Introduction

Rational and preservation utilization of water resources represents one of the main problems of the 21st century. Water as a resource is a valued quantity, quality, and by location, where the quality is a condition of the water system, expressed through physical-chemical, chemical, and biological indicators. The important aspects taken into consideration when examining the top-priority problems of water quality are economic influence, the influence on human health, influence on the ecosystem, and influence of the geographic area, as well as duration of the influence [1]. Changes in the physico-chemical characteristics of water quality are influenced not only by anthropogenic factors, but also by combined interactive natural processes such as hydrological conditions, topography and lithology, climate, precipitation inputs, catchment area, tectonic and edaphic factors, erosion, weathering of crustal materials and bedrock geology, in combination with environmental influence [2]. It should be also taken into account that the Republic of Serbia is striving toward membership within the EU, and reaching good water quality represents an important challenge [3]. The most important legal framework within the European Union (EU), which regulates water policies, is the Water Framework Directive (WFD) [4].

River systems play an important role in the sustainable development of the whole environment, especially when...
running through populated regions [5]. In order to provide the sustainability of ecological balance, the presence and quality of water are very important [6] and there has been more research based on water quality observations [7, 8]. Anthropogenic influences can cause negative consequences in a short period of time as far as water quality is concerned [9], whereas water body pollution represents the result of human activities on one hand, and intensive urbanization development on the other [10]. The organic solid load and the dynamics of its degradation are very good indicators of anthropogenic impact on water [11].

Over the last few decades the rivers and river channels are increasingly becoming receivers for the disposal of wastewater that is the product of the industry and of the local population in urban areas. The discharge of wastewater into surface water has reached alarming levels and is today one of the serious problems of water courses [12, 13]. In many developed countries have been adopted by the government strict legal measures by which all wastewater before discharge must first be treated (scrubbed) to reduce their pollution and then discharged into the watercourse [14]. Despite all the legal regulations, in many rivers pollutants exceed the limits because the catchment area is not able to assimilate all the wastewater that is deposited there [13, 15].

Water quality is one of the most important factors that must be taken into account when assessing the sustainability of the development of a region [16]. The rapid development of economy and water quality is severely degraded. Poor water quality and degraded environment will not only hamper sustainable development but may threaten the health of the population [17]. To ensure the sustainability of the ecological balance, the presence and quality of water is important [18]. Because of this, research based on the monitoring of water quality has been getting more intense over the last decade [7, 8, 14].

Material and Methods

Sampling Area

The Drina River is the biggest tributary of the Sava River (Fig. 1). It is created by the confluence of the Tara and Piva rivers at Šćepan Polje 428 m above sea level. The mouth of the Drina in the Sava is near the town of Bosanska Rača at 78 m above sea level. The catchment area is 19,570km². In Serbia the catchment area is 6,007 km² the Drina River represents the state border between the Republic of Serbia and the Bosnia and Herzegovina for 220 km. Average altitude of the catchment area is 934 m. Composition of the Tara and Piva rivers is at 432 m and the confluence is at 75 m. The length of Drina is 346 km [19]. The biggest and the most important tributary of the Drina is the Lim River (Serbia), which represent the right tributary. Other important tributaries are Sutjeska, Dehotina, Drinjača, and Prača from Bosnia and Herzegovina, and Jadar and Rzav in Serbia. The Drina downriver from the town of Loznica enters the Pannonian plane.

Data and Methods

The database of the Republic Hydrometeorological Service for 2004-11 [20] was used to present the existing state of water quality of the Drina according to set limit values of quality markers (Official Bulletin of SFRY No. 6/78). The obtained data are analyzed in the SPSS statistical program. Presented results were obtained according to several different statistical analyses applied in similar research: descriptive statistical analysis [21], one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) [13, 22-25], and t-test analysis for independent samples. The post-hoc Scheffe test was applied for definition of difference significance between certain groups [14, 26].

Descriptive statistical analysis was applied for definition of parameter mean values according to profiles and time periods.

