Introduction

Land use and land cover change (LUCC) has become an important and hot theme in the study field of global environmental change since the Global Environmental Change in the Humanities Program (IHDP) and the International Geosphere-Biosphere Program (IGBP) put forward the LUCC research project [1-2]. LUCC has great significance regarding the function of ecosystems and ecosystem services [3], and hydrologic processes [4]. Besides, it has a direct impact on biosphere-atmosphere interactions, biodiversity, surface radioactive forcing, biogeochemical cycles, and the sustainable utilization of environmental resources [5].

Many researchers have found that within the Shiyang River Basin, the extent of land use change resulting from urban expansion and sprawl between 2000 and 2010 could not be adequately explained by one factor alone [6]. Similarly, Zhang et al. [7], using Landsat/ETM data, population data, and economic statistical data analyzed the land use change pattern, and the major driving...
forces of the land use change in Shiyang River Basin. Researchers obtained the land use change areas, ratios, speed from multi-temporal images, statistic data, and field investigation [8]. However, these techniques cannot effectively identify the impacts of land use change in a spatial context, and the class conversions cannot displayed through figures. In this context, the availability of spatial data at regular intervals through space-borne remote sensors is helpful in effectively detecting and monitoring rapid land use changes [9-10].

Sequences of land use changes, either directions or layers, may reveal important details in different zones and directions. Circle layer analysis was used to understand the pattern of different zones from basin centre to outside. The land use change of every zone was calculated and displayed through the circle gradient. Fan-shaped analysis was also used to compute the change area in 16 directions for different periods. Furthermore, distribution zones of land use were carried out with area statistics based on different regions according to elevation features in GIS.

The main objective of this paper is to present the results of an analysis comparing the spatial and temporal patterns of land use change in arid inland regions of northwest China. Specific research addressed here includes:

1. Where are the land use classes distributed across different elevation partitions?
2. What are the patterns of land use distribution in different locations and directions?
3. What are the speeds of land use change in the four periods?

Material and Methods

Study Area

The Shiyang River Basin (101°22´-104°16´E and 36°29´-39°27´N) is one of the three inland river basins in the Hexi corridor, lying east of the corridor in Gansu Province in northwest China (Fig. 1). The basin occupies an area of 4.16 ×10^4 km² and includes seven counties [11]. It is located in a continental temperate zone with arid climate and variable topography. The annual precipitation is 100-600 mm, whereas the annual pan evaporation is 700-2,600 mm. The Shiyang River originates from the Qilian Mountains with eight tributaries, which are mainly fed by rainfall, snowmelt, and glacier melt in the Qilian Mountains. The total water resource is 16.61×10^8 m³, of which surface runoff is about 15.61×10^8 m³ and groundwater is 1.00×10^8 m³.

Remote Sensing and Data Processing

Four time periods of Landsat TM/ETM images were acquired to detect the spatial temporal change of land use from 1986 to 2015. They were Landsat TM images of 1986 and 2000, Landsat ETM+ of 2006, and Landsat OLI of 2015 (spatial resolution is 30 m×30 m). Besides, SPOT images of 2000 (spatial resolution is 10 m) and Google Earth screenshots (September 2015) were obtained to help identify land use class and boundary. Other data included digital elevation model (DEM) with a spatial resolution of 30 × 30 m, and the land use maps at a scale of 1:100 000 from 2006 and 2015. DEM is provided by the Geospatial Data Cloud (www.gscloud.cn), the Computer Network Information Center, and the Chinese academy of Sciences. Land use maps come from the Cold and Arid Regions Sciences Data Center at Lanzhou (westdc.westgis.ac.cn). The remote sensing data obtained were geo-referenced, rectified, and cropped pertaining to the study area and to minimize geographical deviation [12]. Geo-referenced remote sensing data (Landsat data) has been done using ground control points collected from the field using GPS, and also from known points (e.g., street corners or road intersections).

