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Abstract

Water pollution has been a prime concern for scientists for decades. Different treatment methods have been suggested by different researchers, with photocatalysis emerging as an efficient method for treating industrial textile wastewater. This study is designed to synthesize a nano-photocatalyst for the degradation of two synthetic dyes. The $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3.\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ nano-photocatalyst was synthesized by a novel technique: ultrasonic-assisted mechanical stirring and synthesized photocatalyst characterized by x-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy-dispersive x-ray (EDX) analysis, dynamic light scattering, and BET surface area analysis. The $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3.\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ nano-photocatalyst was tested for its potential to degrade methyl orange and methylene blue dyes. Important influencing parameters (pH, catalyst dose, initial dye concentration, and reaction time) have been optimized during the dye degradation process. The highest degradation for methyl orange (39%) and methylene blue (45%) was achieved by using 60 mg/100 mL catalyst dose and 120 minutes of reaction time at room temperature. The study results indicated that $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3.\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ nano-photocatalyst has good potential for the removal of dyes from industrial effluents.
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Introduction

Water pollution is the burning issue of today’s society and extensive research has been conducted in the field of wastewater treatment [1]. In countries like Pakistan, where the textile sector is playing a major role in the economy of the country, the textile industries are also responsible for enhancing the wastewater problem. Most textile industries release wastewater to the environment without any treatment [2-3]. Color is the major contaminant in textile effluents. The molecular structure of any contaminant provides good insight and helps formulate an effective treatment method for its removal from water streams. Most of the synthetic dyes (up to 70%) include azo group, which contains $\text{N} = \text{N}$ bond in its molecular structure [4-5]. These synthetic dyes have complex aromatic
structures and a very efficient method is required for their removal from water bodies [6].

Different treatment technologies have been suggested by different researchers. Overall, the treatment methods can be categorized into physical methods, chemical methods, biological methods, and physico-chemical processes [7]. Physical methods don’t degrade the structure of dyes but only change the phase [8]. Biological methods don’t have the potential to degrade such a complex aromatic structure of synthetic dyes and are proved to be inefficient in this regard. In this context, the advanced oxidation processes that come under the category of chemical methods have been proved very efficient for destroying the synthetic dyes and this process does not require any critical conditions of temperature and pressure.

The concept of photocatalysis was proposed by Fujisheema and Honda in 1972, in which they proposed a method of conversion of solar energy into electrical energy [9]. Most of the study results indicate that photo catalysts can efficiently work at ambient temperature and pressure conditions, which makes the process more attractive [10-12]. The researchers are working hard on the development of efficient photocatalysts. The factors that significantly affect the photocatalytic activity of catalysts include size and morphology. Researchers are working on the synthesis of nano-photocatalysts by using different techniques, which include ball milling [13], arc spark methods [14], hydrothermal synthesis [15], electrochemical processes [16], reverse micelle reactions [17], chemical synthesis [18], and chemical co-precipitation [19]. Among these different methods, chemical co-precipitation has been found to be an efficient and low-cost technique [20].

The present study is designed to evaluate the photocatalytic efficiency of Al_{2}O_{3}.Fe_{2}O_{3} nano-photocatalyst synthesized by ultrasonic-assisted mechanical stirring co-precipitation method for the degradation of synthetic solutions of methyl orange (C.I. 13025) and methylene blue (C.I. 52015) dyes. Methyl orange is anionic in nature while methylene blue is a cationic dye. The synthesized nano-photocatalyst was characterized by XRD, EDX, and SEM, and its efficiency was checked for the degradation of synthetic dyes.

Materials and Method

Chemicals

All chemicals were of analytical grade and supplied from Sigma-Aldrich (USA) and Merck (Germany). MilliQ water was used throughout the experimental work.

