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Abstract

Biological crust is an important type of surface cover in karst ecosystems and plays a key role in the restoration of rocky desertification and ecological control projects. It is very important to study the influence of different types of biological crust on the physical and chemical properties of subsoil under different levels of rocky desertification to explore the formation and development of soil in karst ecologically fragile areas and the stability of soil environment. In the crust layer, total nitrogen, available nitrogen, total phosphorus, available phosphorus, available k, soil organic carbon, soil field capacity and soil porosity manifest as moss crusts>mixed biocrust>lichen biocrust>algae biocrust (P<0.05), while soil bulk density manifests as algal crust>lichen crust≥mixed crust≥mossy crust (P>0.05). The physical and chemical properties of 0-5 cm and 5-10 cm soil layers on the subcutaneous surface of biological nodules are similar to those of the crust layer. Biological crusts have an impact on the improvement of physical and chemical properties of the underlying soil in the latent, mild and moderate-intense rocky desertification areas, that is the moss crust and mixed crust are greater than lichen crust and algae crust and the influence of different types of biological crust on the physical and chemical properties of the underlying soil decreases with the deepening of the soil layer. Besides pH value, soil physical and chemical properties (total nitrogen, available nitrogen, available potassium, total phosphorus, available phosphorus, soil organic carbon, soil bulk density, soil field capacity, soil porosity and available potassium) of different types of BSCs are significantly correlated. Biological crust is a good prerequisite for the growth and development of vegetation as it can significantly improve the physical and chemical properties of soil, increase soil nutrient content, promote the formation of soil aggregates, enhance the bearing capacity and anti erosion capacity of land, and balance the stability of soil environment. Therefore, it is of great significance to study the mechanism of biological crust on soil physical and chemical properties improvement and the interaction mechanism between biological
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crust and soil environment in karst rocky desertification area for the study of biodiversity construction, material and energy cycle path, nitrogen deposition, carbon sink effect and rocky desertification control measures.
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Introduction

Biological soil crust (BSC), a surface complex formed by mycelium secreted polysaccharides from mycelia of cryptoflowering plants such as fungi, algae, lichens, and mosses, and cemented soil grains, is an important part of the ecosystem [1]. With its unique physiological structure and strong adaptation mechanism, BSCs are widely distributed in harsh habitats such as rocky desertification, desertification and polar regions. The succession development of BSCs plays an important role in the formation process of soil as it can effectively improve soil structure and physical and chemical properties, improve soil stability and productivity, reduce soil erosion, and effectively prevent and control geological disasters to a certain extent [2]. It also helps promote the cycle and accumulation of material and energy in the soil environment, regulate the hydrological process, and further promote the developmental succession of vegetation and improve biodiversity. As there are different mother rock matrixes, the community structure of BSCs differs with each other, and the community heterogeneity and ecological factors have also their own features. Many factors also have a certain impact on the physiological indexes and ecological groups such as the species composition, plant height, individual density, vegetation coverage and so on of BSCs [3]. Research reports on BSCs in the karst region show that crust vegetation widely exists in the surface layer of all types of soil in the geomorphic area, and the composition of BSCs' community is different under different rocky desertification microhabitats [4-5].

Rocky desertification, a land degradation, is a stony desertification landscape in karst areas, which is formed by time-honored parent rock formation, poor soil, high exposure of bedrock and low vegetation coverage overlaid with undue human behaviors [6]. Researchers divided the karst rocky desertification levels into six grades: no rocky desertification, potential rocky desertification, mild rocky desertification, moderate rocky desertification, intense rocky desertification and extremely intense rocky desertification in the light of the indicators such as the degree of rock exposure, vegetation coverage and biodiversity [7]. Among them, the physical and chemical properties of soil, the key factors to reflect the soil quality, are of great significance to the studies on the conservation of soil environmental material and energy, the coupling mechanism between habitats and the construction of biodiversity in karst rocky desertification areas. BSCs, with good stress tolerance, strong stress resistance, high compatibility with different habitats, and good adaptability to karst ecosystems, are important surface cover vegetation in karst rocky desertification areas [8].

At present, although there are some reports about research of soil physicochemical properties generated by BSCs, there is still a lack of research on biological crust in karst rocky desertification area, which mainly restricted on the basic research of some functional characteristics such as the classification and composition of BSCs community, the analysis of succession habits, and the water holding capacity and soil forming capacity of plants [9]. Therefore, this study, researching the different grades of rocky desertification in the plateau mountain area made of latent-mild rocky desertification and the plateau canyon area mainly consisting of moderate-intense rocky desertification, systematically analyzes the impact of biological crust on 10 key physical and chemical indicators of soil (soil chemical indicators: pH value, total nitrogen, available nitrogen, total phosphorus, available phosphorus, fast speed), and explores the interaction mechanism between BSCs and soil environment in quest of enlightening the research on the coupling mechanism between karst habitats so as to provide scientific basis for further research on the ecological restoration model of stony desertification.

