

# Contents of Nitrates (III) and (V), Lead and Cadmium in Select Domestic Fruits

Iwona Sembratowicz, Elżbieta Rusinek\*, Katarzyna Ognik

Department of Biochemistry and Toxicology, University of Life Sciences in Lublin,  
Akademicka 13, 20-950 Lublin, Poland

Received: 3 November 2008

Accepted: 10 September 2009

## Abstract

This paper deals with determining nitrates (III) and (V), lead and cadmium in 10 apple, 10 plum, 12 strawberry, 12 raspberry, and 10 white grape samples. Examined products originated from allotment gardens localized in the center of Lublin as well as in its surroundings. Among the analyzed fruits, the highest nitrate (III) contents were found in plums and white grapes harvested from the allotments located outside the city. Regardless of the harvest site, the highest content of nitrates (V) was recorded in strawberries. Exceeding permissible lead levels occurred in the majority of analyzed fruit samples, while in the case of cadmium, the permissible limit was exceeded only in white grape samples.

**Keywords:** nitrates (III) and (V), lead, cadmium, domestic fruits, harvest site

## Introduction

Fruits are a valuable source of crude fiber, vitamins, minerals, and organic acids. They also may be a source of chemical contamination in the human diet: mainly heavy metals, such as lead and cadmium, pesticides, and nitrates (III) and (V). The presence of the harmful chemicals mentioned above is one of the basic criteria of evaluating food safety. Due to the fact that fruit consumption in Poland is growing, it is necessary to limit nitrates (III) and (V) contents, namely lead and cadmium in fruits. As yet, few reports on nitrates (III) and (V) contents in fruits have been announced [1-3]. Although nitrates (V) are not an important health problem, nitrates (III) that are formed from them as a result of microbial reduction are highly toxic [4]. In addition to the fact that nitrates (III) can cause infantile methemoglobinemia, it was also shown that in vivo in humans, carcinogenic N-nitrosoamines can be formed from them. Consequently, nitrates (III) are suspected of causing gastric cancer and other malignancies [5].

On the other hand, fruits contaminated with cadmium and lead have been widely examined in numerous experiments involving fruits harvested mainly in Poland [6-10].

Our study was aimed at evaluating the contents of nitrates (III) and (V), as well as heavy metals such as lead and cadmium in domestic fruits (apple, plum, strawberry, raspberry, and white grape) harvested from the allotments located in the center of Lublin and its surroundings.

## Materials and Methods

### Collecting and Preparation of Samples

Fruit samples were collected from various places in Lublin and its surroundings between July and September 2007. The following fruits were gathered: apple, plum, strawberry, raspberry and white grape. Analyzed fruit samples originated from potentially contaminated areas (allotment gardens in the center of Lublin and those adjacent to the road–100 m) and potentially not exposed to industrial and transportation pollution (allotment gardens in the Lublin surroundings far from any inter-city road

---

\*e-mail: elzbieta.rusinek@up.lublin.pl

Table 1. Nitrates (III) and (V) content in the selected fruits (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of wet mass).

| Harvest site                             | Number of samples | Fruits      | Nitrates (III) (NaNO <sub>2</sub> ) | Median | Distant quartile | Nitrates (V) (KNO <sub>3</sub> )     | Median | Distance quartile |
|--|-------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|--------|------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|-------------------|
| Allotment gardens in Lublin center       | 10                | apple       | *                                   | *      | *                | 2.41-5.22<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>3.35</b>   | 2.89   | 1.72              |
|  | 10                | plum        | *                                   | *      | *                | 1.96-6.46<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>3.87</b>   | 3.53   | 2.30              |
|  | 12                | strawberry  | 0.00-0.44<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.11</b>  | 0.06   | 0.16             | 2.87-243.8<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>47.28</b> | 37.73  | 58.38             |
|  | 12                | raspberry   | 0.00-0.35<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.12</b>  | 0.10   | 0.14             | 5.02-27.44<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>7.23</b>  | 5.23   | 4.38              |
|  | 10                | white grape | 0.00-0.22<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.19</b>  | 0.22   | 0.19             | 1.53-9.96<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>4.23</b>   | 3.08   | 3.87              |
| Allotment gardens in Lublin surroundings | 10                | apple       | *                                   | *      | *                | 2.03-2.71<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>2.33</b>   | 2.28   | 0.41              |
|  | 10                | plum        | 0.00-0.42<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.21</b>  | 0.20   | 0.23             | 3.05-10.07<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>4.57</b>  | 2.89   | 3.98              |
|  | 12                | strawberry  | 0.00-0.41<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.16</b>  | 0.12   | 0.21             | 1.93-312.1<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>55.87</b> | 2.76   | 107.6             |
|  | 12                | raspberry   | 0.00-0.28<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.09</b>  | 0.10   | 0.10             | 4.53-12.93<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>6.59</b>  | 5.03   | 5.29              |
|  | 10                | white grape | 0.00-0.36<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.21</b>  | 0.23   | 0.19             | 1.53-10.55<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>4.08</b>  | 2.12   | 4.54              |