One-way analysis of variance is a statistical procedure that ensures difference testing between several arithmetic means. If certain result deviations from total arithmetic means are squared (squared deviations), and these squares are summed (“square sums” in variance analysis), total square sum (SS) is obtained, which can be divided in two square “sub-sums”: square sums within the group and square sum between the groups.

However, the definition of SS within and between the groups does not enable us to conclude if variability is greater within or between the groups, since square sum amount depends on the number of results. Therefore, variance is taken as variability measure. Variance value is obtained when each SS values is divided by the corresponding number of freedom degrees. This expression is called middle square (MS).

Fig. 1. Geographical location of control points on the Drina River.
Table 1. Mean values of chemical parameters showing water quality for four CPs at the Drina River and ANOVA results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Bajina Bašta (CP1)</th>
<th>Ljubovija (CP2)</th>
<th>Jelav (CP3)</th>
<th>Badovinci (CP4)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>8.06</td>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>1.921</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity (μS/cm)</td>
<td>285.06</td>
<td>287.34</td>
<td>299.31</td>
<td>297.31</td>
<td>5.999</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂ saturation (%)</td>
<td>121.31</td>
<td>102.61</td>
<td>101.84</td>
<td>102.34</td>
<td>5.752</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD₅ (mgO₂/l)</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>28.897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended solids (mg/l)</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>14.54</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total oxidized nitrogen (mg/l)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td>0.710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of coliform bacteria (n/l)</td>
<td>2150.7</td>
<td>2999.56</td>
<td>4338.26</td>
<td>2500.00</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td>0.429</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.01; p<0.05; F>3.32;
Source: Created by the author based on data analysis in SPSS 20.0.

Freedom degrees for SS within groups are calculated when the number of groups is subtracted from the general number of results (since there are N-1 freedom degrees in each group). Freedom degrees for SS between groups are calculated when 1 is subtracted from the number of groups.

Post-hoc Scheffe test: If F-test proves there are statistically significant differences, it is important to define the groups among which there are statistically significant differences. The results of F-test can only prove significance of difference between the groups with the lowest and highest arithmetic means.

Difference significance between particular groups can be defined according to post-hoc test, i.e. technique for systematic error risk lessening, whereas the error can be caused by a greater number of comparisons between two arithmetic means. Scheffe post-hoc test, as one of the most strict and most often applied tests, was used in this research. Procedure included following steps [27]:
1. After F values in variance analysis were defined, the following formula is applied for each pair of arithmetic means:

   \[ F = \frac{(M_{a} - M_{b})^2}{M_{ss}(N_a + N_b) \times N_a N_b} \]

2. F value for needed significance level for freedom degrees (k – 1) and (N – 1) is read from F table.
3. Set F value is multiplied with (k – 1), and new limit value (F') is obtained.
4. F is calculated according to the above-mentioned formula for all pairs of arithmetic means and obtained value is compared with F'. If F is higher than F', that difference can be considered to be statistically significant at the significance level set in step 2.

The sample fulfills basic conditions for parametre test application, i.e. data used in analysis originating from interval scale and they are normally distributed.

T-test for independent samples is used for comparison of mean values of results and definition of statistical significance of their differences. Independent samples are samples that do not have any correlation after measurement [28].

Risk possibility level of 5% and 1% was taken into account in the process of definition of statistical significance of obtained results, whereas the limit is based on freedom degrees interpreted according to t-tables. At examined samples at significance level of 5% (p<0.05) or less, t value must be at least 1.96, whereas at significance level of 1% (p<0.01), t must be at least 2.58 [29].

Results and Discussion

Mean values for seven chemical parameters (pH, conductivity, dissolved O₂, BOD₅, suspended solids, and amount of coliform bacteria) for the observed eight-year period (2004-11), as well as the results of ANOVA test for all four Control Points (Bajina Bašta (CP1), Ljubovija (CP2), Jelav (CP3), and Badovinci (CP4)) are presented in Table 1. Their values are presented in Hydrological annual book for 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011 of the Republic Hydrometeorological Service of Serbia.