Materials and Methods

Overview of the Research Area

In this study, Guizhou rocky desertification region, which is the most representative karst rocky desertification area in southern China, is selected as the research area. The research area I is the typical latent mild rocky desertification area of Salaxi karst plateau in Bijie (hereinafter referred to as Salaxi research area), and the research area II is the typical area of moderate-intense rocky desertification in Guanling Zhenfeng Huajiang karst plateau Canyon (hereinafter referred to as Huajiang research area).

The research area I (Table 1), a plateau mountainous area with an average altitude of 1410-1780 m with broken and undulating terrain, is located in Salaxi Town, Bijie City, Guizhou Province (27°12′30" -27°16′50"N, 105°03′10"-105°05′08"E). There, with over 245 days of frost free, enjoys an average annual precipitation 900mm, more than 50% of which is from June to October. The rock in the area is mainly carbonate limestone, and the soil is yellow soil and purple sand soil. The latent and mild rocky...
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The desertification area accounts for more than 68.65% of the total area [10]. The wild vegetation there includes grass, thorns, vines, bushes formed by Millettia pachycarpa, Pyracantha angustifolia, Rosa roxbunghii, Pennisetum hyridum, Pennisetum alopecuroides, Lolium perenne, etc., and scattering woods like Pinus massoniana and Populus Adenopoda, Rhododendron simsii, Betula luminifera, etc. In this research area, algae, lichens, mixed and bryophytic crust are distributed in different geomorphologic parts, and the total coverage of the crust is 86%, among which the coverage of algae, lichens, mixed and bryophytic crust are 11%, 14%, 23% and 38%, respectively. The algae in biological crusts are mainly Scy-tonema Javanicum, Microcoleus vaginatus, Lyngbya cryptovaginatus, etc. while Lichenes are mainly Dermatocarpon miniatum, Placidium squamulosum, Seirophora Contortuplicata, etc. And mosses mainly include Didymodon vinealis, Bryum Caespiticium, Weisia microstoma, Eurohypnum leptothollum, Hyophila involuta, Brachythecium plumosum, etc. [11].

The research area II (Table 1), a plateau canyon with dividing and undulating terrain of an average altitude of 450-1450 M, is located on both sides of the Canyon (25°40′20″-25°41′20″N, 106°37′30″-106°39′49″E) at the junction of Guanling County, Anshun City, Guizhou Province and Zhenfeng County, Southwest Guizhou Province. The area, with more than 300 days of frost free, boasts an annual average precipitation 1100 mm, more than 80% from May to October. The rocks are mainly carbonate limestone, and the soil are yellow soil and yellow lime soil. The area of moderate and strong rocky desertification accounts for 87.72% of the total area [12]. The wild vegetation is mainly grasses, thorns, vines, shrubs composed of Pyracantha angustifolia, Rosa roxbunghii, rubus corchorifolius, Pennisetum hyridum, Pennisetum alopecuroides, with scattered woods composed of Cupressus funebris, Broussoneta papyrifera and Pinus massoniana. In this research area, algae, lichens, mixed and bryophytic biodermatids are distributed in different landforms, and the total crust coverage is 82%, among which 12%, 16%, 20% and 34%, respectively, are algae, lichens, mixed and bryophytic. The algae in the biological crusts of this area mainly include Scy-Tonema Javanicum, Microcoleus vaginatus, etc. while Lichenes are mainly Dermatocarpon miniatum, Placidium squamulosum, Seirophora Contortuplicata, etc., and mosses mainly include Didymodon vinealis, Bryum Caespiticium, Weisia microstoma, Eurohypnum leptothollum, Hyophila involuta, etc. [11].

Table 1. Basic information and sample land setting of the experimental area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Area</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>Geomorphic Type</th>
<th>Vegetation Community Composition</th>
<th>Agrotype</th>
<th>Rocky Desertification Grade</th>
<th>Skinning Coverage of Sample Plots/%</th>
<th>Vegetation Coverage</th>
<th>Sample Number and Serial Number</th>
<th>Skinning Coverage of Sample Plots/%</th>
<th>Vegetation Coverage</th>
<th>Sample Number and Serial Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaxi Bijie (I)</td>
<td>Saraxi Town, Bijie City, Guizhou Province</td>
<td>27°15′40″N 105°04′50″E</td>
<td>Karst high-lands</td>
<td>Wood, grass, thorns, vines, bushes</td>
<td>Yellow loam, purple clay</td>
<td>Latent-Mild</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>TX(1-18)</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>SK(1-18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huajiang (II)</td>
<td>Huajiang Town, Anshun City, Guizhou Province</td>
<td>25°40′40″N 106°38′50″E</td>
<td>Karst plateau gorge</td>
<td>Wood, grass, thorns, vines, bushes</td>
<td>Yellow loam, yellow lime soil</td>
<td>Moderate-Intense</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>TX(19-36)</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>ZL(19-36)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: SK stands for Blank group, TX for Moss biocrust, HH for Mix biocrust, DY for Lichen biocrust, and ZL for Algae biocrust.

