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Abstract

There is no doubt that mining activities result in a serious threat to the environment. This study dealt with the heavy metals pollution and ecological risk assessment of the former Jebal Ressas mining site on soils and surrounding sediments. Twenty soil samples were gathered from around the mining discharges and agricultural land, and six sediment samples were collected from Wadi Hmma. Then the trace metal elements Pb, Zn, Cd, As, Ni, Cr and Cu concentrations were determined for these samples. The analyses revealed the presence of the heavy metals (Pb, Zn, Cd and As) in the soil for most of the samples, mainly those close to the mining waste. The Pb, Zn, Cd and As concentrations were remarked to exceed the respective local geo-geochemical backgrounds, indicating an anthropogenic source of pollution. However, the weak presence of Ni and Cr with Fe, not exceeding 3, in these soils reflect the natural origin of these elements. The ecological risk potential index study results unveiled that the Cd content has a significant potential ecological risk.
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Introduction

Heavy metals persistence in the environment has always been one of the most important research topics. Mining has undeniably contributed to the country’s economic development significantly. However, like any mining activity it has generated a sharp increase in the levels of toxic heavy metals in the environment [1-3]. Northern Tunisia is an important metallogenic province that used to produce about 2.3 million tons (MT) of lead, 2 MT of zinc and 0.8 MT of fluorine [4].

After the mine’s closure, several environmental problems surfaced and mining site construction was abandoned with no planned perspectives. The mere heavy metal pollution was not only degrading the...
quality of the atmosphere, water and food crops, but also threatened human health by way of the trophic chain [5-7]. These have a negative impact on sustainable development concepts. The remains of many heavy metals were found to exceed the environmental standards in the mining areas, causing a potential that could contaminate ecosystems (soil, water). Toxic metals-loaded waste can thus pose a serious threat to rivers and sediments as the fine particles of mine tailings are transported through erosion and leaching [8, 9].

Jebel Ressass mining activity has already generated very important quantities of waste (2 million tons). Two natural characteristics would aggravate such a threat. These are the semi-arid Mediterranean climate and the carbonated context causing water erosion to play an important role in the transport of the highly-charged solid of Pb, Zn and Cd to neighboring ecosystems [4]; and the great capacity of the calcareous soils of the region to retain high heavy metals concentrations.

This study was meant to tackle four main objectives: firstly it tried to evaluate the metallic trace elements concentrations of (Pb, Zn, Cd, As, Ni, Cr and Cd) in the former Jebel Ressass Pb-Zn mine neighboring soils located in northeastern Tunisia. Secondly, it aimed to determine the spatial distribution of these elements in the mine surrounding soils and sediments. Thirdly, it helped identify the sources of pollution using the enrichment factor (EF) and evaluate the contamination level based on the geo-accumulation index (Igeo), before finally assessing the associated risk with the heavy metals presence in soils using the ecological risk potential index (Ei).

Materials and Methods

The Study Area and Data Analysis

The Wadi Hmma watershed in northeastern Tunisia covers a drainage basin of 240.2 km². The altitudes within the basin vary between 15.5 and 738.3 m (Fig. 1). The Oued Hmma watershed is a Jurassic extrusion that has emerged through the Cretaceous cover containing Triassic levels.

Considered among the best soils in Tunisia, these permeable and fertile soils are of great agricultural value as they are suitable for all types of agriculture. This basin is characterized by a thermo-Mediterranean climate type. The monthly rainfall varies greatly from year to year following the occurrence of short periods...
torrential rains, as characteristic of the climate. The average annual rainfall over 5 years (between 2010 and 2015) is around 531 mm. Measurements at the meteorological station of Tunis Carthage, the nearest meteorological station to the sampling sites, show that the prevailing winds blow from W to NW. The average annual wind speed is 15.96 km/h. Unlike the winter, when the W to NW winds is strong and frequent, the summer winds are mainly from the East and are less strong less common, hot and dry.

The watershed of Oued Hmma is bordered by the Pb/Zn mining site of Jebel Ressass. This mining site, which was active until 1951, is at the foot of mountains. The mining treatment site is located a few hundred meters to the west, where 3 slag heaps (DI, DII, DIII) consisting of laundry waste-rich in Pb, Zn and Cd are left behind.