ANOVA was used to define if there is statistically significant correlation between dependent variables (parameters: pH, Conductivity, O₂ saturation, BOD₅, suspended solids, Total oxidized nitrogen, and amount of coliform bacteria) and independent variable (CP). Post-hoc Scheffe test was applied to define significantly different variables.

The pH of surface waters is vital to aquatic life. It affects the ability of aquatic organisms to regulate basic life-sustaining processes, primarily the exchange of respiratory gasses and salts with the water in which they live [30].

Statistical analysis of pH for all four measurement stations don’t show significant differences at significance level p<0.01 (F= 1.921, p=0.126). The highest values of pH were measured at CP3 station (8.16), no significant deviations are measured between all four stations. Although it should be noted that values of pH grew stadily from CP1 to CP3, but on CP4 values of pH were lower (8.11) than on two previous control points (CP2 8.14 and CP3 8.16), which is the result of fresh water inflow from the Jadar River. The results of post-hoc test confirm that there are no statistically significant differences between the values of pH.
The one-way analysis of variance (Table 2) confirmed the existence of statistically significant differences for pH on the level of significance p<0.01 (F=5.438, p=0.000). This difference is visible between 2004 and 2006, 2010, and 2011. The biggest difference is between 2004 and 2006, where mean differences is 0.3095.

Measured values of conductivity for the research period show significant differences at significance level p<0.01 (F=5.999, p=0.001). Highest values were measured at CP1 station and the lowest at CP3 station. This is confirmed with the post-hoc test, which shows is statistically significant differences between these two stations. According to the Scheffes test, the significant differences were observed between 2005, 2006, 2007, 2011, and 2009. The biggest difference was observed between 2007 and 2009. By applying the one-way analysis of variance (Table 2) it was determined that there are differences between values of conductivity on the level of significance r<0.01 (F=9.721, p=0.000) between mentioned years.

Oxygen is very important in maintaining the quality of aquatic ecosystems and is essential for the respiration of aquatic organisms [1]. O2 saturation shows highest values at CP1 (121.3%) while the lowest values were observed at CP3 (0.858). The results of post-hoc tests show statistically significant difference between BOD5 values at all observed profiles, but they also show that these differences are greatest between CP1, where higher levels of BOD5 are registered, and CP2, but on all four stations water quality could be described as satisfactory according to this parameter. For BOD5 no significant statistical differences were observed according to Scheffes test. Observed values of BOD5 at all four CPs show that Drina water quality can be classified as class I (<2 mg/l). Values of BOD5, total oxidized nitrogen, and amount of coliform bacteria according one-way ANOVA test (Table 2) show no significant statistical differences according to factor analysis of variance during the period from 2004 to 2011.

Suspended solids are closely linked to erosion and nutrient transport (phosphor, especially), metal, industrial waste, and chemicals used in agriculture transport [1, 14]. The amount of suspended solids grew steadily downstream, reaching highest values on CP4 (14.54 mg/l) (Table 1). This is mostly due to terrain characteristics, as the river flows from mountain terrain that dominates the upstream part of the river, downstream of Loznica, where the Drina flows into the Pannonian plain near the river mouth into the Sava River. The significant statistical difference according to Scheffes test was observed between 2004 and 2010. Analysis of suspended solids with one-way ANOVA (Table 2) show significant differences on the level of p<0.01 (F=4.021, p=0.000) between 2004 and 2010, this difference is due to a higher intensity of erosion as a consequence of higher precipitation in 2010.

Nitrogen materials are of great importance to the quality of the streams, as a nutrient substance may cause undesired occurrence of eutrophication, or overproduction of biomass. After the passage of the growing season for algae, they will become a substrate for microorganisms and passing through the food chain will result in an additional increase in silt in the stream and oxygen consumption. Lowest mean total oxidized nitrogen (TON) values for investigated stations were observed at CP3 0.502 mg/l.