Experimental Design and Sample Collection

In this study, we selected typical algae crust (algae coverage>80%), lichen crust (lichen coverage>80%), mixed crust (moss, lichen and algae, accounting for 53:2) and moss crust (moss coverage>80%) in the research area as the research objects. In Salaxi demonstration area (I) and Huajiang demonstration...
area (II), three 15 m × 15 m shrub quadrats and three 3 m × 3 m herbaceous quadrats were set in each plot to investigate the community composition and coverage of shrubs and herbs. Finally, three experimental plots of 1 m × 1 m blank group (bare land), algal crust, lichen crust, mixed crust and moss crust were selected in the area covered by BSCs between shrubs in the sample plot. Four kinds of BSCs group and the cortex under the blank group and three soil sample layers of 0–5 cm and 5–10 cm were selected by the S-type distribution. In September 2019, 45 physical and 45 chemical soil samples were collected in each research area, with a total of 180 mixed samples.

Sample Treatment and Determination

All soil samples were sealed with PE plastic bags and brought back to the laboratory for air drying. After removing the residual gravel and litter, the soil samples were passed through a 0.149 mm sieve to analyze its physical and chemical properties based on the standard soil analysis method in Soil Agrochemical Analysis [14].

1. Field water capacity was determined by gravimetric method.
2. Soil bulk density in the junction layer was determined by membrane coating method, and soil bulk density and soil porosity in the sublayer was determined by ring knife method.
3. The content of soil organic carbon was determined by potassium dichromate oxidation-external heating method.
4. The total nitrogen was determined by perchloric acid-sulfuric acid boiling and Kjeldgren nitrogen determination method.
5. The available nitrogen was determined by alkaline hydrolysis diffusion method.
6. Total phosphorus was determined by perchloric acid, sulfuric acid desizing and molybdenum-antimony resistance colorimetric method.
7. The available phosphorus was extracted by ammonium fluoride and hydrochloric acid, and determined by molybdenum-antimony colorimetric method.
8. The determination of available potassium was made by ammonium acetate extraction at 1mol pH 7.0 and flame photometry.
9. PH value was determined by a 5:1 soil/water ratio extraction.
10. The soil nutrient recovery index (RI) was used to calculate the differences of soil physical and chemical properties between the blank group and the blank group under the growth of BSCs. Finally, the differences of each attribute were summed and averaged to quantitatively describe the effect of different types of biological crusts on soil nutrient recovery. The calculation formula of soil restoration index [15].

\[ RI = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{(X_i - X'_{i})}{X'_{i}} \right) \times 100\% \]

Among them, \( x_i \) is the ith soil attribute value under a certain type of BSCs, and \( x'_{i} \) is the ith soil attribute value of blank group.

Data Processing

Excel 2013 and SPSS 22.0 software were used for data processing and analysis. Single factor analysis of variance was used to compare the values of different types of BSCs and the physical and chemical properties of corresponding subsoil. The least significant difference (LSD) method was used for significance test (\( \alpha = 0.05 \)). The physical and chemical properties of the subsoil of BSCs in different rocky desertification environments were analyzed by Pearson correlation analysis, and the differences between BSCs and blank groups were compared, and the soil nutrient recovery index was calculated. In this study, Origin 2018 and Adobe Photoshop CS6 software were used for mapping.

Results and Discussion

Effect of Biological Crust on Soil Physical and Chemical Properties in Latent-Mild Rocky Desertification Habitat of Salaxi River

Effects of Different Types of BSCs on Physical Properties of Subsoil

It can be seen from Fig 3 that the soil water holding capacity and soil porosity in the cortex of different types of BSCs in Salaxi research area are moss crust>mixed crust>lichen crust>algae crust>blank, while the soil bulk density shows the opposite rule, blank>algae crust>lichen crust>mixed crust>moss crust. Soil water holding capacity, soil porosity and soil bulk density

\[ RI = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{(X_i - X'_{i})}{X'_{i}} \right) \times 100\% \]
Effects of Different Types of BSCs on the Chemical Properties of the Subsoil

The contents of total nitrogen, total phosphorus, organic carbon, available nitrogen, available phosphorus and available potassium in the crust show in this manner: moss crust>mixed crust>lichen crust>algae crust>blank (Fig. 3). The contents of total nitrogen, total phosphorus, organic carbon and available nitrogen are significantly different among different types of BSCs ($P<0.05$); there is no significant difference in the contents of available phosphorus among mixed crusts, lichen crusts and algal crusts ($P>0.05$), but there is no significant difference in the contents of available potassium between lichen crusts and algal crusts ($P>0.05$), while the indexes of other types of BSCs are significantly different ($P<0.05$) and there is no significant difference ($P>0.05$).