In this study, all the pre-processed images were manually classified using a geographical information system through grid-based visual interpretation [12]. Land use was classified using six categories, including farmland, woodland, grassland, water body, urban, and residential land, and unused land according to the land use classification standard by the Chinese academy of Sciences [13]. After that, the classification comparison approach and field observations were used to assess land use change detection. Accuracy assessment to evaluate the classification result was done with the help of training samples and field data by testing the statistical significance of a difference and computation of kappa coefficients [14]. The Kappa coefficients of 1986, 2000, 2006, and 2015 were 79.56%, 80.20%, 83.84%, and 88.44%, respectively – all good enough to contrast with the others.

Land Use Change Detection Methods

Land Use Distribution in Elevation Partition

The study area is located in the three major loess transition zones of the Loess, Tibetan, and Mongolian
plateaus, and the elevation is very different from
downstream to upstream. Different land use classes have
obvious zonal differentiation characteristics. To analyze
the different land use classes at different altitudes within
the scope of distribution (e.g., where are the farmland and
the woodland main distributions? What are the differences
in the distribution area?), the region has been divided into
nine elevation partitions (EP) based on digital elevation
models (DEM) of 1,200-1,500 m (I), 1,500-2,000 m (II),
2,000-2,500 m (III), 2,500-3,000 m (IV), 3,000-3,500 m
(V), 3,500-4,000 m (VI), 4,000-4,500 m (VII), 4,500-
5,000 m (VIII), and 5,000-5,200 m, respectively, based
on the terrain and geomorphic features of the study area.

Circle-Layer Analysis

Land use was divided into concentric circles of
incrementing radius of 15 km from the centre of the city
(Fig. 2a), which would help in visualizing and understanding
the agents responsible for changes at the local level. This
approach (circle layers) also helps in visualizing the forms
of land use change (increase, decrease, sprawl, compact,
etc.) and the structural characteristics of land use through
building the circle buffer, which had an equal radius [15].
The area change ratio in each circle is monitored overtime
using time series analysis. The land use region had 12
circle layers to cover the entire study area. In the process
of calculation area in each circle layer, the land use in
1986, 2000, 2006, and 2015 was clipped by circle layers
respectively in ArcGIS.

Fan-Shaped Analysis

Land use pattern has not been uniform in all directions.
To understand the pattern of change in different directions,
the region has been divided into 16 zones based on the
directions: north (N), north-northeast (NNE), northeast
(NE), northeast-east (NEE), east (E), southeast-east (SEE),
southeast (SE), south-south east, south (S), south-southwest
(SSW), southwest (SW), southwest-west (SWW), west
(W), northwest-west (NWW), northwest (NW), north-
northwest (NNW), and north (N), respectively (Fig. 3b)
based on the central pixe (geo-spatial central). Each zone
was seen as a fan-shape and had equal area. The change
of the land use classes in respective zones was monitored
through the computation of area for different periods. The
fan-shaped area covered about a 170-km radius, which
could contain the entire study region (Fig. 2b).

Land Use Dynamicity Analysis

The quantitative change of land use can be represented
by land use dynamicity, including single land use
dynamicity and comprehensive land use dynamicity. It
refers to the quantitative change of one single land use
class in a study region at a certain period of time. This
paper used single land use dynamicity, which can be
calculated as:

\[ R_d = \frac{(U_b - U_a)}{U_a} \times \frac{1}{T} \times 100\% \quad (1) \]

…where \( R_d \) represents the dynamicity of one land use
class over the period; \( U_a \) and \( U_b \) denote the areas of one
land use class at the beginning (moment a) and at the end
(moment b) of the study period, respectively; and \( T \) stands
for the study period from moment a to moment b. If \( T \) is
set to be multiple years, the value of \( R_d \) will be the annual
changing rate of the land use class during the given period.