### Table 2. One-way analysis of variance ANOVA per year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.950</td>
<td>8.061</td>
<td>8.260</td>
<td>8.062</td>
<td>8.107</td>
<td>8.157</td>
<td>8.189</td>
<td>8.202</td>
<td>5.438</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity (µS/cm)</td>
<td>291.667</td>
<td>295.957</td>
<td>302.119</td>
<td>307.366</td>
<td>286.333</td>
<td>273.609</td>
<td>287.000</td>
<td>299.682</td>
<td>7.356</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2 saturation (%)</td>
<td>102.000</td>
<td>102.596</td>
<td>104.452</td>
<td>138.890</td>
<td>102.078</td>
<td>102.478</td>
<td>102.021</td>
<td>102.886</td>
<td>9.721</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD5 (mgO2/l)</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>1.322</td>
<td>1.063</td>
<td>1.131</td>
<td>1.185</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>1.175</td>
<td>1.248</td>
<td>1.570</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended solids (mg/l)</td>
<td>6.083</td>
<td>6.865</td>
<td>12.298</td>
<td>7.600</td>
<td>8.656</td>
<td>7.186</td>
<td>18.979</td>
<td>3.705</td>
<td>4.021</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total oxidized nitrogen (mg/l)</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>0.455</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>0.481</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>2.679</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of coliform bacteria (n/l)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1913.875</td>
<td>2056.667</td>
<td>1868.500</td>
<td>4080.000</td>
<td>4587.500</td>
<td>2163.636</td>
<td>4457.500</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>0.454</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.01; p<0.05; F>3.32; Source: Created by the author based on data analysis in SPSS 20.0.
while the highest values were observed at CP4 0.559 mg/l (Table 1). Statistical analysis for all four measurement stations did not show significant differences at significance level p<0.01 (F= 0.461, p=0.710). The lowest values of TON (0.502 mg/l) are observed just upstream of the mouth of the Jadar into the Drina. Downstream this shows a great influence of this tributary on water quality parameters of Drina. This influence is also observed in values of other parameters. Table 2 results show that values of TON vary from 2004 to 2007, when the highest value was measured at 0.665 mg/l, from this year until 2011 the constant decrease of TON values is observed with the lowest value measured in 2011 at 0.451 mg/l.

High values of coliform bacteria can indicate certain fecal pollution, which mainly originates from untreated sewage wastewater, which can create difficulties in the survival of aquatic life and apply effects to all water users. In the case of Drina, low mean feces water pollution is registered at all four CPs at the Drina during the whole investigated period (Table 1). Significant differences are not observed (F=0.933, p=0.429) between observed profiles. The greatest amount of coliform bacteria is registered at CP3 station and the lowest at CP1 and CP4. Highest values for CP3 is a consequence of the towns of Loznca, Ljubovija, and Bajina Bašta sewage system, which is discharged to some extent directly into the river upstream of CP3. Lower values at CP4 station are measured because of the many Drina tributaries between these two stations, mainly because of the Jadar, which is the right tributary of the Drina. Water of these tributaries diluted water of the Drina. Post-hoc test does not show statistically significant difference between control points. Although there are no statistically significant differences, our results show that mean difference is highest between CP3 and the other three control points. The course of this is, as we mentioned above, influence of sewage systems of cities upstream of CP3 and the mouth of the Jadar downstream of CP3.

### Table 3. T-test analysis for certain chemical parameters that show water quality in warmer and colder periods at complete waterfall of the Drina River.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Period of year</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>σ</th>
<th>t-test</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>8.168</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>3.121</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>8.070</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity (μS/cm)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>293.484</td>
<td>29.415</td>
<td>0.609</td>
<td>0.543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>291.698</td>
<td>25.929</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂ saturation (%)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>110.566</td>
<td>32.322</td>
<td>2.849</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>102.026</td>
<td>24.288</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD₅ (mgO₂/l)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>1.219</td>
<td>0.617</td>
<td>2.289</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.043</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended solids (mg/l)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>7.324</td>
<td>15.246</td>
<td>-1.931</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>10.701</td>
<td>17.601</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total oxidised nitrogen (mg/l)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>0.527</td>
<td>0.402</td>
<td>-0.346</td>
<td>0.730</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.01; p<0.05; W – warm period; C – cold period

Source: Created by the author based on data analysis in SPSS 20.0.