After a survey of the study site, 20 surface soil samples (0-10 cm) were taken: five sediment samples were collected from Oued Hmma stream, one sample at Sbahia Dam and three waste samples from (DI, DII, DIII). The sediment samples were gathered along 16 km at about 5 cm deep. A control sample was taken to know the geochemical background of the region. The choice of soil and sediment samples is based on distance from the waste and the different soil types encountered. A soil pit was dug for each sample. The samples were then stored at 4°C in polyethylene bags, referenced and transferred to the laboratories of the analytical platform for different analyses.

In addition to the metallic trace elements (MTE), which is at the core of this work, complementary analyses were undertaken to better understand the environmental physicochemical characteristics as a whole. Thus, the soil and sediments characterization was performed as follows: particle size was carried out on soil samples and dry sediments sieved to 2 mm according to the standardized method (NFX31.107) [10]. Water pH was measured on <2 mm crushed fraction of the soil with a 1/2.5 sol/solution ratio in distilled water according to the NF X 31-117 [11] protocols. pH was measured in the supernatant after stirring for 1 hour and decantation. Organic carbon was gauged by Anne’s method [12] on <2 mm fraction. The principle was to oxidize the sample with concentrated sulfuric acid H2SO4 in the presence of potassium dichromate K2Cr2O7. The reduced dichromate amount was determined by colorimetry. The percentage of organic matter was obtained by multiplying the obtained organic carbon content by 1.724. Calcimetry was carried out using the Bernard calcimeter on 0.25 g of finely ground soil, the reference being pure calcite. 0.25 g of raw soil already ground was introduced into an Erlenmeyer flask connected to a volumetric burette. A tube containing 10% dilute hydrochloric acid was deposited in the flask. Then the tube was tilted, the limestone was attacked and the carbon dioxide was released. HCl reacts with calcite in the cold, giving CO2 and CaCl2 according to the following expression:

\[
\text{CaCO}_3 + 2\text{HCl} \rightarrow \text{CaCl}_2 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

Measuring the released amount of CO2 during this reaction allows us to calculate the CaCO3 content of the attacked soil sample according to the following formula:

\[
\text{CaCO}_3 (\%) = \frac{(L2 - L1)_{\text{sampling}}}{(L2 - L1)_{\text{standard}}} \times 100
\]

...with:
L1: the initial level reading
L2: the final level reading

In order to determine the total metal concentration, some weighed quantities of dried sediments were placed in Teflon tubes and processed with a mixture of concentrated acid at 140°C (hydrofluoric acid, hydrochloric acid and nitric acid). Once the sediments were completely dissolved, the solution was diluted with ultrapure Milli-Q water and filtered through a 0.45 μm cellulose acetate membrane. Data quality was monitored with reference material using Canadian International Standards (HIISS-1, PACS-2) with each series of analyses. The obtained values with this procedure were in good agreement with the certified values and the analytical uncertainties did not exceed 5%. After mineralization, the total Pb, Cd, Zn, As, Ni, Cr and Cu sample contents were analyzed by inductively coupled atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES).

**Sediment and Soil Pollution Assessment Methods**

**Enrichment Factor**

Enrichment factor (EF) is a useful tool for determining the heavy metals anthropogenic pollution degree [13]. EF is calculated using the following relationship:

\[
\text{EF} = \frac{(C_{x}/C_{n})_{\text{sample}}}{(C_{x}/C_{n})_{\text{background}}}
\]

Since aluminum (Al) is associated with fine solid surfaces, its geochemistry is similar to that of many trace metals and its natural concentration tends to be uniform [13]; it was used as a geochemical standard reference element in this study.

The EF values have been interpreted as suggested by Maanen et al. [13], where EF<1 indicates no enrichment; <3 is a minor enrichment; 3-5 is a moderate enrichment; 5-10 is a moderately severe enrichment; 10-25 is a severe enrichment; 25-50 is a very severe enrichment; and >50 is an extremely severe enrichment.
Geo-Accumulation Index ($I_{geo}$)

The geo-accumulation index ($I_{geo}$) is defined by the following equation:

$$I_{geo} = \log_2 \left( \frac{C_n}{1.5 \times B_n} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $C_n$ is the concentration of the metals considered in the sediment samples and $B_n$ is the geochemical background concentration of the metal (n). The factor 1.5 is the correction factor of the background matrix due to lithospheric effects [14]. According to Müller [15], the geoaccumulation index involves seven classes: Class 0 (practically unpolluted): $I_{geo} \leq 0$; Class 1 (unpolluted to moderately polluted): $0 < I_{geo} < 1$; Class 2 (moderately polluted): $1 < I_{geo} < 2$; Class 3 (moderately to heavily polluted): $2 < I_{geo} < 3$; Class 4 (highly polluted): $3 < I_{geo} < 4$; Class 5 (highly to extremely polluted): $4 < I_{geo} < 5$; Class 6 (extremely polluted): $5 > I_{geo}$.

