Investigating Activated Sludge Microbial Population Efficiency in Heavy Metals Removal from Compost Leachate
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Abstract

Solid waste production has increased in recent years. Many studies have shown that generated leachate from solid waste contains a high concentration of heavy metals. Their removal efficiency from leachate was investigated in aerobic suspended and attached growth systems in lab-scale within 72 hours of aeration. All of the materials used were analytical grade (Merck). Maximum efficiency of the attached growth system in removal of BOD 5 and COD was, respectively, 80% and 78.28%. Maximum removal efficiency for both attached and suspended growth was related to lead, and minimum removal efficiency was related to vanadium for the attached growth, and cadmium for suspended growth. Heavy metals removal efficiencies in attached growth from max to min were lead, iron, manganese, cobalt, zinc, mercury, magnesium, copper, chromium, nickel, cadmium, and vanadium, respectively; and the removal efficiencies for suspended growth from max to min were lead, manganese, iron, zinc, copper, magnesium, cobalt, mercury, chromium, nickel, vanadium, and cadmium, respectively. Generally it can be concluded that both systems are suitable for young leachate treatment, but to satisfy environmental discharge standards post treatment will be necessary.
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Introduction

In recent years solid waste production has increased, generally due to increasing population and urbanization coupled with changes in consumption patterns and overuse of resources. Today the high production of solid waste is a global crisis [1-2].

One of the most environmentally sound methods for solid waste disposal is composting. In this method solid wastes undergo aerobic or anaerobic degradation and are converted to organic compost that will be useful in agricultural activities. Composting is a good alternative for sanitary landfills in an area with high groundwater levels [3-4]. Guilan Province is located on the southern side of the Caspian Sea and includes various internationally registered natural resources such as wetlands, lakes, rivers, forests, etc. Improper waste management will have adverse effects on these natural resources and public health [5-6].

There is an artificial composting plant in the city of Rasht (the capital of Guilan) with a capacity of 250 Ton/day. Leachate from the composting plant is one of the most important subjects from environmental and public health points of view in this city. Leachate can be defined as a high-strength organic wastewater that contains a high concentration of recalcitrant organics and toxic mineral matter such as heavy metals. When untreated leachate is discharged directly to the environment it may adversely affect the environment and public health [5, 7].

Many studies show that generated leachate from solid waste contains a high concentration of such heavy metal as Cu, Cd, Cr, Hg, Pb, Zn, Ni, Fe, Co, etc. The sources of heavy metals in leachate can be industrial waste as well as municipal solid waste containing electronic waste, pesticides, fluorescent lamps, thermometers, batteries, and other similar materials [8-9]. It is known that concentration of Heavy metals in young leachate is greater than old one. The toxic effects of heavy metal on human health is clearly known. Exposure to heavy metals may occur through diet, from medications, from the environment, or in the course of work or play. Pollution of water and soil by untreated leachate can play an important role in human exposure to heavy metal [9-10]. In recent years, various methods for heavy metal removal from wastewater have been extensively studied. Chemical precipitation, ion exchange, nano technology, adsorption, and biological methods are commonly used for heavy metal removal [6, 11]. The COD of young leachate is 30-40 times greater than municipal wastewater with a high BOD5/COC ratio (>0.6) and high concentrations of low molecular weight organics. Therefore, biological treatment methods (anaerobic and aerobic) are commonly applied for treating young leachate. Activated sludge processes are commonly used for treating all type of wastewater, including leachate. One of the most important limitations of this process for leachate treatment is the effect of toxic substances such as heavy metals on microbial populations, which leads to many difficulties in operating activated sludge processes and may result in unsatisfied discharge to the environment [12-13]. The main objectives of this study were evaluating the contemporaneous removal potentials of heavy metals, BOD5, and COD of activated sludge biomass and comparing attached and suspended biomass efficiencies.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted on real leachate and sludge at lab scale in the laboratory of wastewater microbiology of Guilan University of Medical Sciences. Initial sludge was collected from the return sludge line of the activated sludge plant operated in Lahiyan industrial complex in northern Iran. Raw leachate collection was done from the Guilan composting plant. The collected leachate was stored in a plastic bottle at 4°C until use. The maximum retention time of sample storage was one week.

