as follows [37]:

\[
CV = \sigma / \overline{x} \\
\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \overline{x})^2}
\]

(1)

(2)

…where \(x_i\) represents the metal concentration (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) in a soil sample and \(\overline{x}\) represents the average metal concentration (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) in the study area soils. After calculating CVs for each soil heavy metal in this study, the spatial variability in each analysis was rated: a CV<10% was classified as low variability, 10%<CV<100% moderate variability and a CV>100% high variability.

According to Huang and Jin., (2008), a single factor index (Pi) can be used to quantify the extent of heavy metal accumulation in soils:

\[P_i = C_i / C_0\]

(3)

…where \(C_i\) is the measured concentration of the heavy metal (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) in a sample and \(C_0\) is the background concentration of the same element in the study area (mg kg\(^{-1}\)). A \(P_i\leq1\) indicates that a soil sample is unpolluted, while 1<\(P_i\leq2\) indicates slight pollution, 2<\(P_i\leq3\) light pollution, 3<\(P_i\leq5\) moderate pollution and \(P_i\geq5\) heavy pollution [38].

An environmental risk assessment measure of heavy metal pollution [39], the pollution load index (PLI), is often used to characterize spatial trends in heavy metal pollution:

\[PLI = (CF_1 \times CF_2 \times CF_3 \times \ldots \times CF_n)^{1/n}\]

(4)

All ionic concentrations are expressed in mg kg\(^{-1}\) and CF is the ratio between the measured content of each metal to its background value in sediment, i.e., \(CF = C_{(metal)/C_{(background)}}\) (mg kg\(^{-1}\)). The CF values are categorized into four, where: CF<1 indicates low contamination, 1<CF<3 is moderate contamination, 3≤CF<6 is considerable contamination, and CF≥6 is very high contamination. A site value of 0≤PLI<1 was considered to be unpolluted, while 1≤PLI<2 was considered lightly polluted, 2≤PLI<3 moderately polluted and PLI≥3 highly polluted. Moderately to highly polluted (3≤PLI<4), highly polluted (4≤PLI<5), or very highly polluted (PLI≥5).

Identification and Analysis of Arsenic and Uranium in Plant Samples

Most plant species found in the study area were strictly wild alpine species. Samples from observed plant species were collected in November 2017 and then dried. Plant species were identified using relevant literature, picture comparisons and software, because regional differences occurred for some species names.

For both arsenic and uranium, the bioconcentration factor (BCF) and transfer factor (TF) were calculated for each plant sample. The bioconcentration factor measured the tendency for a metal pollutant accumulation in plants, and it was calculated as BCF = \(C_{(soil)/C_{(root)}}\) (mg kg\(^{-1}\)), where \(C_{(soil)}\) was the concentration of the targeted metal in a plant root sample and \(C_{(soil)}\) was the background concentration of the metal in the soil [40]. The transfer factor was the ratio of metal concentrations in the plant shoots versus roots, i.e., TF = \(C_{(shoot)/C_{(root)}}\) (mg kg\(^{-1}\)), where \(C_{(shoot)}\) was the metal concentration in the shoots and \(C_{(root)}\) the concentration in the roots [41].

![Fig.2. Heat map of soil arsenic and uranium concentrations (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) across the sample region created using ArcGIS software: a) uranium concentrations in the study area (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) and b) arsenic concentrations in the study area (mg kg\(^{-1}\)).](image-url)
Statistical Analyses

Data was analyzed using SPSS 22, Microsoft Word and Excel. The spatial distributions of arsenic and uranium pollution, as well as plant species distributions, were determined by using a geographical information systems (GIS) software package. The 3D geological model in Fig. 1 was drawn using Surfer.

Results and Discussion

Characterization of Arsenic and Uranium Soil Contamination

Arsenic and Uranium Distribution

Arsenic and uranium contamination in the study area is illustrated by using a red-green color key (Fig. 2). The U distribution was depicted in Fig. 2(a). Soils surrounding the open-pit mine and artificial restoration area contained significant amounts of uranium, reaching up to 232.70 mg·kg⁻¹. Uranium concentrations were highest in soils surrounding the open-pit mine, followed by the mineral covering the investigation site and ultimately the river intersection. In addition, uranium concentrations were lower downstream than the upstream of the Y and Z rivers. This could be attributed to the concrete walls of the tailings dam, which may reduce the risk of uranium migrating toward the downstream (Fig. 1). Soil arsenic concentrations covering the study area ranged from 10.72 mg·kg⁻¹ to 146.22 mg·kg⁻¹. In contrast to U, arsenic migration toward the downstream was in abundance on the S river (Fig. 2(b)). The observed levels of As and U contamination may also be affected by external factors such as mine development, ore-stacking and mineral processing (Fig. 2).