Heavy Metals-Associated Risk

Ecological Risk Potential Index ($E_r^i$)

To express the potential ecological risk of a given contaminant quantitatively, the risk factor can be defined according to the following equation [16]:

$$E_r^i = T_r^i \times C_r^i$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $C_r^i$ represents the calculated contamination factor, which is obtained by dividing the concentration of each element by its reference value (an unpolluted soil) while $T_r^i$ corresponds to the toxic response of the heavy metal [17]. According to Hakanson [16], the toxic response factor of the studied heavy metals is: 30 for Cd, 10 for As, 5 for Pb and Cu and Ni, 2 for Cr and 1 for Zn. The ecological risk degree of a metallic element corresponds to the obtained potential ecological risk value $E_r^i$, where $E_r^i < 40$ indicates low risk; $40 < E_r^i \leq 80$ is moderate risk; $80 < E_r^i \leq 160$ is high ecological risk; $160 < E_r^i \leq 320$ is serious ecological risk; and $E_r^i > 320$ is severe ecological risk [16].

Response of the toxicity of each heavy metal element is different, and the metals whose biological toxicity are strong have a greater proportion in RI, which is shown in the following equation (Eq) [16]:

$$RI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_r^i$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The grade of potential ecological risk of environment, $RI < 150$, is low risk for lake/basin; $150 < RI < 300$ is Moderate risk lake/basin; $300 < RI < 600$ is considerable risk lake/basin; and $RI > 600$ is Very high risk lake/basin.

Comparison between the Measured Metal Concentrations and the International Threshold Guideline Values

Several indicators have been developed to evaluate sediment quality (“Sediment quality guidelines, SQGs”). This assessment can be achieved by comparing the obtained heavy metals concentrations to the guideline values [18]. The most commonly used is that proposed by MacDonald et al. [18], namely: TEL (threshold effect level), which is the concentration “threshold” that produces an effect; and PEL (probable effect level), which is the concentration that generates a probable effect.

Statistical Analysis and Spatial Distribution Maps

The chemo-metric data study was primarily based on the use of such statistical tools as principal component analysis (PCA) and ascending hierarchical classification (AHC). Both of these methods are good tools for identifying pollution sources and providing the best interpretation of results [19]. ArcGIS 10.1 geographic information system software was used to achieve the heavy metals geochemical maps. The inverse distance weighting (IDW) module was applied to interpolate heavy metal concentrations in soil so as to produce the geochemical distribution maps.

Results and Discussion

Physical Constituents of the Studied Soils and Sediments

According to Table 1, particle size analysis shows that while a sandy-loam fraction dominates the agricultural soils, soils near the mined deposits are enriched with silt. Quantitatively speaking, it is the soils near the heaps that contain the most dominant proportion of silt (53.44%). This can be explained by the wind and water erosion effect, which led to a reduction in fine particles and an increase in coarse ones [20]. El Azhari et al. [21] suggest that the mining discharge soil mobility in the surrounding environment was mainly due to the water erosion effect. The sediment particle size analysis shows that these samples are dominated by the clay-silty fraction.

Soil and Sediment Physicochemical Characterization

The results relating to pH, total limestone, organic matter and cation exchange capacity (CEC) are displayed in Table 1. The samples’ physicochemical study shows that the pH is basic and varies between 7.37 and 8.50 with an average value of 7.82, indicating
the soil's alkaline nature. Ma et al. [22] suggest that high pH values promote adsorption and metals precipitation.