In the 0-5 cm and 5-10 cm soil layers under the crust, the total nitrogen, total phosphorus, organic carbon, available nitrogen, available phosphorus and available potassium all show similar rules with the crust, which are moss crust>mixed crust>lichen crust>algal crust>blank (Fig. 3). The results show that there is no significant difference in available potassium content between lichen crust and algal crust ($P>0.05$), no significant difference in available phosphorus content among mixed crust, lichen crust and algal crust ($P>0.05$), and there is no significant difference in available nitrogen content between lichen crust and algal crust ($P>0.05$); other parameters show significant differences among different types of BSCs in 0–5 cm and 5–10 cm soil layers ($P<0.05$).

In the vertical section of soil, the analysis of total nitrogen, total phosphorus, organic carbon, available nitrogen, available phosphorus and available potassium among all soil layers leads to two change rules that first the same type of BSCs decreases with the depth of neocortical layer, and the neocortical layer is larger than the sublayer soil. There is no significant difference in the content of available $k$ and organic carbon between lichen crust and algal crust in the subsoil ($P>0.05$), neither in the content of available $k$ in the subsoil of mixed crust ($P>0.05$), while the relevant parameters of other types of BSCs show significant differences among all soil layers. Second the pH value of the soil increases with the depth of the soil layer, and the mesocortical layer is smaller than that of the substratum. Except for the significant difference of the pH value between the mosses substratum ($P<0.05$), the pH value of the other types of mosses substratum is not significantly different ($P>0.05$).

Influence of Biological Crust on Physicochemical Properties of Soil under Moderate-Intense Rocky Desertification Habitat Along Huajiang Research Area

Effects of Different Types of BSCs on Changes in Physical Properties of Subsoil

Soil water holding capacity and soil porosity of different types of BSCs in Huajiang research area are moss crust>mixed crust>lichen crust>algal crust>blank, but the soil bulk density is in the opposite order: blank>algal crust>lichen crust>mixed crust>moss crust.
Fig. 3. Physical and chemical properties of different types of crust and its subsoil in Salaxi Research area (different small characters indicate significant differences between the same soil layer and different types of biological crust, $P<0.05$; Different capital letters indicate that the crust of the same type of organism is significantly different in different soil layers ($P<0.05$), the same as below.)
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(Fig. 4). In different types of BSCs, all other indicators are significantly different \((P<0.05)\) except for the difference of soil porosity and soil bulk density between lichen crust and algal crust \((P>0.05)\) and the difference of soil field water capacity between lichen crust and algal crust \((P>0.05)\).

In the 0-5 cm and 5-10 cm soil layers, the variation of soil water holding capacity and soil porosity is similar to that of the crust, which manifests as moss crust>mixed crust>lichen crust>algal crust>blank, and only the soil bulk density in the subsoil of crust is blank>algal crust>lichen crust>mixed crust>moss crust (Fig. 4). In the subsoil, the difference is significant \((P<0.05)\) in all the rest types of BSCs of 0-5 cm and 5-10 cm soil layers except the difference in soil water holding capacity between moss crust and mixed crust, lichen crust and algal crust \((P>0.05)\), the difference in soil porosity between lichen crust and algal crust \((P>0.05)\), and difference in soil bulk density among mixed crust, lichen crust and algal crust \((P>0.05)\).

In the vertical section of soil, a comparison of the numerical differences of soil field water capacity, soil porosity and soil bulk density among different soil layers shows that the soil bulk density content increases with the soil depth, while other indexes of the same type of BSCs decreases with the depth of the neocortical layer. The bulk density of BSCs of different types is significantly different among different layers \((P<0.05)\), and the soil porosity of the four types of crust is not significantly different in the neocortical layer and the 0-5 cm soil layer \((P>0.05)\), but is significantly different from that of the 5-10 cm soil layer \((P<0.05)\). The field water capacity of lichen crust and algal crust is not significantly different between the two layers of the crust and the lower layer \((P<0.05)\), and the indexes of other types of BSCs are significantly different between each layer \((P<0.05)\).

**Effects of Different Types of BSCs on the Chemical Properties of the Subsoil**

The contents of total nitrogen, total phosphorus, organic carbon, available nitrogen, available phosphorus, and available potassium in the cortex all manifest as the blank<algal crust<lichen crust<mixed crust>moss crust (Fig. 4). Among them, total nitrogen, organic carbon and available nitrogen are significantly different among different BSCs \((P<0.05)\). All other BSCs show significant differences in total phosphorus, available potassium and available phosphorus \((P<0.05)\) except for lichen crust and algal crust, total phosphorus, available potassium and available phosphorus contents \((P>0.05)\). There is no significant difference in pH value between different BSCs \((P>0.05)\).

In the layers 0-5 cm and 5-10 cm beneath the crust, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, organic carbon, available nitrogen, available phosphorus, and available potassium all show similar laws to the crust, which is blank<algal crust<lichen crust<mixed crust>moss crust (Fig. 4). Except for lichen crust and algal crust, the contents of total phosphorus, available nitrogen, available phosphorus and available potassium are not significantly different \((P>0.05)\), all other parameters are significantly different between different types of BSCs in soil layers of 0-5 cm and 5-10 cm \((P<0.05)\).