$\bar{x}$  – mean value; \* – lower than limit of detection

a, b – values in the same rows marked with different letters differ significantly at  $p \leq 0.05$

NaNO<sub>2</sub> = NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> x 1.5

KNO<sub>3</sub> = NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> x 1.63

and 15-20 km outside Lublin – Niedzwica Duża, Niedzwica Kościelna, Krężnica Jara, Łuszczów, and Biskupice).

In total, 108 domestic fruits samples were examined. The numbers of samples of collected fruits were as follows: apple, 10; plum, 10; strawberry, 12; raspberry, 12; and white grape, 10 samples. The fruits were washed with de-ionized water (Millipore, France) and after removal of inedible parts, dried in the air, then dried in an electric drier at 60°C. After complete drying (at 103°C), the fruits were ground in a WŻ-1-type mill and stored in glass containers waiting for analyses. The dry mass content in the fruit samples was estimated by means of a drier-weight method [11].

#### Mineralization of Samples

For determining lead and cadmium contents, 5g fruit samples were placed into quartz crucibles and combusted in a muffle furnace at 450°C. Sample combusting is recommended for large samples of organic matter (e.g. 5-100g), [12]. After dry mineralization the resulted ash was diluted with 5 cm<sup>3</sup> 6N spectra pure HCl (Merck). Then diluted samples were transferred quantitatively to 100 cm<sup>3</sup> measuring flasks and filled with water to the mark. For preparing hydrochloric acid solutions and for analysis we used water made in a Direct Q 5 deionizer.

#### Determination of Lead and Cadmium

Lead and cadmium contents in analyzed fruits were determined according to PN-EN 14082 in the Central Apparatus Laboratory, University of Life Science in Lublin, by means of non-flame AAS technique on Varian Spectra AA 880Z spectrometer with deuterium background correction [13]. Lead was detected at  $\lambda=217.0$  nm, and cadmium at  $\lambda=228.8$  nm. Detection limits for these metals were as follows: 50  $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$  and 5  $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ , respectively. In order to make the calibration line, working solutions of examined ions prepared by dilution of standard solutions – 50  $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$  (Pb) and 2.5  $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$  (Cd), (Merck) were applied.

For calibration and validation of analytical procedures we used certified reference material (CRM) – oriental tobacco (CTTA-OTL-1, Poland).

#### Determination of Nitrates (III) and (V)

The determination of nitrate (III) and (V) contents was performed using the colorimetric method according to PN-92/A-75112 that consists of the measurements of intensity of color formed by nitrates (III) with Griess's agent [14].

All chemical determinations were made in two replications. The obtained numerical data were subjected to a statistical analysis by means of Statistica 6 software. The statistical significance of differences between mean values

Table 2. Lead and cadmium content in the selected fruits (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of wet mass).