Organic matter ranges between 0.81% and 7.66%. The richness in this matter is due to the fact that it is agricultural land, and therefore to the presence of dense vegetation that could play a very important role in the retention of certain heavy metals. The CEC is also high, with a minimum value of 4.34 meq/10 g, which may reach a maximum of 34.02 meq/10 g. This has already been explained by Aprile and Lorandi [23], who stated that the ammonium acetate used in this method promotes the dissolution of carbonates and soluble salts, which leads to the CEC overestimation.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the physicochemical parameters of soils and sediments from the Oued Hmma watershed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>pH-eau</th>
<th>MO (%)</th>
<th>CaCO₃ (%)</th>
<th>CEC (meq/10 g)</th>
<th>Clay (%)</th>
<th>Silt (%)</th>
<th>Sand (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil samples (N20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>8.28</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>34.02</td>
<td>32.44</td>
<td>59.72</td>
<td>72.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>10.22</td>
<td>23.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>32.31</td>
<td>10.68</td>
<td>16.59</td>
<td>31.13</td>
<td>49.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>29.27</td>
<td>15.54</td>
<td>17.87</td>
<td>34.22</td>
<td>47.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment samples (6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>63.67</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>18.75</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>29.66</td>
<td>21.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>26.27</td>
<td>18.72</td>
<td>46.70</td>
<td>27.77</td>
<td>25.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Heavy metal concentrations (mg kg⁻¹ DM) in soils and sediments of the Oued Hmma watershed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Samples from Grombelia Watershed</th>
<th>Background control soil</th>
<th>Limit detection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14673.7</td>
<td>70.0 - 52739.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21794.0</td>
<td>200.0 - 67991.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>111.8</td>
<td>0.8 - 417.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>141.4</td>
<td>17.2 - 370.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>19.1 - 94.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>23.5 - 173.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>29.1 - 189.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45481.5</td>
<td>18800.6 - 77773.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>34236.6</td>
<td>10592.5 - 64691.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Limit detection (µgL⁻¹)  N = number of samples  a = TEL: Threshold effect level (SQGₐ) [18]  b = PEL: Probable effect level (SQGₐ) [18]
To sum up, we can forward these physicochemical conditions sets, the media physical characterization (particle size analysis) as well as the erosion effect favoring the accumulation of metals in the surrounding soils and sediments.

Geochemistry of the Metallic Trace Elements in Soils and Sediments

The heavy metals total concentration determination was the first step in assessing soil health and ecological risk. For this reason, attempts were made to track the MTE contents in the samples. The heavy metals concentrations in all the soil and sediment samples are shown in Table 2. The obtained values for Pb, Zn, Cd and As are very distinct and spread over very wide ranges. They exceed by far the natural concentrations. The Pb contents are of about 52739.7 mg kg\(^{-1}\), while the Zn values exceed 60000 mg kg\(^{-1}\). The concentrations of Cd and As recorded in this study show higher levels in most of the samples. For Ni and Cr they are present with weak values slightly exceeding natural values. Cu contents presented low values not exceeding a few tens of mg kg\(^{-1}\), except for soil sample S3, whereas the copper content reaches 189 mg kg\(^{-1}\). For the sediment, most of the measured metals show that all the sediment samples are rich in MTE. This enrichment is all the more important when going from upstream to downstream. Depending on their abundance in soils and nearby superficial sediments, the analyzed metals were classified in the following order: Zn>Pb>As>Cd>Cr>Cu>Ni

For Al the values are similar or slightly higher than those in the samples closest to the releases. These results show that the samples matrix contains clay minerals. As for Fe, the values are almost of the same order of magnitude.

The Jebel Ressass mining site has high concentrations of metallic trace elements compared to other sites in the world (Table 3). Navarro et al. [24] report high values for the Cabezo Rajao Mine site in SE Spain, with more than 19000 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for Pb, 53100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for Zn and 322 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for Cd. Huyang et al. [25] has suggested that metals concentrations in the Pb-Zn mining wastes surrounding soils exceeded the Chinese National (III) standards for environmental and soil quality limits with a maximum of 10053.99 mg kg\(^{-1}\), 18025.31 mg kg\(^{-1}\), 114.73 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and 781.71 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for Pb, Zn, Cd and As, respectively. However, Mileusnic et al. [26] evoked lower values in soils near a Kombat Mine site in Namibia than those recorded in our study site. The contents found by these authors do not exceed 164 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in Pb, 62 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in Zn and 150 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in Cu. Rashed [27] found levels of up to 29 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for Pb, 92 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for Zn and 60 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for Cu in the neighborhood of a mining site of Egypt (southeast). In the upper parts of soil horizons in the Zambian Copperbelt, Konečný et al. [28] were found to contain high levels of metals with 8980 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for Cu, 83 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for Zn and 42 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for Pb. Therefore, abandoned mine sites mainly represent a source of contamination by heavy metals.