In the vertical section of the soil, a comparison of the numerical differences of total nitrogen, total phosphorus, organic carbon, available nitrogen, available phosphorus and available potassium between the basal layer and the sublayer soil shows that the same type of BSCs decreases with the depth of basal layer, and the basal layer is larger than the sublayer soil. All parameters of other types of BSCs among different soil layers \((P<0.05)\) show significant differences but there is no significant difference in organic carbon content between lichen crust and 0-5 cm soil layer \((P>0.05)\), neither is in available phosphorus content between 0-5 cm soil layer and 5-10 cm soil layer \((P>0.05)\); meanwhile, the content of available potassium in lichen crust and algal crust does not change significantly \((P>0.05)\). The pH value of soil increases with the increase of soil depth, and the soil crust is smaller than the subsoil. The difference of pH value between different types of crust subsoil is not significant \((P>0.05)\) except for pH value between the subsoil of moss crust \((P<0.05)\).

**Correlation Analysis of Physical and Chemical Properties of Biological Crust Soil**

**Correlation Analysis of Physical and Chemical Properties of Biological Crust Soil in Salaxi Research Area**

As it can be clearly seen from Table 2, soil pH value under biological crust cover in Salaxi Research area is not correlated with total nitrogen, available nitrogen, total phosphorus, available phosphorus, available potassium, soil organic carbon, soil bulk density, soil field water capacity and soil porosity while the soil bulk density is negatively correlated with total nitrogen, available nitrogen, total phosphorus, available phosphorus, available potassium, available phosphorus, soil organic carbon, soil field water capacity and soil porosity. Except for pH value and soil bulk density, the other indexes all show significant positive correlation.

**Correlation Analysis of Physical and Chemical Properties of Biological Crust Soil in Huajiang Research Area**

It can be clearly seen from Table 3 that soil pH value under biological skin cover in Huajiang research area is not correlated with total nitrogen, available nitrogen, total phosphorus, available phosphorus, available potassium, soil organic carbon, soil bulk density, soil field water capacity and soil porosity but soil bulk density is negatively correlated with
Fig. 4. Physical and chemical properties of different types of crust and subsoil in Huajiang research area.
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Effects of Different Types of Biological Crust on Soil Nutrient Recovery

Table 2. Correlation coefficient of physical and chemical properties of biological crust soil in Salaxi research area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>TN</th>
<th>AN</th>
<th>TP</th>
<th>AP</th>
<th>AK</th>
<th>SOC</th>
<th>SBD</th>
<th>FC</th>
<th>SP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>0.903</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td>-0.907</td>
<td>0.902</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>0.985**</td>
<td>0.992**</td>
<td>0.922**</td>
<td>0.977**</td>
<td>0.993**</td>
<td>-0.996**</td>
<td>0.989**</td>
<td>0.983**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN</td>
<td>0.976**</td>
<td>0.882*</td>
<td>0.983**</td>
<td>0.967**</td>
<td>-0.994**</td>
<td>0.969**</td>
<td>0.965**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP</td>
<td>0.919*</td>
<td>0.966**</td>
<td>0.993**</td>
<td>-0.992**</td>
<td>0.997**</td>
<td>0.983**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>0.944*</td>
<td>0.952*</td>
<td>-0.920*</td>
<td>0.945*</td>
<td>0.971**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK</td>
<td>0.973**</td>
<td>-0.987**</td>
<td>0.973**</td>
<td>0.987**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC</td>
<td>-0.989**</td>
<td>0.997**</td>
<td>0.993**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBD</td>
<td>-0.990*</td>
<td>-0.986**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC</td>
<td>0.993**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * indicates the correlations were significant (P<0.05), ** indicates the correlations were extremely significant (P<0.01).

Table 3. Correlation coefficient of physical and chemical properties of biological crust soil in Huajiang research area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>TN</th>
<th>AN</th>
<th>TP</th>
<th>AP</th>
<th>AK</th>
<th>SOC</th>
<th>SBD</th>
<th>FC</th>
<th>SP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td>0.921</td>
<td>0.877</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>-0.901</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>0.976**</td>
<td>0.990**</td>
<td>0.991**</td>
<td>0.992**</td>
<td>0.995**</td>
<td>-0.951*</td>
<td>0.987**</td>
<td>0.978**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN</td>
<td>0.974**</td>
<td>0.990**</td>
<td>0.980**</td>
<td>0.972**</td>
<td>-0.924*</td>
<td>0.939*</td>
<td>0.959**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP</td>
<td>0.995**</td>
<td>0.986**</td>
<td>0.991**</td>
<td>-0.982**</td>
<td>0.982**</td>
<td>0.997**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>0.995**</td>
<td>0.985**</td>
<td>-0.959*</td>
<td>0.975**</td>
<td>0.986**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK</td>
<td>0.978**</td>
<td>-0.937*</td>
<td>0.985**</td>
<td>0.974**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC</td>
<td>-0.967**</td>
<td>0.976**</td>
<td>0.983**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBD</td>
<td>-0.952*</td>
<td>-0.992**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>0.976**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * indicates the correlations were significant (P<0.05), ** indicates the correlations were extremely significant (P<0.01).