| Harvest site                             | Number of samples | Fruits      | Lead   | Median | Distant quartile | Numbers of samples <NDZ % | Cadmium  | Median | Distance quartile | Number of samples <NDZ % |
|--|-------------------|-------------|--|--------|------------------|---------------------------|--|--------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Allotment gardens in Lublin center       | 10                | apple       | 0.008-0.21<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.09</b>            | 0.07   | 0.16             | 50                        | 0.00-0.03<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.009</b>              | 0.01   | 0.009             | –                        |
|  | 10                | plum        | 0.06-0.17<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.13</b>             | 0.14   | 0.08             | 70                        | 0.009-0.02<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.01</b>              | 0.01   | 0.005             | –                        |
|  | 12                | strawberry  | 0.05-0.44<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.23</b>             | 0.21   | 0.20             | 75                        | 0.03-0.05<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.04<sup>a</sup></b>   | 0.04   | 0.009             | –                        |
|  | 12                | raspberry   | 0.12-0.39<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.27<sup>a</sup></b> | 0.28   | 0.14             | 75                        | 0.008-0.02<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.02</b>              | 0.01   | 0.009             | –                        |
|  | 10                | white grape | 0.06-0.09<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.06</b>             | 0.06   | 0.01             | –                         | 0.06-0.23<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.19</b>               | 0.21   | 0.13              | 100                      |
| Allotment gardens in Lublin surroundings | 10                | apple       | 0.01-0.20<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.08</b>             | 0.07   | 0.09             | 70                        | 0.005-0.02<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.01</b>              | 0.01   | 0.007             | –                        |
|  | 10                | plum        | 0.04-0.27<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.13</b>             | 0.11   | 0.13             | 70                        | 0.019-0.023<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.01</b>             | 0.01   | 0.006             | –                        |
|  | 12                | strawberry  | 0.12-0.37<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.31</b>             | 0.34   | 0.18             | 75                        | 0.006-0.017<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.01<sup>b</sup></b> | 0.01   | 0.005             | –                        |
|  | 12                | raspberry   | 0.08-0.12<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.09<sup>b</sup></b> | 0.09   | 0.03             | –                         | 0.007-0.03<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.02</b>              | 0.03   | 0.01              | –                        |
|  | 10                | white grape | 0.07-0.15<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.09</b>             | 0.08   | 0.04             | –                         | 0.11-0.29<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.22</b>               | 0.24   | 0.11              | 100                      |

$\bar{x}$  – mean value

a, b – values in the same rows marked with different letters differ significantly at  $p \leq 0.05$

NDZ – highest permissible content

was estimated by means of a single-factorial variance analysis ANOVA assuming significance level at 0.05.

## Results and Discussion

The results related to nitrates (III) and (V) contents in selected fruits are presented in Table 1.

Nitrates (III) varied greatly in studied fruits (Table 1). Plums and grapes from gardens localized outside the city contained the highest concentration of nitrates (III) (0.21 mg NaNO<sub>2</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup> FW). No nitrates (III) were found in plums harvested in the allotment gardens localized in the city center as well as in the apples, regardless of the harvest site. These results are the mean level of nitrates (III) in fruits originating from various sites, apparent differences were comparable to those achieved by Markiewicz et al. [1]. However, the studies of Sušin et al. [15] revealed much higher nitrate (III) levels in apples in relation to other fruits. When compared to those recorded only in the case of plums, however, they appeared to be statistically insignificant (Table 1).

The average nitrate (V) contents in particular fruit types from the allotment gardens in Lublin center and from its surroundings were quite similar (Table 1). Regardless of the harvest site, the highest nitrate (V) levels were found in

strawberries (47.28-55.87 mg KNO<sub>3</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup> FW). The contents of nitrate (V) in other fruits were much lower and oscillated within a relatively narrow range (from 2.33 mg KNO<sub>3</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup> FW for apples from Lublin surroundings to 7.23 mg KNO<sub>3</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup> FW for raspberries from Lublin center). The obtained results correspond to those reported by Nabrzycki and Gajewska [16], who recorded similar nitrate (V) contents in strawberry (58.72 mg KNO<sub>3</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup> FW), while in other fruits the levels were within the range of 1.66-5.30 mg KNO<sub>3</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup> FW. The studies conducted by Ognik et al. [17], related to nitrate (V) content in some berry fruits harvested in the Lublin region indicated these concentrations as 2.63-3.33 mg NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> FW regardless of the harvest site). According to the data achieved by Sušin et al. [15], mean nitrate (V) content in most of the fruits harvested in Slovenia in 1996-2002 was below 6.0 mg NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> FW in grapes, apples, and pears, whereas in strawberries it reached even up to 94.0 mg NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> FW. Our own study results revealed that in some strawberry samples (regardless the harvest site) even higher nitrate (V) levels were recorded (Table 1).