Assessment of Arsenic and Uranium Pollution

An overview of arsenic and uranium contamination in mine surface soils was presented in Table 1. Soil As and U concentrations averaged 44.39 mg·kg⁻¹ and 19.62 mg·kg⁻¹, respectively (Table 1). The arsenic concentration in mine soils was not only four times higher than average for Chinese brown soils, but also double to more than the environmental target level set by the Chinese Environmental Quality Standards for Soils (GB 15618-1995). The standard deviations of the mean arsenic and uranium concentrations were similar, at 31.96 mg·kg⁻¹ and 39.79 mg·kg⁻¹, respectively. In the study area near the Zoige uranium mine, the large CV for U (198%) indicated that the concentration of U fluctuated more than other sites, while the CV of As (72%) indicated a moderate degree of variability (Table 1). The single factor index (P_i) revealed moderate arsenic pollution in soils (1<P_i<2), but the pollution load index (PLI) indicated light arsenic pollution (1<PLI<2). For uranium, both P_i and PLI indicated heavy uranium pollution (P_i≥5 and PLI≥3).

Comparisons with Previous Studies

Uranium concentrations in unpolluted soils have been reported to range from 1.90 mg·kg⁻¹ to 4.40 mg·kg⁻¹ worldwide [42]. But the U concentrations found in this study were higher than previous data reported for unpolluted soils in China (Table 1). It indicated that contamination occurred due to mining activities in Zoige (Fig. 2). Several studies have found similar mine-associated contamination and have correlated uranium abundance with radioactivity levels [43]. However, U concentrations were not found to covary with As concentrations in space. In addition, arsenic migration downstream on the S river, reaching high levels at downstream peat swamp [44]. This may be due to the high clay content of fine-grained sediments in the peat swamp that potentially increases the arsenic absorption [45]. Another possibility is that high arsenic levels are correlated with large quantities of dissolved organic matter or other geological factors, such as plant decomposition or sediment depositions [46].

Phytoaccumulation of Arsenic and Uranium

Characterization of Arsenic and Uranium Accumulation in Plants

Twenty-four different plant species, including Leontopodium dedekensii, Polygonum viviparum and Gentiana straminea, were identified from the collected samples (Table 2). The composition of plant communities was relatively simple, and three endemic Chinese plant species were identified: Anaphalis lactea, Artemisia divarica and Cremanthodium lineare. The dominant herb families included Compositae,

Table 1: Arsenic and uranium pollution in the study area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Mean (mg·kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>SD (mg·kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>Background (mg·kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>P_i</th>
<th>PLI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>44.39</td>
<td>31.96</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>19.62</td>
<td>39.79</td>
<td>198%</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>6.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard deviation CV: Coefficient of variation P_i: Single factor pollution index PLI: Pollution load index Background values were obtained from the literature (National Environmental Protection Bureau. Background value of soil elements in China [M]. China Environmental Science Press, 1990.).
Polygonum and Gentianaceae, while the next most common species belonged to Leguminosae, Gramineae and Ranunculaceae. Berberis polyantha, Hippophae rhamnoides, Rhododendron zheguense, Rhododendron atropurpureum, Spiraea mongolica, Salix zheguensiana, and Platycladus orientalis were found as short shrub species. The most common shrub species were Rhododendron zheguense, Salix zheguensiana, and Spiraea mongolica, which belonged to the Ericaceae, Rosaceae and Salicaceae, respectively (Table 2).