Effects of Different Types of Biological Crust on Soil Nutrient Recovery

Soil nutrient recovery index (RI) can indicate the degree of soil recovery. This study calculates the soil recovery index under different types of BSCs by means of the soil recovery index formula with the blank group as the control group. According to (Fig. 1) of the Salaxi research area: a comparison of the blank groups shows that the soil nutrient recovery indexes of moss crust, mixed crust, lichen crust and algae crust are 25.1%, 18.6%, 10.4% and 6.3%, respectively. And from (Fig. 2) of the Huajiang research area, a comparison of the blank groups shows that the soil nutrient recovery indexes of moss crust, mixed crust, lichen crust and algae crust are 25.8%, 18.9%, 7.6% and 5.7%, respectively. Studies show that in regions with different levels of rocky desertification, biological crust has a certain recovery function for soil nutrients, and BSCs at different stages of succession have different degrees of recovery for soil nutrients, which are specifically manifested as moss crust>mixed crust>lichen crust and algae crust.
Effect of Biological Crust on Soil Physical and Chemical Properties in Karst Rocky Desertification Area

BSCs are important surface cover types in karst rocky desertification areas, which can maintain the dynamic balance of soil ecosystem with their special physiological structure and strong adaptive mechanism [16]. They play an important role in karst ecosystem as they have positive effects on improving soil environment, stabilizing hydrological environment, and developing and succeeding process of animals and plants (Fig. 5). Previous studies have shown that there are differences in the ecological functions and ecological benefits of different types of BSCs because of the differentiated morphological functions and varied components [17]. In this study, the total nitrogen, available nitrogen, total phosphorus, available phosphorus, available potassium, soil organic carbon, soil bulk density, soil field water holding capacity and soil porosity under the coverage of BSCs manifest as moss crust>mixed crust>lichen crust>algae crust ($P<0.05$) (Fig. 3, Fig. 4). On the one hand, there are differences in biomass among different types of BSCs, which are generally reflected as moss crust>lichen crust>algae crust. In the process of succession development, the exudates of BSCs, litter and biological residues on the surface layer are decomposed by microorganisms to form humic soil layer, promoting as a result the accumulation of nutrients such as carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in the soil, which is also the main reason for affecting the physical and chemical properties of the underlying soil of BSCs [18]. In addition, when the crust vegetation starts from the propagation of algae and fungi, the surface coverage and roughness would gradually increase with the improvement of the shallow soil environment to capture more atmospheric dust, increase the thickness of crust vegetation, the content of fine particles and nutrients in soil, and develop lichen crust and moss crust begin, so consequently, there is a obvious promotion to the water retention, soil consolidation, and nutrition in the crust. In this process, when the species of constituent plants from low to high, and the population richness and community diversity from small to large, the evolution of BSCs will be completed with the advance of time and the improvement of micro environment conditions. Generally speaking, bryophyte crust, as the vegetation type at the end of succession (Fig. 6), has the highest

![Fig. 5. Response mechanism of biological soil crust and Karst ecosystem.](image)

Note: 1. Trace elements and nutrients were provided for biological soil crusts, succession process of crust vegetation was promoted, and the suitable growth environment was controlled. 2. Releasing the remaining nutrients and water to ensure the growth and development of plants and capture seeds, regulating soil temperature and humidity, and improving the soil physical properties. 3. The space carrier of biological soil crusts, providing them with various material energy required for survival. 4. The organic matter and the trace elements were accumulated, the spatial exchange of water and heat was controlled, the physical and chemical properties of soil were improved, the soil layer thickness and stability of soil were increased, the soil environment was improved. 5. Providing them with living space and corresponding nutrient sources, and regulating growth and development environmental indicators such as soil temperature and humidity. 6. An participant in the succession process of biological soil crusts, an important part of biological processes in the microenvironment. 7. Controlling the process of surface infiltration and runoff formation and changing the pattern of soil water redistribution, enhancing water retention of soil and status of condensed water capture. 8. Providing moisture, humidity and a suitable environment for biological soil crusts, affecting its occurrence and progress.
ecological function among all types of BSCs. On the other hand, as the succession of BSCs effectively improves environmental factors such as hydrothermal conditions, the increase of biomass, the improvement of habitat conditions, and the enhancement of microbial biodiversity in the crust and soil layer would further strengthen the microbial decomposition to ensure the effective accumulation of carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and other nutrients in the soil [19].