Synthesis of Al_{2}O_{3}.Fe_{2}O_{3} Nano-Photocatalyst

A co-precipitation technique was used to synthesize Al_{2}O_{3}.Fe_{2}O_{3} nano-photocatalysts by a process reported by Shahid et al., 2010 [20]. A mechanical stirrer (IKA RW 20 Digital) was fit to a three-neck (Pyrex) flask of 500 mL capacity. A digital laboratory thermometer (Thermoprobe TL1W) was fit to one neck of the flask and a burette (Pyrex) was fit to the other neck for drop-wise addition of NH_{3} solution. For the ultrasonic-assisted mechanical stirring co-precipitation method the flask was placed in an ultrasonic bath (Unisonics FXP12MH) where mechanical stirring and sonication were applied at the same time. Appropriate amounts of AlCl_{3} and FeCl_{3}.6H_{2}O were weighed and dissolved in 100 mL of deionized water separately at 65°C. The solutions were mixed and stirred at 500 rpm mechanically for 30 min at 65°C, and then 30% NH_{3} solution was added to the mixture solution drop-wise over 120 min to raise the pH of the slurry to 10 with constant stirring. The resulting reddish brown slurry was left stirring for another 60 min. The precipitates were centrifuged at 4,000 rpm, washed with deionized water, and finally washed with ethanol. The precipitates were separated and dried at 100°C for 24 h in an oven. Dried samples were ground using an agate mortar and pestle to a fine powder and divided in three portions, and two of them were calcined at either 400 or 600°C for 4 h in a muffle furnace. Samples were stored in air-sealed glass bottles for further use.

Characterization of Al_{2}O_{3}.Fe_{2}O_{3}

The crystalline phase and crystallite size of the nano-particles was determined by x-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker D8 Advance) employing Cu Kα radiation (λ= 1.5406 Å, 2θ = 10 – 100ο). XRD patterns of the samples were analyzed with the help of Match! 3.0.0 (phase identification software from powder diffraction) by Crystal Impact Bonn, Germany. We used the Crystallography Open Database (COD) REV129424 2015.01.07 for analysis. The crystallite size was determined using the Scherrer equation. The particle size was determined using a Zetasizer (Malvern Nano ZS). The morphology and elemental composition was determined by a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Philips XL 30) equipped with an energy-dispersive x-ray detector. The sample in minute quantity was sprinkled on the adhesive surface of magnetic tape and the tape was fixed on an aluminum stub and the stub sputter coated with Pt (Quorum Q150T). BET surface area and pore size of the samples were studied by N_{2} adsorption desorption experiments on a Micromeritics Tristar 3000, where the samples were degassed at 150°C for 12 h prior to measurement.

Photocatalytic Activity Evaluation

Methyl orange and methylene blue dyes were used as test pollutants. Degradation experiments were carried out by using a specific amount of photocatalyst mixed with 100 mL of dye solution (50 ppm), stirred for 30 min in the dark to equilibrate the adsorption-desorption process, and then the suspension was illuminated by visible light using a tungsten-halogen lamp. For the initial dye concentration experiment, the dye concentration for both the dyes varied from 20-60 ppm. Aliquots of 3 mL were removed and the
absorbance of Methyl Orange and Methylene blue was measured at 464 nm and 661 nm, respectively, by using a UV/Vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan). A series of studies were carried out to evaluate the effect of pH, catalyst dose, initial dye concentration, and reaction time on the dye degradation process.

Kinetic Study

In the wastewater treatment process it is important to have a clear picture of the potential rate-controlling step of the process. For the degradation of dyes, the dye molecules first get adsorbed on the surface of the photocatalyst and then the catalyst degrades the dye molecules. Several kinetic models are available to describe adsorption kinetics. Most used models are pseudo first- and pseudo second-order. The applicability of these kinetic models was determined by measuring the correlation coefficients ($R^2$).

Results and Discussion

Characterization of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$

XRD Analysis of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$

The XRD pattern of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ synthesized by ultrasonic-assisted mechanically stirred and calcined at 600°C are shown in Fig. 1a. Two types of crystal system were detected as basic sharp peaks at $\theta = 24.26^\circ$, $33.31^\circ$, $35.76^\circ$, $43.72^\circ$, $54.87^\circ$, $62.75^\circ$, $64.94^\circ$, $72.36^\circ$, $81.83^\circ$, $83.41^\circ$, and $89.04^\circ$, representing the $\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ (Hematite) with trigonal crystal system according to entry number 96-901-4881. The gahnite phase was also detected at $\theta = 39.41^\circ$, $49.71^\circ$, $56.31^\circ$, $69.93^\circ$, $75.44^\circ$, and $94.29^\circ$, which are reported peaks for $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_4\text{Zn}$ with cubic crystal system according to the entry number 96-900-7028.