When comparing the heavy metals concentrations presented by most of the previous studies to ours, we can remark that they are much lower, which indicates a high contamination of the studied soils in our work. According to this comparison, the soil and sediment samples are characterized by very high heavy metals concentrations. This proves that the nearby soils of the studied mining site are rich in heavy metals and testify to strong contamination resulting from mining activity. This comparison confirms the importance of the metalliferous contamination in the study area.

### Heavy Metals Spatial Distribution in Soils and Sediments

Heavy metals spatial distribution in soils and sediments was analyzed using GIS. The metal concentrations were interpolated relying on IDW geostatistics. The metals geochemical maps are presented in Fig. 2. The metal concentrations spatial distribution shows two clearly differentiated zones: the “near the
heaps” grouping of metallic trace elements Pb, Zn, Cd and As, and “agricultural land” containing Ni, Cr and Cu. The highest Pb, Zn, Cd and As concentrations were recorded around the waste dumps (52739.7 mg kg⁻¹, 67991.5 mg kg⁻¹, 417.3 mg kg⁻¹ and 370.2 mg kg⁻¹ for Pb, Zn, Cd and As, respectively). The variation coefficient is

Fig. 2. Soil geochemical maps for: a) Pb, b) Zn, c) Cd, d) As, e) Ni, f) Cr and g) Cu.
significant (1.01), (1.10), (1.16) and (0.8) for Zn, Pb, Cd and As, respectively, which might be explained by the Pb, Zn, Cd and As source development [29]. The further away from the waste dump, the lower these metals’ concentrations, but they still remain high compared to the guideline values. In addition, the farthest sampling heap stations from the “agricultural land” are characterized by high Ni, Cr and Cu levels. Moreover, Wadi el Hmma sediments have high Pb, Zn, Cd, As, Ni, Cr and Cu concentrations downstream of the basin. Such a metal distribution is similar to that found by El Azhari et al. [21] in Morocco.

To refine the results of the aforementioned descriptive study and the effect of the sources as well as the evaluation of the contamination intensity and the eco-toxicological risk of these metals, the use of other chemo-metric approaches based on the calculation of the enrichment factor, the geo-accumulation index, the hierarchical classification and the correspondence factorial analysis remain highly relevant.

Chemo-Metric Approaches

Enrichment Factor

The Soils

The enrichment factor (EF) allows for the assessment of metallic pollution intensity by separating the anthropogenic signal from the natural one. The EF calculation result (Fig. 3a) reveals that most of the obtained values exceed by far 1, especially for Zn, Pb, Cd and As. These values also show an obvious anthropogenic effect governed by a significant metalliferous contamination of the studied sites. Based on an analysis of the different heavy metals, average EF values were shown to decrease in the following order: Pb>Cd>Zn>As>Cu>Cr>Ni. A further examination of the obtained values indicates that 75% of the sites (S1-S15) have an extremely severe enrichment with respect to the crust geochemical bottom (Fig. 3a). For the rest of the samples (S16-S20), however, the EF is distributed as follows: for Zn, 15% of the samples (S16-S18) are characterized by a severe enrichment and 10% (S19-S20) are characterized by a moderate enrichment. For As, 20% of samples (S16-S19) have moderately severe enrichment and 5% (S20) have a moderate enrichment. For Pb and Cd, 10% of the samples (S16-S17) have a very severe enrichment, 5% (S18) show severe enrichment and 10% (S19-S20) have a moderately severe enrichment. The spatial distribution of heavy metal enrichment factor showed a progressive increase surrounding the slag heaps.

For Ni and Cr, the soils are slightly enriched with respect to the crust (1<FE<3). They probably originated in terrigenous sources. For Cu (copper), 40% of the samples (S1-S2-S5-S9-S10-S11-S13-S16) have a moderate enrichment, 25% (S4-S6-S7-S14-S15) have a moderately severe enrichment and 30%
(S8 -S12-S17-S18-S19-S20) have a minor enrichment – except for S3 (25<FE<50), which shows very severe enrichment. This indicates the presence of a potential anthropogenic source for this element.