For a land use class, the land change can be described
using the ratio of area change in a region to the total area
of study area over a time period. To reflect the increase
and decrease degree of a land use class, the index of
change magnitude of land use was used to calculate. It can
be defined as:

\[ I_d = \frac{(U_b - U_a)}{A_t} \times \frac{1}{T} \times 100\% \quad (2) \]

…where \( I_d \) represents the index of change magnitude of
land use class over the period and \( A_t \) denotes the total area
of study area.
Results and Discussion

Spatial Distribution of Land Use Classes

In the different EP, the total area ratio of land use classes from 1986 to 2015 is shown in Fig. 3. In the 1,200–1,500 m (I) EP, the main land use class was unused land, which accounts for 31.62% of total area of unused land in 1986 and 29.53% in 2015. In 1,500–2,000 m (II) EP, farmland occupied the most area (30.16% in 1986, 30.74% in 2000, 29.37% in 2006, and 29.38% in 2015), and other types had small proportion. From 2,000 to 5,200 m, the main land use classes were different (urban and residential land (III), grassland, woodland and water body (IV), woodland (V), grassland and woodland, water body (VII), and unused land (VIII, VIII)). Compared with the land use distribution, some vertical zonal pattern was reflected that farmland mainly distributed from 1,500–3,000 m, which contains II, III, and IV EP. Meanwhile, woodland was mainly distributed in the range of elevation from 2,500 to 4,000 m, which occupied about more than 90% of the total area of woodland. Grasslands mainly distributed in the range of III, IV, V, and VI EP from 1986 to 2015, which had two oasis regions and was surrounded by several rivers. Soil, temperature, and water were suitable for alpine grass growth in these EP, so grassland area was large. The water body was located mainly at an elevation of 4,000 m above, which came from the alpine rivers and meltwater. Comparatively, the urban and residential land was mainly distributed in the partition of 3,500 m below, especially in the III (2,000–2,500 m) EP, which accounts for 31.77% (1986), 34.58% (2000), 36.82% (2006), and 33.43% (2015) of the total area of urban and residential lands, respectively.

Overall, from Qilian Mountain in the southern part to the desert area of the northern part, the distribution of the main land use types were shown as: glacier and perpetual snow→cold desert →water body→marsh →grassland→woodland→farmland→urban and residential land→bare soil→bare rock→sandy desert→Gobi. Along with altitude falling, various types of land use landscape overlaid one another and crossed distribution. The boundaries of land use classes gradually blurred from south to north.

Spatial Position Conversion and Quantity Change of Land Use

It could be reflected from Fig. 4 that the land use classes transferred obviously during the period of 2000–06 were mainly grassland, farmland, and unused land. Seen from position, grassland had changed across almost the entire study area (except some area that had no grassland) from downstream to upstream. However, the most obvious place was in the southeastern part of the study area, which was mainly converted into farmland and unused land. Meanwhile, farmland transfer mainly occurred in the upstream region, which was a minority place. The change of unused land mainly displayed in the downstream study area was from Fig 4. This region had the most area of unused land such as bare soil, bare rock, sandy desert, and Gobi desert, as well as harsh environment.

The conversion area from 2006 to 2015 was also calculated using ArcGIS software (Table 1), where the area of farmland was 2,913.063 km², of which the area converted into other classes was 1,402.47 km², while others converted into farmland were 1,510.59 km². In addition, grassland conversion area was 8,296.95 km² (converted into 3,950.36 km² as converted from 4,346.59 km²), and the woodland conversion area was...
2,550.11 km² (converted into 1,374.97 km² as converted from 1,175.14 km²). The smallest area change was surface water, with an area of 431.82 km² (converted into 223.83 km² as converted from 207.99 km²). From 2006 to 2015, the biggest change area was grassland and the smallest was water.

Spatial Temporal Change of Land Use in Different Circle Layers

Fig. 5 shows that urban and residential land increased from the third to the 12th circle layer, with the low-area ratio in 1986 and the high one in 2015, especially from the ninth circle layer, which increased intensely and which reflected that urban and residential land continually sprawled along the outside of the Shiyang River Basin in recent years. Furthermore, farmland area changed from the sixth to the 12th circle layer, and the most obvious change happened in the 10th circle layer, with areas of 108.53 km², 112.24 km², 198.59 km², and 133.15 km² from 1986 to 2015. The 11th (0.84 km², 0.83 km², 0.85 km², and 0.96 km²) and 12th circle layers (0.62 km², 0.60 km², 0.76 km², and 0.90 km²) also changed a lot.