Scanning Electron Microscopy of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$

A SEM image of the $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ synthesized by ultrasonic-assisted mechanically stirred co-precipitation is shown in Fig. 1b (Calcined at 600°C), and it is clear from the image that the photocatalyst has no specific shape.

Energy-Dispersive x-ray (EDX) Analysis of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$

EDX analysis of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ synthesized by ultrasonic-assisted stirring during co-precipitation was performed with help of Philips XL 30 equipped with an EDX detector, and back scatter electron images of the samples were taken to analyze the chemical composition of the sample for qualitative and some estimation of molar and weight percentage of elements present in the sample. $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ is non-homogeneous material as its surface has different shades, and it looks like a porous material at the same time. Spectra have very clear peaks for Fe, Al, and O, and a small peak of C also was observed, which is due to the magnetic tape used for supporting the sample on a stub (this peak was eliminated for weight and molar

![Fig. 1. Characterization of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ nano-photocatalyst: a) XRD pattern, b) SEM image, c) EDX spectra, and d) particle size distribution.](image-url)
percentage analysis; Fig. 1c). Estimated weights of Al₂O₃ and Fe₂O₃ from the EDX spectra are 22.74 and 77.26%, and the molar percentages of Al₂O₃ and Fe₂O₃ are 31.55 and 68.45%, respectively.

**Particle Size, Surface Area, and Porosity Analysis**

Particle size was analyzed by a Malvern Zetasizer (Nano ZS). Average particle size for Al₂O₃·Fe₂O₃ synthesized by ultrasonic-assisted mechanically stirred co-precipitation was 12.51 nm with Zetasizer, and by using Sherer’s formula it was 11.09 nm. We observed from SEM images that particles were not of a specific shape so the Zetasizer calculates the diameter of particles from different directions and gives average particle size as distribution of particle size by intensity (Fig. 1d). Particle size was also calculated from x-ray diffraction patterns by using Scherer’s formula. Both results have values close to each other with the confirmation of actual particle sizes. The effect of ultrasonic assisted stirring during co-precipitation is the decrease in particle sizes. Photocatalytic activity was improved due to small particle size and large surface area.

**Photocatalytic Activity**

We investigated the photocatalytic activity of Al₂O₃·Fe₂O₃ nano-photocatalyst for the degradation of the two synthetic dyes methyl orange and methylene blue. The study was conducted to evaluate the effect of pH, catalyst dose, and initial dye concentration and reaction time on the degradation of the two dyes.

**Effect of pH**

pH plays a very significant role in the dye degradation process. To evaluate the effect of pH on the degradation of methyl orange (MO) and methylene blue (MB) dyes, solution pH was varied from 1-9. The response of Al₂O₃·Fe₂O₃ nano-photocatalyst for degradation of both dyes was different by varying the solution pH (Fig. 2a). Maximum MO degradation (20.2%) was observed at pH 3 while maximum MB degradation (18.9%) was achieved at pH 9. The reason behind this behavior is that MB is a cationic dye that was attracted toward negatively charged photocatalyst at high pH value. Due to this attraction, more dye molecules were adsorbed on the catalyst surface and photocatalytic activity was increased at higher pH [21]. Another factor that plays an important role is the availability of hydroxyl ions at high pH value. More hydroxyl ions were produced at higher pH, which leads to an increase in the production of OH radicals, which in turn increased oxidative degradation of MB at pH = 9 [22-24]. For MO, degradation efficiency was increased from pH 1 to 3 and then decreased from pH 3 to 9. Photocatalytic activity should be increased with the increase in pH as at higher pH values because more OH ions are available, which increases the formation of OH radicals [25]. But
in this case, different behavior was observed because at lower pH values the formation of HO₂ radicals takes place, which compensates for the deficiency of hydroxyl ions [26]. MO is anionic in nature and an oxidative attack of the hole on dye molecule is a rate-determining step, so at low pH this attack will be supported and more dye molecules will be degraded [27].