The Sediments

As far as the sediments are concerned, the enrichment factor spatial variations (Fig. 3b) for Zn, Pb and Cd vary from severe to very severe. For Zn, 33% of the samples (Sd1-Sd2) have severe enrichment while 67% (Sd3-Sd4-Sd5-Sd6), located downstream of the basin, have very severe enrichment. For Pb, all the samples exhibit severe enrichment except for Sd1, which has a very severe EF. Enrichment is very severe for Cd compared to the crust for all the samples. The obtained EF values for As do not exceed 10 (5<FE<10) in all the sampling stations, resulting in a moderately severe enrichment for this element. This is attributed to the anthropogenic source of these elements.

Enrichment is minor for Ni and Cr (FE<3) except for Sd1 for Cr, where it is moderate (3<FE<5 = 4.27), indicating a terrigenous source for these two elements. As for Cu, 83.33% of the samples (Sd2-Sd3-Sd4-Sd5-Sd6) have minor enrichment and 16.66% (Sd1) have moderately severe enrichment, revealing a potential anthropogenic source for this element. The order from the highest to the least important of average enrichment factors of the different heavy metals was found to be as follows: Cd>Zn>Pb>As>Cu>Cr>Ni.

The order of the average heavy metals enrichment factors is different between soils and sediments. These results can be attributed to the mobility of Cd and Zn, and the adsorption of lead by the clay fraction in soils.

The Geo-Accumulation index (I_geo)

The I_geo was used as a criterion to evaluate the contamination intensity of the studied soils and sediments. The Zn, Pb, Cd and As I_geo (Fig. 4a) vary between 1.3 and 9.7; 2.5 and 11.5; 2.4 and 11.4; 1.3 and 5.8, respectively. For Zn, Pb and Cd, 75% of the samples (S1 to S15), which are the closest to the lead-zinc discharges, are extremely polluted. 15% of the Zn samples (S16-S17-S18) are considered highly polluted and 10% (S19 and S20) are moderately polluted. Similarly, 15% of Pb and Cd samples (S16-S17-S18) are considered highly to extremely polluted and 10% as moderately to highly polluted (S19-S20). The As contamination intensity varies as follows: close to discharges soils, (S1-S4-S10-S11-S13) are extremely polluted, while other soils (S2-S3-S5-S6-S7-S12-S14-S15) are highly polluted. The rest of the soils are moderately polluted. From these results it can be concluded that Pb, Cd, Zn and As are significantly accumulated in soils.

As for Ni and Cr, they do not contribute to the contamination of the closest soils to the discharges with (I_geo<0), while soils near agricultural land are unpolluted to moderately polluted with 0<I_geo<1.

Concerning Cu, 10% of the samples (S4-S12) are unpolluted while 75% are unpolluted to moderately polluted, except for S3, which is moderately to highly polluted. 15% of the samples collected from the farmland (S7-S8-S16) are moderately polluted (1<I_geo<2). Soil pollution levels are consistent with the spatial distribution of heavy metals total concentrations.

The Sediments

The I_geo results of the sediments (Fig. 4b) show that Pb contributes to a moderate to strong pollution of Sd1, Sd2, Sd3 and Sd6, and strongly polluted Sd4 and Sd5. For Zn and Cd, Sd1 is moderately polluted, Sd2 is highly polluted and Sd3, Sd4, Sd5 and Sd6 are highly to extremely pollute. All the sampled sediments are moderately polluted by As but none is polluted by Ni and Cr.

The sediments from Sd2, Sd4, Sd5 and Sd6 are unpolluted to moderately polluted by Cu, while Sd1 and Sd3 are totally uncontaminated by this element. Clearly, the sediment contamination levels also follow the spatial distribution of total heavy metal concentration with the highest I_geo values detected downstream of the basins.

Identifying Pollution Sources

Correlation Matrix

The Pearson correlation coefficient for heavy metals at 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 levels after Student’s test
(n = 26) were given in Table 4. The results displayed in (Table 4) show a strong correlation between Pb, Zn, Cd and As on the one hand, and between Ni, Cr, Fe and Al on the other. However, no significant correlation was found between these two groups of elements. In addition, no correlation was found between these two groups and Cu.