Independent sample T-test was applied in order to compare arithmetic means of two groups – parameter values in warmer and colder periods of the year [14].

The results obtained at all four stations show that particular parameter values are different during warmer and colder periods of the year. According to the results of t-test (Table 3) we can state that these differences are statistically significant for many parameters. During the colder period, from October to April, values of pH at all stations are statistically significant at significance level p<0.01 (t= -3.121, p=0.002). Values of pH are lower in the colder period, from October to April. During the warmer period, from April to October, values of O₂ saturation are higher at all four control points, p<0.01 (t=2.849, p=0.005). As far as BOD₅ parameters, values are higher during the colder period of the year, which is confirmed with results of t-test at significance level p<0.05 (t=2.289, p=0.023).

Values of TON are slightly higher during the colder period. From October to April values of TON at all four CPs are not statistically significant at significance level p<0.01 (t=-0.346, p=0.73). As far as suspended solids and amount of coliform bacteria are concerned, their values are higher in the colder period, during autumn and winter. However, these differences are small and they are not statistically significant, suspended solids (t= -1.1931, p=0.054), and the amount of coli form bacteria (t= -0.346, p=0.730).

The results of post-hoc test confirm that there are no statistically significant differences between the values of pH on all four stations. The value of pH is good for all four CPs during the research period (average 8.12). Measured values of conductivity for the research period of eight years show significant differences, with the biggest difference measured between 2009 and 2007. O₂ saturation analysis shows differences between 2007 and every other year in the research period. The results of post-hoc tests for BOD₅ shows differences between values at all four control points, but they also show that these differences are greatest between CP1 and CP2. The course of this is greater pollution of the river upstream and downstream of CP1. The amount of suspended solids grew steadily downstream, reaching highest values on CP4. This is due to erosion along the river basin and geological composition of downriver terrain (Panonian Basin). Statistical analysis of total oxidized nitrogen for all four measurement stations did not show any significant differences between CPs. Mean feces water pollution is low at all Drina CPs during the whole investigated period because of low inflow of wastewater from settlements and a high water discharge, mostly in upstream parts of the river.
All values change steadily downstream from CP1 to CP3, after CP3, the Drina River receives many tributaries of which the most important is the Jadar, the right tributary of the Drina. Clean fresh water from these rivers influences water parameters of the Drina in a positive way downstream to CP4 and the mouth of the Drina into the Sava. Season and natural factors such as air temperature do not have significant influence on Drina pollution.

Conclusion

In this study, water quality status and the spatial and temporal trends along the Drina were assessed through the application of seven WQI parameters, to an eight-year public database of environmental data. Based on the chemical parameters of water quality, it can be seen that all four control points – Bajina Bašta (CP1), Ljubovija (CP2), Jelav (CP3) and Badovinci (CP4) – on the entire course of the Drina are pure and completely suitable for exploitation and utilization of all its functions. The greatest value of the Drina is the possibility of using water for supplying cities and industries with clean water that needs only minimum chemical treatment. This is a rare opportunity in the modern world. Water from the river can be used for irrigation of the surrounding agricultural surfaces as agreed by the inhabitants of the local population from the settlements predominantly in the upriver section, in fertile parts of the Panonian basin. Tourism is also one of the ways that Drina water can be used, in recent years rafting is getting more and more popular, also the diversity of fish species (15) provides a good basis for fishing. Investments in tourism infrastructure could cause development and economic strengthening of settlements along the Drina. A large drop in river flow along the longitudinal profile is used for producing electrical energy of three hydroelectric power plants (Višegrad, Bajina Bašta, and Zvornik).

One of the greatest ecological problems along the river is illegal dumping on river shore and on its tributary shores. This waste during high water ends up in the Drina and disrupts water quality. Municipal authorities of Bajina Bašta, Ljubovija, and Loznica invest a lot in removing and cleaning these dump sites, but every year they reappear. Also, as the Drina is a border between the Republic of Serbia and the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina, maintaining water quality should be an international responsibility and intergovernmental plans and strategies should be passed.
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