Effect of Catalyst Dose

The experiments were conducted to observe the effect of catalyst amount on the degradation of both dyes. For this purpose, the amount of Al₂O₃·Fe₂O₃ catalyst was varied from 20 mg to 70 mg/100 mL and results are shown in Fig. 2b). The experiment was performed at optimum pH for both dyes. The figure shows that dye degradation increased with increases in the catalyst dose from 20 mg to 60 mg. Maximum dye degradation for MO was found to be 21.8% and for MB it was 24%. When the Al₂O₃·Fe₂O₃ catalyst amount was increased further, a slight decrease in dye degradation efficiency of catalyst was observed. The increase in photocatalytic efficiency was due to the increase in the amount of nanophotocatalyst particles in the reaction mixture, which results in increased adsorption of dye molecules. The decrease in dye degradation efficiency at higher amounts is due to light scattering by accessing the amount of solid particles present in the reaction mixture [28-29]. Hence 60 mg/100 mL was found to be the optimum catalyst dose for both dyes.

Effect of Initial Dye Concentration

The initial concentration of dye in the solution is also a major contributing factor that can influence the catalytic activity of a photocatalyst. To evaluate this effect, the experiment was conducted by varying the initial dye concentration of both the dyes from 20 to 60 ppm. The experiment was conducted at optimum Al₂O₃·Fe₂O₃ catalyst dose and pH for one hour of reaction time. The results are shown in Fig. 2c), which shows that by increasing the initial dye concentration there was a remarkable increase in the percentage of dye degradation for both dyes. Maximum dye degradation was achieved at 50 ppm dye concentration for both the dyes (MB and MO). This is due to the fact that by increasing the number of dye molecules in solution, more dye molecules were available for adsorbing the active sites of the photocatalyst so the effective collisions were increased, which enhanced dye degradation. But further increases in initial concentration decreased the degradation efficiency of the photocatalyst due to decreases in intensity of light needed for the active photocatalyst [30]. This is due to the opacity of the reaction mixture, which may decrease the penetration of visible light in the reaction mixture [31].

Effect of Contact Time

Reaction time is a very important parameter to be optimized during the evaluation of any reaction. For optimization of this important parameter, the reaction time was varied from 20 minutes to 140 minutes and results can be seen in Fig. 2d). The results indicated that with the increase in reaction time, there was an increase in percentage dye degradation for both dyes. Maximum dye degradation for MO was 39% and for MB it was 45%. This was observed at 120 min of reaction time for both dyes. Further increase in time did not show any remarkable change in dye degradation. This is due to the fact that at the start on reaction more active sites are available to the dye molecules. With the progress of reaction the number of active sites available on the catalyst surface decreases and no more active sites become available for reaction, and the reaction mixture becomes stable.

Kinetic Studies

Pseudo first- and pseudo second-order kinetic models are applied on the experimental data to determine the major rate-controlling step. The pseudo first-order kinetic model is based on the fact that the change in dye concentration with respect to time is proportional to power one. The integral form of the pseudo first-order model generally is expressed as:

\[
\log\left(q_e - q_t\right) = \log q_e - K_1 \cdot \frac{t}{2.303}
\]

...where \(q_e\) and \(q_t\) are the adsorption capacity (mg/g) at equilibrium and time \(t\), respectively; \(k_1\) is the rate constant (L/min); and \(t\) is the contact time (min).

According to the Lagergren pseudo first-order model, a plot of \(\log(q_e - q_t)\) versus \(t\) gives a straight line with very poor correlation coefficient (R²). Generally, the pseudo first-order kinetic model is found to be good only for the preliminary stage of the adsorption process. It is usually not fitted well for the whole data range of contact [32].

To understand the mechanism of adsorption over a complete range of contact time, the pseudo second-order kinetic model can be successfully applied. It can be expressed as:

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{K_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}
\]

...where \(K_2\) (g/mg min) is the second order rate constant of the adsorption process.

A plot between \(t/q_t\) versus \(t\) gives the value of the constants \(K_2\) (g/mg h), and \(q_e\) (mg/g) also can be calculated. The values of correlation coefficient (R²) are very higher for both dyes. So the pseudo second-order kinetic model shows best fitness to the kinetic data and it is more appropriate and effective than the pseudo first-order kinetic model. These results are in agreement with the reported results of other researchers [33].
Conclusion

The study was designed to synthesize Al₂O₃-Fe₂O₃ nano-photocatalyst by an efficient technique; ultrasonic-assisted mechanical stirring. The synthesized catalyst was characterized by using different techniques, and study results indicate that this technique is very efficient for synthesis of small particle size material. The synthesized catalyst was tested for the degradation of methyl orange and methylene blue dyes. The maximum degradation achieved for methyl orange was 39% and for methylene blue it was found to be 45%.
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