**Data Processing by PCA**

In order to identify the enrichment source we used PCA [30]. According to this analysis, three main components (F1, F2 and F3) were obtained for the heavy metals, expressing 66.6, 14.2 and 11.5% of the inertia total variance, respectively. Consequently, the three main control factors impact soil and sediment quality. Only PCs with eigenvalues superior to 1 were considered (Fig. 5).

The projection of the selected metals concentrations on the 1x2 factorial plane (Fig. 6) shows that the maximum of inertia is equal to 80.8%. Indeed, the variables are represented on the positive pole of the main inertia axis 1 by Ni, Cr, Fe and Al, and on its negative pole by Pb, Zn, Cd and As. The main axis of inertia 2 is defined by copper on its positive pole. According to this projection, three main groups can be distinguished (Fig. 6). The first group (G1), centered on the Ni, Cr, Al and Fe, and positively correlated with axis 1. Referring to the study of the enrichment factor (EF), this group is representative of the natural source. A second group (G2) includes Pb, Zn, Cd and As, and is characterized by its great importance on the negative part of axis 1. This group is anti-correlated with the natural elements (Ni, Cr, Fe, Al).

Table 4. Pearson correlation coefficient for heavy metals in the Oued Hmma watershed soils and sediments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Cd</th>
<th>As</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Al</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>-0.56**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>-0.5**</td>
<td>0.99***</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>-0.51**</td>
<td>0.99***</td>
<td>0.98***</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>-0.61***</td>
<td>0.9***</td>
<td>0.91***</td>
<td>0.87***</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.82***</td>
<td>-0.63***</td>
<td>-0.59***</td>
<td>-0.61***</td>
<td>-0.69***</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.78***</td>
<td>-0.71***</td>
<td>-0.69***</td>
<td>-0.68***</td>
<td>-0.76***</td>
<td>0.86***</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.78***</td>
<td>-0.49'</td>
<td>-0.44'</td>
<td>-0.43'</td>
<td>-0.6***</td>
<td>0.76***</td>
<td>0.74***</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant correlation at the 0.05 level
**Significant correlation at the 0.01 level
***Significant correlation at the 0.001 level
Cr, Fe and Al). It is also representative of the influence of the anthropogenic component. Lead, zinc, cadmium and arsenic are strongly present in the Jebel Ressass mining deposits with contents that exceed by far the thresholds of these elements’ concentrations in the soils (Table 3). This group of elements is therefore necessarily derived from mining discharges. A third group is characterized by a separation of copper from the other elements on the positive part of axis 2. Referring to the enrichment factor study, this group is representative of the anthropogenic source. The increased accumulation of this element in soils is attributed to the direct long-run use of pesticides and copper-based fertilizers [30-32]. Referring to the spatial distribution, the presence of copper in large quantities in the agricultural land is due to an anthropogenic source basically linked to the use of fertilizers.

**Ascending Hierarchical Classification of Data**

The ascending hierarchical classification analysis of the analyzed elements (Zn, Pb, Cd, As, Ni, Cr, Cu, Fe, Al) using the Pearson Ward-test method (Fig. 7) confirms the results obtained from the enrichment factors study and PCA. Indeed, the dendrogram of the 7 elements analyzed highlights 3 classes: the first represented by Ni, Cr, Fe and Al and revealing the natural components; the second represented by Zn, Pb, Cd and As and reflecting the impact of anthropogenic sources – the affinities between (Zn, Pb) and Cd, on the one hand, and between As and (Zn, Pb, Cd), on the other hand, within this class are to be emphasized; and, finally, the third class is represented by Cu, which unveils an anthropogenic source.