The concentration of uranium was much higher in sample plant roots than shoots (Fig. 3a). By comparing plant species, much higher uranium concentrations were found in Rumex nepalensis, reached 168.11 mg·kg⁻¹ in the roots, and Polygonum viviparum at 73.20 mg·kg⁻¹. Other plant species also showed higher rates of accumulation of U: Spiraea mongolica (49.58 mg·kg⁻¹), Saussurea decurrens (37.11 mg·kg⁻¹), Anaphalis lactea (35.29 mg·kg⁻¹) and Salix zheguensiana (28.10 mg·kg⁻¹). The plant species with the highest U concentrations in their shoots were: Polygonum viviparum (29.76 mg·kg⁻¹), Anaphalis lactea (18.67 mg·kg⁻¹), Spiraea mongolica (18.53 mg·kg⁻¹) and Elymus dahuricus (14.09 mg·kg⁻¹) (Fig. 3b). The highest arsenic concentration in roots, averaging 50.88 mg·kg⁻¹, was found in Artemisia divarica, followed by Elymus dahuricus with 21.24 mg·kg⁻¹ (Fig.3c). Spiraea mongolica (16.78 mg·kg⁻¹), Saussurea decurrens (15.05 mg·kg⁻¹), Herba artimisiae (14.97 mg·kg⁻¹), Polygonum viviparum (14.95 mg·kg⁻¹) and Cremanthodium lineare (11.15 mg·kg⁻¹) in roots. Arsenic concentration in Polygonum viviparum was (38.27 mg·kg⁻¹), followed by Saussurea decurrens and Cremanthodium lineare, which contained 10.15 mg·kg⁻¹ and 10.11 mg·kg⁻¹ of As in shoots, respectively (Fig 3d). Arsenic concentrations in the other tested plant species were relatively lower than 10 mg·kg⁻¹.

All the plant species identified in the study area were cold-resistant and impervious to heavy metals (Table 2). Species belonging to Compositae, Gentianaceae and Polygonaceae have been reported to have high radiation resistance and antioxidant properties [47]. Plant species have previously been categorized based on their capacity to accumulate uranium in their tissues as follows: super accumulators (average U concentration greater than 100 mg·kg⁻¹), high accumulators (10-100 mg·kg⁻¹), moderate accumulators (1-10 mg·kg⁻¹) and low accumulators (below 1 mg·kg⁻¹) [48] (Fig. 3). Using these classifications, Rumex nepalensis, a super accumulator, was potentially useful for the remediation of slightly to moderately uranium-contaminated soils [49]. Meanwhile, Anaphalis lactea, Saussurea decurrens and Polygonum viviparum were high accumulators; Gentiana straminea and Rhododendron zheguense were moderate accumulators; and Leontopodium dedekensii was a low accumulator. Frequent studies of U accumulation in these plant species make them promising to be used as phytoremediators [50]. Some shrub species also have the ability to accumulate high U concentrations (Fig. 3); this may be due to their root penetration into bedrock, which increases exposure to uranium [51]. None of the tested plant species had high arsenic concentrations that can be considered as super accumulators [52]. However, the ability of these plants to translocate and accumulate As to a lesser degree may still be useful for phytostabilization [53].

**Comparison of Arsenic and Uranium Accumulation in Plants**

The BCF and TF were calculated for U in plant samples, and index values were shown in Table 3. An average bioconcentration factor (BCF) of greater than one was found for the following plant species: Gentiana straminea (10.88), Lomatogonium rotatum (7.66), Rumex nepalensis (3.60), Spiraea mongolica (3.47), Anaphalis lactea (2.81), Polygonum viviparum (2.02) and Cirsium japonicum (1.47). Similarly, an average transfer factor (TF) of greater than one was found for the following

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species name</th>
<th>Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Artemisia divarica</td>
<td>Composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaphalis lactea</td>
<td>Composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astragalus handeltii</td>
<td>Leguminous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemone rivularis</td>
<td>Ranunculus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berberis polyantha</td>
<td>Berberid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirsium japonicum</td>
<td>Composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cremanthodium lineare</td>
<td>Composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elymus dahuricus</td>
<td>Grasses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentiana straminea</td>
<td>Gentianke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentiana veitchiorum</td>
<td>Gentianke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herba Artimisiae</td>
<td>Composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippophae rhamnoides</td>
<td>Elaeagnus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leontopodium dedekensii</td>
<td>Composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lomatogonium rotatum</td>
<td>Gentianke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platycladus orientalis</td>
<td>Cupressaceae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potentilla anserine</td>
<td>Rosaceae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polygonum viviparum</td>
<td>Polygonum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saussurea decurrens</td>
<td>Composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiraea mongolica</td>
<td>Rosaceae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix zheguensiana</td>
<td>Salicaceae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhododendron atropurpureum</td>
<td>Ericaceous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhododendron zheguense</td>
<td>Ericaceous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumex nepalensis</td>
<td>Polygonum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
species: *Salix zhegushanica* (8.22), *Rumex nepalensis* (3.61), *Hippophae rhamnoides* (1.62) and *Herba artemisiae* (1.44) (Table 3). The highest BCF (around one) was found in *Leontopodium dedekensii*, followed by *Polygonum viviparum* and *Cirsium japonicum*, which had BCFs close to 0.5, indicating arsenic pollution. The plant species with TF>1 were *Herba artemisiae* (5.25), *Polygonum viviparum* (2.04), *Salix zhegushanica* (1.53), *Rumex nepalensis* (1.23) and *Anaphalis lactea* (1.07). In addition, the maximum arsenic TF observed ranged across species from 0.1 to 30.52 (Table 4).