BSCs being the important sources of carbon and nitrogen in karst rocky desertification area, its chlorophyll content increases with its succession development. The fixation capacity of carbon and nitrogen is gradually enhanced through physiological regulation functions such as photosynthesis, respiration and metabolism. Previous researches discover that the annual carbon sequestration of algae crust is 2.9 g·m⁻²·a⁻¹~11.2 g·m⁻²·a⁻¹, lichen crust is 3.5 g·m⁻²·a⁻¹~37.2 g·m⁻²·a⁻¹, and moss crust is 26.8 g·m⁻²·a⁻¹~64.9 g·m⁻²·a⁻¹ [20]. At the same time, the soil nitrogen conversion rate and its sensitivity to temperature under BSCs mulching are higher than those in non crusted soil, so the nitrogen supply in soil is enhanced to improve the efficiency of nitrogen transformation and cycling, which plays an essential role in the balance and stability of soil system. Relevant studies by Cong Chunlei in Guizhou karst areas confirm that the nitrogen fixation activity there is between 2.5 mol·61.5 mol, among which the average of algal crust (14.5 mol)< lichen crust (19.7 mol)< moss crust (28.2 mol), and when the average annual nitrogen fixation amount of BSCs is between 13.3 mg·m⁻²·a⁻¹~38.13 mg·m⁻²·a⁻¹, the rule is algal crust< lichen crust< moss crust.[21] Studies of the physical and chemical properties of BSCs in Molopo basin, South Africa by Thomas et al. show that BSCs could promote the stable growth of carbon and nitrogen in soil through the ability to fix and maintain carbon and nitrogen [22]. Both studies by Hu et al in Tengger Desert and by Li Xinrong in Horqin Desert also confirm that BSCs can significantly improve soil carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and other nutrients [23-24], which is consistent with the research results of this paper. This reveals the fact that BSCs input carbon, nitrogen and other elements in the ecosystem and transform them into soil nutrients for plant growth, which is consistent with the conclusions obtained by Huang Qiaoyun et al in the loess desert area [25].

In the results of this experiment, except for pH value, the correlation of other physical and chemical properties between crust and subsoil is significant (Table 2, Table 3), indicating that the change law of physicochemical properties of crust and subsoil is synchronous. A comparison of the values of soil vertical profile shows that the nutrient content in the crust and 0-5cm soil layer of BSCs is significantly higher than that of 5-10cm soil layer, decreasing with the depth of the crust layer, which is consistent with the conclusions obtained by Emma K et al. in southern Australia, Researchers in Gurbantunggut Desert area in Xinjiang, and in Loess Plateau of China [26-28].
indicating that BSCs can affect the soil physical and chemical properties of the underlying surface, and the impact on the subsoil decreases with the depth of the soil. In addition, different types of BSCs have different structure, composition and ecological function due to different succession periods, resulting in discrepancy in soil nutrients covered by different BSCs, which is manifested in this study as algae crusts<lichen crusts<mixed crusts<moss crusts, consistent with the results by researchers in Gurbantunggut Desert, Xinjiang, China, and that by Researchers in arid areas of Iran [29-31]. In addition, nutrients in the crust covered by BSCs eluviates during rainfall infiltration to affect the nutrient content in the middle and lower layers of soil. The conclusion of this study is contrary to that by Dujun et al. in Tengger Desert [32], but it is consistent with the conclusion by Wang et al in Guizhou karst area and by others in Northwest China which could be attributed to the fact that in arid semi-arid desert area, limited by the rainfall infiltration depth, the infiltration nutrients generally stay in the soil surface layer above 5 cm. However, the rich rainfall in the drainage basin in this research area would result in eluviation of the soil surface nutrients with the precipitation infiltration, affecting the nutrient content of the middle and lower layers of soil. The conclusion of this study is contrary to that by Dujun et al. in Tengger Desert [32], but it is consistent with the conclusion by Wang et al in Guizhou karst area and by others in Northwest China which could be attributed to the fact that in arid semi-arid desert area, limited by the rainfall infiltration depth, the infiltration nutrients generally stay in the soil surface layer above 5 cm. However, the rich rainfall in the drainage basin in this research area would result in eluviation of the soil surface nutrients with the precipitation infiltration, affecting the nutrient content of the middle and lower layers of soil. The conclusion of this study is contrary to that by Dujun et al. in Tengger Desert [32], but it is consistent with the conclusion by Wang et al in Guizhou karst area and by others in Northwest China which could be attributed to the fact that in arid semi-arid desert area, limited by the rainfall infiltration depth, the infiltration nutrients generally stay in the soil surface layer above 5 cm. However, the rich rainfall in the drainage basin in this research area would result in eluviation of the soil surface nutrients with the precipitation infiltration, affecting the nutrient content of the middle and lower layers of soil. On the other hand, as soil in desert area features with sandy soil, but in this research area yellow soil and purple sand soil are the main contents, it is of course richer in carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus and other nutrients. The environmental conditions in the research area is better when comprehensively compared with the climate, hydrology, vegetation type and coverage, geology and geomorphology and many other factors; therefore, the soil carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and other nutrient contents in this area are higher than those in arid and semi-arid desert areas. In addition, there is no significant difference in soil pH value among different types of BSCs, but it increases with the increase of soil depth, which is consistent with the research results by Miralles et al in Tavernas desert in Spain and those by Song et al in Tengger Desert in China [33-34]. The reason may be that in the process of succession and development of BSCs, cryptophytes in BSCs propagate in large amounts, which with the enhancement of physiological functions such as photosynthesis and respiration, would generate continuous accumulation of the organic acids secreted by plants and the microbial decomposition products in the root system, and neutralization of the pH value by rainfall infiltration. The changes of soil pH value in this area are not obvious due to many factors.