Grassland area changed differently in each circle layer, especially from the ninth to 12th layers, with the ratio of the ninth circle at 21.88%, 21.73%, 27.17%, and 29.22% from 1986 to 2015, respectively. From these results, some conclusions could be obtained as follows:

1. In Shiyang River Basin, urban and residential land, farmland, and grassland were the most intensely changed classes, while others were not so obvious.
2. Especially the sprawl of urban and residential land was heavily concentrated outside of the city center and kept the trend of increase during the period of 1986-2015.
3. Farmland changed in each circle layer and the area was mutually changed between increase and decrease. However, the total area of the farmland in the whole basin increased; in contrast, the area of grassland displayed a decreasing tendency in recent years, and the change mainly was presented at the edge of the basin.

Table 1. Land use conversion and quantity change in Shiyang River Basin (unit: km²).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farmland</td>
<td>Farmland</td>
<td>105.90</td>
<td>1,856.73</td>
<td>1,402.47</td>
<td>496.66</td>
<td>1,572.63</td>
<td>1,510.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodland</td>
<td>Woodland</td>
<td>67.09</td>
<td>1,525.05</td>
<td>1,374.97</td>
<td>21.14</td>
<td>1,246.27</td>
<td>1,175.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>295.03</td>
<td>5,896.98</td>
<td>3,950.36</td>
<td>100.74</td>
<td>4,046.09</td>
<td>4,346.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water body</td>
<td>Water body</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>68.62</td>
<td>223.83</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>216.83</td>
<td>207.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban and residential land</td>
<td>Urban and residential land</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>253.76</td>
<td>254.75</td>
<td>23.74</td>
<td>257.70</td>
<td>406.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unused land</td>
<td>Unused land</td>
<td>239.11</td>
<td>2,664.90</td>
<td>3,611.82</td>
<td>63.52</td>
<td>4,926.51</td>
<td>3,171.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Spatial distribution of land use conversion from 1986 to 2015.
Spatial Distribution Pattern Analysis of Land Use Classes

In order to understand the spatial distribution of different land use class in different locations and directions, the circle layer and fan-shape were combined to analyze. Each fan-shaped zone was divided into concentric circles and the area in each circle layer and direction was computed (Fig. 6). The dominant land use classes were unused land, grassland, farmland, and woodland, which had the large area and patches. The largest area of land use was unused land, which distributed each circle layer and fan-shaped zone, especially when it had the most in the NE direction and the most widely distributed outside of the basin. Grassland was mainly distributed outside of the circle layer in NW from 1986 to 2015 and started decreasing after 2000. In contrast, the farmland was reclaimed nearby the midstream in SE, SW during the period of 1986-2000, and increased in the NE direction in 2006 while decreasing in 2015. Woodland distributed in SW and SE in 1986 and sprawled outside of the NW direction in 2000 and 2006, while shrinking SW in 2006.

Result of Land Use Dynamicity Model

Table 2 shows the result of the land use dynamicity model of land use class during the three periods of the Shiyang River Basin. Land use was kept stable before 2000, but experienced a significant dynamic change after 2000 from dynamic results. The most obviously changed class was urban and residential land, other classes such as farmland, grassland, and woodland also changed, while water body and unused land changed weakly. Urban and residential land expanded quickly after 2000, and more than 2% growth average annual from 2000 to 2015. Farmland increased during the first and second periods (1986-2000 and 2000-06) and then decreased after 2006. It could be indicated from the expansion of urban and residential land and farmland that the change of land use of Shiyang River Basin was influenced obviously by human activities, for the demand of high quality for agriculture production and living environment. However, the increase of farmland and urban growth resulted in the loss of grassland and woodland.