The BCF and TF values play an essential role in determining the ability of a species to remove heavy metals from contaminated soils (Table 3). Plant species with index values (BCF and TF) of greater than one can absorb heavy metals from the soil. Furthermore, plant species with BCF>1 and TF<1 can accumulate high concentrations of metals in their roots [54]. As concerns uranium, plant species with BCF>1 may act as accumulators and even super accumulators can be used for U removal from the soil [55]. In this study, only *Rumex nepalensis* had both a BCF and TF very high concentrations of U in both roots and shoots [56]. Transfer factors varied from species to species. Accumulation of U in shoots and leaves can produce some of the strongest toxic effects in plants due to the impact on photosynthesis, indicating that plants with high U concentrations in their aboveground parts are incredibly tolerant of U pollution [57]. Plant species with relatively higher BCFs for arsenic hold promise for phytoextraction and, consequently, for *in situ* bioremediation of arsenic-polluted areas [58] (Table 4).
Concentrations of arsenic and uranium in *Polygonum viviparum* and *Rumex nepalensis* were found to accumulate in the tissues of these two plant species. The highest uranium concentration was found in *Rumex nepalensis* at sample site R4 (178.60 mg \(\times\) kg\(^{-1}\)) and in *Polygonum viviparum* at site P1 (118.28 mg \(\times\) kg\(^{-1}\)). These high concentrations may be related to the location where these samples were collected adjacent to the open-pit mine. Uranium concentrations were also high, reaching 43.19 mg \(\times\) kg\(^{-1}\) for *Rumex nepalensis* and 67.99 mg \(\times\) kg\(^{-1}\) for *Polygonum viviparum* in samples collected from sites P6 and R6, which were located by the tailings pond. Overall, arsenic and uranium concentrations in plant tissues were higher at sites near

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant species</th>
<th>BCF</th>
<th>TF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaphalis lactea</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirsium japonicum</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elymus dahuricus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentiana straminea</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herba Artimisiae</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippophae rhamnoides</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lomatogonium rotatum</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polygonum viviparum</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumex nepalensis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiraea mongolica</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix zhegushanica</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BCF: The bioconcentration factor (BCF) = C (root)/C (soil); TF: Transfer factor (TF) = C (shoot)/C (root).
mining activity than other sites. Arsenic accumulated to a lower level than uranium in both plant species. The accumulation of uranium in *Rumex nepalensis* was higher than *Polygonum viviparum*, but it was the contrary for arsenic, as arsenic and uranium accumulation in *Polygonum viviparum* were interconnected, but this was not found in *Rumex nepalensis*. Thus *Rumex nepalensis* is potentially suitable for removal of uranium and *Polygonum viviparum* can be used to remove for both arsenic and uranium (Fig. 4).

Implications for Phytoremediation and Environmental Management

Plant species distributions are formed by long-term adaptation and species-environment interactions. Phytoremediation areas had high uranium concentrations and radiation levels in our study. Most plant species were unable to grow under those conditions, with the exception of *Polygonum viviparum* and *Rumex nepalensis*, which became the dominant plant species in polluted areas. *Polygonum viviparum* was also located downstream on the S river, suggesting growth potential in wetland environments.

A study of the distribution of *Rumex nepalensis* and *Polygonum viviparum* may have allowed for more effective phytoremediation of arsenic and uranium-polluted areas. One potential phytoremediation plan for the study area was shown in Fig. 5. Soil sampling analysis allowed for the identification of areas with arsenic and uranium contamination. Uranium-contaminated areas included the artificial remediation areas, tailing pond and open-pit mining sites. The arsenic contaminated area covered the downstream stretch of the S river. In order to improve the efficiency of remediation, it is necessary to select suitable plants to grow in the contaminated areas. This study suggests that *Rumex nepalensis* and *Polygonum viviparum* are potentially useful in the remediation of arsenic and uranium-polluted areas. All individual plants sampled in this study grew in contaminated areas so that these species may be used as indicators of uranium, or combined uranium and arsenic, pollution in uranium ore sites (Fig. 5).