The Significance of Biological Crust in Improving Soil Environment in Karst Area and Comprehensive Control of Rocky Desertification

The karst landform in South China is characterized by complex habitat conditions, large rainfall, high bedrock exposure, slow soil formation rate of parent rock, serious surface erosion and frequent natural disasters, which easily leads to rocky desertification. BSCs can effectively improve the soil environment through its unique function, which is of great significance for the formation and development of soil in karst soil system, the effective accumulation and circulation of soil nutrients and the maintenance of soil environmental stability [35]. The results of this study verified the outstanding contribution of BSCs to the cycling of carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in soil environment and the storage and accumulation of soil nutrients in different karst rocky desertification habitats (Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 3, Fig. 4).

In addition, walnut and Zanthoxylum bungeanum are special cash trees for controlling rocky desertification in karst areas, which have been popularized and applied in Salaxi and Huajiang research areas, and have brought benefits to local ecology and economy. However, due to the long growth cycle, sparse branches and leaves of walnut and Zanthoxylum bungeanum trees, the interception effect of rainfall infiltration and runoff erosion is limited, [36] At the same time, owing to their strong nutrient plunder in the soil to make it difficult for the shrubs and grasses under the trees to survive, it is very easy to cause large area of surface exposure, ever-serious soil erosion and underground leakage, which further induces the formation of rocky desertification [37]. With its high compatibility with different types of habitats and strong stress resistance, BSCs can directly absorb water and nutrients from the body surface through special physiological structure and root system to promote the accumulation of nutrient content in soil, and effectively improve soil physical and chemical properties, so as to maintain the dynamic balance of karst soil system [38]. Therefore, cultivating BSCs under walnut and Zanthoxylum bungeanum forests can effectively reduce the erosion of soil surface by exogenous forces, and obtain greater ecological benefits. The popular rocky desertification control mainly adopts the “forest-shrub-grass” vegetation combination mode, the three-dimensional development mode of mixed agriculture and forestry combined with water and soil leakage control technology, but due to the large area of rock exposed on the surface, obvious the terrain segmentation, and poor vegetation site conditions, the conventional control with large area of grass and woody plants demands a long period and generates no ecological benefits. Compared with the traditional rocky desertification control methods, the artificial cultivation of BSCs has the advantages of low cost in the early stage, easy cultivation in the middle stage, convenient maintenance in the later stage, short growth cycle and high survival rate, good stress tolerance, high ecological value and high compatibility with different habitats. So its strong ecological restoration would make it the pioneer plants of environmental governance, which a new complement to existing karst rocky desertification control measures [39].
Conclusion

The Salaxi Karst Plateau Mountain latent-mild rocky desertification research area and Huaijiang Karst Plateau Canyon moderate-intense rocky desertification research area, representing four different levels (latent-mild-moderate-intense) of rocky desertification regions, are the most typical and comprehensive, the most complex ecological fragile areas with the longest evolution time in China. Though different types of BSCs have different effects on soil physical and chemical properties in different karst rocky desertification areas, they all have positive effects on soil nutrient accumulation on the underlying surface to significantly improve the soil environment in karst ecological fragile areas. Comparisons of the soil dates of different types of BSCs show a succession of moss crusts>mixed crusts>lichen crusts>algae crusts, at the end of which the moss crusts generates the highest ecological benefits. In the vertical profile, the contents of carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and other nutrients in the subsoil of all types of BSCs decrease with the deepening of soil depth, indicating that BSCs have the most significant effect on the surface soil.

In addition to pH value, there were significant correlations between the physical and chemical properties (total nitrogen, available nitrogen, available potassium, total phosphorus, available phosphorus, soil organic carbon, soil bulk density, soil field capacity, soil porosity and available potassium) between different types of BSCs. In the meantime, comparisons of the effects of BSCs on soil nutrient content in different types of research areas reveal that the influence of BSCs on soil physical and chemical properties is similar in rules but different with degrees in different habitats, which could be attributed to the ecological factors in different regions like the soil types, the soil temperature and humidity, the climatic conditions, the animal and plant community composition, the topography and landform and soon.
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