Fig. 5. Land use distribution in each circle layer, each of which is composed of the area ratios of 1986, 2000, 2006, and 2015; the change information can be indicated through proportions. a) farmland, b) woodland, c) Grassland, d) Water body, e) Urban and residential land, f) Unused land.

Fig. 6. Circle layer and fan-shaped temporal land use.
Major Driving Forces of the Land Use Spatio-temporal Change

In the Shiyang River Basin, both natural conditions and human activities were responsible for land use changes, but the effect of human activities was more profound [16-17], especially population, policy, economic, and technological. The factors had a great influence on the change of most land use classes, especially farmland and urban and residential land [18]. Population growth had been considered a major factor leading to farmland change [19]. In 1986-2015 the population increased from 1,949,228 to 2,429,066 (24.61% increase), and the agriculture population increased from 1,644,391 to 1,805,142 (9.77% increase) as farmland area increased from 6,507.41 to 6,916.91 km² (6.29% increase) and urban and residential land area increased from 363.81 to 540.50 km² (48.56% increase). This indicates that population and human activities played an important role in land use change in the Shiyang River Basin [20]. The increase of population would inevitably lead to an increase in demand of land production such as food, housing, and more [21].

Policies such as the China Western Development policy and New Countryside Construction strategies played a pivotal role in the area and spatial pattern change of the Shiyang River Basin (Fig. 7). Local residents were poor before 1986, and under the Economic Reform and Agriculture Production Campinas policy unused land reclamation was a way to get more food and money and greatly increase farmland area. With the construction of a family-contract responsibility system (the farmers have their own farmland), the area of farmland expanded and had become one of the key grain and corn production bases. China Western Development in the 2000s and the Agriculture Tax Canceling policy in 2006 were launched by the Chinese government. As a result, a large proportion of the wasted lands were reclaimed and a number of peasants worked in cities were back home again and began to make a living by farming. After 2000, the Grain for Green Project, Basin Comprehensive Planning, and Construction of New Countryside had a profound impact on the regional economy, land use constructions, and urban and residential land area. Consequently, policy was a complex factor that not only influenced land use area change, but

Table 2. Area and dynamicity of land use class from 1986 to 2015 in Shiyang River Basin (km²).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>1986</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>Dynamicity (%)</th>
<th>Change Ratio (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farmland</td>
<td>6,507.42</td>
<td>6,897.72</td>
<td>7,032.62</td>
<td>6,916.91</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodland</td>
<td>2,676.49</td>
<td>2,630.55</td>
<td>2,521.82</td>
<td>2,482.84</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>11,280.10</td>
<td>11,082.12</td>
<td>11,031.23</td>
<td>11,123.89</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water body</td>
<td>147.79</td>
<td>149.82</td>
<td>149.04</td>
<td>145.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban and residential land</td>
<td>363.81</td>
<td>386.84</td>
<td>450.77</td>
<td>540.51</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unused land</td>
<td>19,603.23</td>
<td>19,431.78</td>
<td>19,393.35</td>
<td>19,369.65</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. China’s major policy forces for and against land use change in the Shiyang River Basin.
also forced various changes that both threatened and promoted the basin sustainable development.

Conclusions

This research showed that the main land use class was unused land, and distributed in the downstream plain, which was an average elevation of 1,500 m below. Farmland occupied the most area in 1,500-2,000 m (II) EP, and woodland was mainly distributed in the range of elevation from 2,500 to 4,000 m, which occupied more than 90% of the total area of woodland. Because of the influence caused by terrain conditions, the patterns of land use distribution had an obvious vertical characteristic.

The study found that farmland mainly took place at the intersection region between desert and agriculture oasis. In addition, the largest area of land use was unused land, which distributed each circle layer and fan-shaped zone, especially when it had the most in the NE direction and the most widely distributed outside of the basin, while other classes change occurred in each circle layer and directions. Although the dominant driving forces were population growth and policy, the fast development of the economy determined the land use patterns to a certain extent.
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