Analytical Methods

All chemicals were purchased from MERK (Germany) and the purity of chemicals was 98% and higher. Initial pH of mixed leachate and sludge was adjusted using 0.1 normal HCl and NaOH on 7 and monitored with an 826 Metrohm pH meter. Dissolved oxygen concentration in the aeration jar was determined with an HQD (4od) probe DO meter from HACH Company. All other analysis included: COD, BOD5, and VSS, were conducted according to standard methods for water and wastewater examination [14]. The biomass was estimated by measuring volatile suspended solids (VSS) according to the method of 2940E in standard method. Heavy metals concentration in the digested sample was determined by inductive coupled plasma-optical emission mass spectrometry (ICP) (Amitec Arcos spectrometer), according to standard methods for water and wastewater examination.

Experimental Setup

Two glass jars of 10-l volume were used as batch aeration reactors – one for suspended growth and the other for attached growth experiments. Diffused aeration using a centrifuge blower and pipe diffuser was performed. Aeration times of 24, 48, 72, 120, and 360 hours were selected for each reactor, and the aeration experiment was repeated three time for each retention time. The mixing ratio of the leachate and activated sludge was 1/1 (3-l leachate/3-l sludge). A spherical poly propylene media with 55 mm diameter and specific surface of 300 m2/m3 was used as fixed media in the attached growth reactor. Geometric means were calculated using Excel.

Results and Discussion

Leachate qualitative characteristics were COD 38,500 mg/L, BOD5 22,000 mg/L, pH:7.45, EC 50 (msec/cm);
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Heavy metals as: Pb 0.68, Mn 141.5, Fe 999, Zn 121.2, Cu 2.57, Mg 736, Co 3.47, Hg 0.74, Cr 18.56, Ni 16.52, V 0.68, and Cd 2.23 mg/L. High concentrations of COD and BOD can be seen and from the other hand the mean BOD/COD is about 0.6, which indicates that it is a biodegradable solution and biological processes are appropriate for treatment of this leachate because of a higher fraction of biodegradable organic material.

**BOD\textsubscript{5} and COD Removal**

Fig. 1 shows BOD\textsubscript{5} removal efficiencies in attached and suspended growth reactors in different aeration times. As shown in Fig. 1, BOD\textsubscript{5} removal efficiencies build up with aeration time increasing in both suspended and attached growth. The maximum BOD\textsubscript{5} removal efficiencies were 78.3% and 68.8% in aeration time of 72 hr for attached and suspended growth, respectively.

COD removal of leachate in suspended and attached growth reactors are shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen as the same as BOD\textsubscript{5}, with removal efficiencies of COD improved with aeration time increasing in both suspended and attached growth, and maximum removal was obtained in a 72-h aeration time. Maximum COD removal efficiencies were 80% and 75.8% for attached and suspended growth, respectively. These results were consistent with the Kheradmand et al. study [15] and Amin et al. [16]. Amin et al. studied a complementary treatment of leachate using a sequencing batch reactor (SBR). The results showed that COD removal efficiency increased up to 70% in a bioreactor with time increase in all experiments [16].

A comparison of average COD removal efficiencies at different HRTs between attached growth and suspended growth shows that in all studied HRTs, the removal efficiency of attached growth was significantly greater than suspended. It should be considered that this efficiency is comparable with chemical oxidation methods such as Fenton reagent and other advanced oxidation methods [17-18]. Many studies have indicated that efficient COD removal of leachate needs integrated chemical and biological methods. Also, there are other studies that report that with young leachate more than 95% of COD can be removed [19]. On the other hand, many studies show that anaerobic processes are more efficient than aerobic ones and, for example, a Hasani et al. study indicates COD removal about 90% by anaerobic bio filters [20]. Analysis of results from Figs 2 and 3 shows that the attached growth system is more efficient than suspended growth in COD and BOD removal.