**Heavy Metals Potential Ecological Risk in Soils and Surface Sediments**

The potential ecological risk indices of heavy metals in soils and sediments around the mine discharges are displayed in (Fig. 8). For Zn, 50% of the samples (S1-S2-S3-S4-S5-S6-S10- S11-S13-S14) have a severe ecological risk with an average of 697.45. These soils are the closest to the rejects; 10% of the samples (S8-S12) have a serious ecological risk, 15% (S7-S9-S15) have a high ecological risk, and 25% (S16-S17-S18-S19-S20) have a low ecological risk. These are the farthest from the rejects. For Pb, 75% of the samples (S1 to S15) have a severe ecological risk with an average of 8108.84; 5% (S17) have a serious ecological risk, 10% (S16-S18) have a high ecological risk and 10% have a low ecological risk. 90% of the samples (S1 to S18) have a severe Cd-related ecological risk characterized by a very high average of about 37224.59; the remaining 10% (S19-S20) have a serious ecological risk. As far as As is concerned, 45% of the samples have a severe ecological risk (S1-S2-S4-S5-S6-S10-S11-S13-S15); 10% (S3-S12) a serious ecological risk; 20% (S7-S8-S9-S14) a high ecological risk; 20% (S16-S17-S18-S19) a moderate ecological risk and only S20 has a low ecological risk. On the other half of the deal, the Ni, Cr and Cu trace elements have a low ecological risk in all the sampling stations.

**Fig. 7.** Dendrograms (distance: Euclidean) showing the clustering of the analyzed metals in soil and sediment samples.

**Fig. 8.** Box plots for the potential ecological risk ($E_r$) of selected elements in soil and sediment samples.

**Fig. 9.** Total risk toxicity index (RI) of all heavy metals elements (Pb, Cd, Zn, As, Cu, Ni, Cr) in soil and sediment samples.
The neighboring soils of the mining discharges are characterized by a severe ecological risk. These discharge sites are considered not only as a major potential source of contaminants, but also a serious threat to the surrounding ecological systems [21]. When the sediments are considered, it is worth noting that Cd is source of severe ecological risk with an average of 988.60, while Zn presents a low ecological risk with an average of 22.15. For Pb and As, the ecological risk is moderate except for S1, which shows a low ecological risk. As for the other elements, the ecological risk is rather low.

Although the Ni, Cr and Cu metallic elements have no potential ecological risk alone, the response of the toxicity index is very high risk (RI>600) at most stations (Fig. 9).

Comparing sediment contamination with the SQG, guide values for each trace element, it should be noted that the As, Cr and Cu concentrations are 100% greater than the TEL guideline values, 66.7% of the Pb and Ni concentrations are superior to TEL and 33.3% of the concentrations are below the PEL guideline. Furthermore for Zn, 83.3% of this element sediment concentration is greater than TEL guideline values and 16.7% are below the PEL guideline value. For Cd, 80% of the sediment concentrations are superior to the TEL guideline values and 20% are below the PEL guideline value. These metals concentrations exceed the TEL and PEL guide values, indicating that these elements are likely to induce dangerous effects on the sediment-dwelling organisms. The increase in the sediment contents of these heavy metals is due to the drainage of the mining wastes sites by the runoff water, which erodes the discharges of mainly the fine part and transports it in rivers during rainy periods. The estimated solid transport at the Sbahia Dam entrance (study basin outfall) reaches 1696 t/km²/year [33].

Erosion can therefore significantly affect the water resources, which means an urgent need for water and soil management endeavors.

Conclusions

This study aimed to quantitatively and qualitatively evaluate the impact of Jebal Ressas mining discharge on the soils and sediments of the area. The geochemical analyses show that the studied soils are highly contaminated with such trace metals as Pb, Zn, Cd and As, with contents that may reach up to 52739.7 mg kg⁻¹, 67991.5 mg kg⁻¹, 417.3 mg kg⁻¹ and 370.2 mg kg⁻¹, respectively. The metals spatial distribution shows an increase in these element concentrations near the mine discharges. While the accumulation of Ni and Cr trace elements in agricultural soils is mainly due to a natural source, that of Cu results from the application of copper-based fertilizers in agriculture.

Several trace metal elements – mainly Pb, Zn, Cd, and As contaminate the Wadi Hmma sediments with contents that exceed the guideline values and the geochemical background. This accumulation is due to the drainage of the discharges by the runoff water which erodes them (essentially the fine part) and transports it in the rivers during the rainy periods. Different sediment contamination levels were detected downstream of the basins. The enrichment factor, geo-accumulation index, PCA analysis and the ascending hierarchical classification of the data confirm the origins of these elements and distinguishes between the contamination sources.

Although only the downstream part of the basin in concerned with sediment contamination by metals, such pollution can possibly be extended to water resources. Further studies on these resources are therefore strongly recommended.
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