In this study, an environmental assessment was conducted in order to provide environmental management guidelines for the Zoige uranium mine. For future remediation efforts, a multi-standard environmental risk assessment was commenced for the mine remediation area as a new technology in interdisciplinary biology and environmental science. Tailings ponds are ideally suited for the application of phytoremediation technology. For the artificial remediation area for the Zoige Mine, vegetation was planted on the hillside after the cessation of mining activities to stabilize the soil, but many planted species did not thrive in the polluted soils. This can be seen in descriptions of the artificial remediation area sites in Fig. 1. The reason for this failure was that the plant species were not selected specifically for heavy metal tolerance. In this study, uranium contamination was found to have produced a zone of strong radioactivity near the open-pit mine and plant species distributions were affected by this increase in radiation intensity [59-60].

By investigating plant tissue samples for heavy metal accumulation, *Polygonum viviparum* and *Rumex nepalensis* were found to accumulate U, and *Polygonum viviparum* for As accumulation [61]. At present, there is little information available on the use of *Polygonum viviparum* or *Rumex nepalensis* for the removal of As or U from polluted soils. Environmental factors, plant reproductive rates, germplasm resources and interactions among plant species may all form plant species distributions [62]. The following assessment of the extent of mine-associated pollution in this study, and investigation of the distributions of accumulator species, *Polygonum viviparum* and *Rumex nepalensis* were selected for As and U remediation in the study area.

*Polygonum viviparum* and *Rumex nepalensis* are also known for their heat-clearing and detoxification properties [63]. Thus, this study enhances our knowledge of heavy metal accumulation in these species and acts as a reference for the safety of herbal medicines. Furthermore, As- and U-accumulating species may be useful as bioindicators of soil pollution, and are attractive candidates for future environmental remediation efforts. *Rumex nepalensis* grew in soils with heavy uranium contamination, indicating that it is tolerant of the uranium-associated radioactivity. Meanwhile, *Polygonum viviparum* was found all around the study area revealing its suitability for arsenic remediation, even in wetlands such as that located downstream on the S river (Fig. 5). *Rumex nepalensis* is a common perennial herb that grows mainly in the northern hemisphere, but species in this

![Fig. 4. Concentrations of arsenic and uranium (mg/kg1) in *Rumex nepalensis* and *Polygonum viviparum* samples collected from several different sites within the study area (Note: R1 to R6 represent some sampling points of *Rumex nepalensis*; P1 to P6 represent some sampling points of *Polygonum viviparum*).](image-url)
genus have been introduced almost everywhere [64]. *Polygonum viviparum*, commonly known as alpine bistort, grows in abundance in many different plant communities. Typical habitats include moist, short grasslands, yards, the edges of tracks and nutrient-rich fens [65]. Therefore, both *Polygonum viviparum* and *Rumex nepalensis* might be used for the treatment of arsenic and uranium pollution worldwide. This work has expanded the potential for phytoremediation of the Zoige Uranium Mine [66] (Table 2). It was the first study in which areas polluted with both arsenic and uranium were characterized, and the phytoremediation potentials of *Polygonum viviparum* and *Rumex nepalensis* were investigated. But the utility of these two plants species as phytoremediators may be partial depending on their ecological tolerances. For example, they may not be tolerant to desert-like conditions or be unable to survive freezing temperatures.

### Conclusions

In this study, soil and plant samples were collected from 42 sample sites near the Zoige uranium mine. In addition, As and U were quantified in the polluted mine soils, and the distributions of native plant species were mapped. The altitudinal distribution of heavy metal accumulator plants in the sample area and influencing factors were discussed. Uranium contamination was found in the open-pit mine located upstream on the Z river and in the mineral leaching area located upstream on the Y river. Arsenic contamination was found in downstream areas of the S river. Therefore, a censorious action is needed to take on metal pollution in this area. *Anaphalis lactea, Rumex nepalensis*, and *Polygonum viviparum* were uranium supper accumulators, whereas *Cremanthodium lineare, Elymus dahuricus* and *Polygonum viviparum* accumulated large amounts of As in proportion to their biomass. These species are imminently suitable for ecological remediation in the area. This study can serve as a reference for the phytoremediation of uranium mine pollution areas. However, further study of the long-term dynamics of phytoremediation is needed.
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