Differences between averages of removal efficiencies of attached and suspended growth were 7.06% and 9.96% for BOD\textsubscript{5} and COD, respectively. Comparison of observed differences between BOD\textsubscript{5} and COD removal efficiencies of attached growth and suspended growth implies that a considerable point can be found. Differences between COD removal are greater than BOD\textsubscript{5} removal, and the same pattern was observed in all hydraulic retention times except 42 hr, therefore it can result that attached growth has more ability for degradation of slowly biodegradable or recalcitrant compounds.

**VSS Variation**

VSS variation in duration of experiments is shown in Fig. 3. It can be seen that the final VSS concentration in attached growth is more than that of suspended growth, and suspended growth, respectively.
and after 120 hr aeration time VSS concentration dropped in both systems, especially in suspended growth. This is according to the removal efficiencies of BOD$_5$ and COD that decreased after 120 hr. As shown in Figs 1 and 2, the maximum removal efficiencies of BOD$_5$ and COD occurred in aeration times of 120 hr. This is according to results of Cevat et al. that studied leachate of Istanbul landfill [21]. This may be due to the fact that with increasing aeration time, available substrate for biomass decreases and the microbial population shifts to the endogenous respiration phase, and yield coefficient and biomass formation consequently decrease [13, 22].

This point must consider that the differences between removal efficiencies may be due to different mean cell residence times (MCRT) in attached growth and suspended growth. There was no wasted sludge in both systems, and with attention to higher concentrations of VSS in attached growth it must be expected that MCRT in attached growth was often greater than in suspended growth.

**Heavy Metals Removal**

The trends of heavy metals removal efficiency in attached growth are given in Fig. 4, which shows that maximum removal efficiency is related to lead that is 95.3% and occurs at an aeration time of 48 hours. On the other hand the minimum removal efficiency is related to vanadium at the same aeration time (30.9%).

Heavy metals removal efficiencies in attached growth from max to min is:

\[
Pb > Fe > Mn > Co > Zn > Hg > Mg > Cu > Cr > Ni > Cd > V
\]

Fig. 5 shows that in 48 hours of aeration time, maximum and minimum removal efficiencies in suspended growth reactor are related to lead (95.6%) and cadmium (18.8%), respectively. This result can be due to the report of Paganelli et al. that indicated cadmium removal mechanism in activated sludge is bio-sorption, while lead was mainly removed by precipitation [23]. Heavy metals removal efficiencies in suspended growth from max to min is:

\[
Pb > Mn > Fe > Zn > Cu > Mg > Co > Hg > Cr > Ni > V > Cd
\]

Results of this study agree with Hasani et al. that reported chromium, lead, and nickel removal efficiencies in the fixed activated sludge at concentrations of 1 mg/lit of heavy metals were 84%, 75%, and 80%, respectively. Similar results were obtained by Koc et al., who indicated that leachate treatment by activated sludge decreased lead concentration (75%) but did not affect cadmium concentration [24]. Results of this study are approximately supported by Justyna et al. [25] and Cecen et al. [26], who reported that activated sludge had a high biosorption capacity and that equilibrium was reached in a short time with respect to copper, iron, manganese, zinc, and chromium, and manganese became very concentrated on activated sludge with time. Also, similar observations were reported by Hashemi et al. [27], who showed that maximum and minimum removal efficiencies of heavy metals from composting leachate were for Pb and Cd, respectively.

A comparison of heavy metal removal efficiencies in attached and suspended growth at different aeration times shows approximately proper efficiency for heavy metal removal in both systems. With attention to Figs 4 and 5 in the initial time of aeration, removal efficiencies of systems are negligible. This can be due to that adaptation of microbial populations of activated sludge to leachate.

Removal efficiency can improve until aeration time reaches 120 hours. After this cutoff point, removal efficiencies decreased, which can be due to decreasing VSS.
Conclusions

From results of this study we can conclude that both systems are suitable for young leachate treatment, but the attached growth system is more efficient than suspended growth for COD elimination. Also, it is concluded that both systems have proper efficiencies for heavy metal removal from young leachate, but post-treatment will be necessary to satisfy environmental discharge standards.
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