The contamination of fruits by nitrates (III) and (V) is negligible as compared to the values found in vegetables, namely leafy ones [18, 19]. The currently obliged EU Commission Decree (WE) No. 1881/2006 does not limit

Table 3. Lead and cadmium contents in the selected fruits (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of dry mass).

| Harvest site                             | Number of samples | Fruits      | Lead   | Cadmium  |
|--|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Allotment gardens in Lublin center       | 10                | apple       | 0.21-0.28<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.25</b>             | 0.019-0.023<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.02</b>             |
|  | 10                | plum        | 0.28-0.45<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.37</b>             | 0.021-0.028<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.02</b>             |
|  | 12                | strawberry  | 0.72-1.06<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.94</b>             | 0.09-0.23<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.16<sup>a</sup></b>   |
|  | 12                | raspberry   | 1.01-1.07<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>1.04<sup>a</sup></b> | 0.06-0.09<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.07</b>               |
|  | 10                | white grape | 0.09-0.2<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.15</b>              | 0.46-0.52<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.48</b>               |
| Allotment gardens in Lublin surroundings | 10                | apple       | 0.21-0.24<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.22</b>             | 0.019-0.027<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.02</b>             |
|  | 10                | plum        | 0.35-0.41<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.37</b>             | 0.018-0.028<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.02</b>             |
|  | 12                | strawberry  | 1.23-1.36<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>1.27</b>             | 0.035-0.049<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.04<sup>b</sup></b> |
|  | 12                | raspberry   | 0.32-0.4<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.34<sup>b</sup></b>  | 0.05-0.09<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.07</b>               |
|  | 10                | white grape | 0.21-0.26<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.23</b>             | 0.5-0.62<br>$\bar{x}$ <b>0.56</b>                |

$\bar{x}$  – mean value

a, b – values in the same rows marked with different letters differ significantly at  $p \leq 0.05$

NDZ – highest permissible content

these compounds' contents in fruits, because their combined consumption is usually much lower than the accepted daily intake (ADI), amounting to 3.65 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of body weight [20].

The results which refer to lead and cadmium contents in selected domestic fruits are presented in Table 2. For possibility of calculation the obtained results in dry and wet mass, dry mass contents in analyzed fruits samples is given in Table 3.

The analysis of mean lead content results in examined fruits indicated that it was very differentiated: from 0.06 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> FW in white grapes from the gardens in the city center to 0.31 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> FW in strawberries harvested from the city's suburbia (Table 2). There is no doubt that the level of contamination with lead depends on the environmental pollution and transport intensity in a given area. Such dependencies can be confirmed by results referring to raspberry fruits indicating significantly ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) higher accumulation of the element in fruits from the city center allotment gardens in relation to those harvested from the out-of-city gardens (Table 2). The experiments carried out by Wojciechowska et al. [21], as well as Trętowska and Syrocka [22], revealed that berries are characterized by a higher vulnerability of intake and accumulation of lead and other heavy metals than the other ones. Their observations were confirmed in the present study of strawberry fruits (regardless of the harvest site), as well as the raspberries from the city center

allotment gardens. Here the recorded results of fruit contamination by lead are higher or comparable to those achieved by other authors [2, 21, 23]. The observations made by Kocjan et al. [24] reveal that the apples harvested from the allotment gardens localized in Stalowa Wola city contained about 2-fold more lead as compared to those from Lublin center (Table 2). On the other hand, the results achieved by Kiczorowski and Kiczorowska [7] referring to lead concentration in apples from orchards near Lublin were lower than those in our own study. Except for raspberries from out-of-city and white grape samples regardless of the harvest site, exceeding permissible lead content was recorded, which disqualifies these fruits from consumption (Table 2). According to the requirements set in EU Commission Decree (WE) No. 1881/2006, the permissible lead content in berries and small fruits should not exceed the limit of 0.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> FW and 0.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> FW in the case of other fruits.

Susceptibility to cadmium accumulation in plants varies and greatly depends on the plant species [25]. It was also found that the increase of lead and cadmium intake by a plant is accompanied by the increase of soil acidity and decrease of organic matter, phosphorus, and calcium contents [26]. The results presented in Table 2 indicate the exceeding permissible levels for cadmium, regardless of the site of fruit harvest in grape (0.19-0.22 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> FW), which may be an effect of the high ability to accumulate cadmium by that plant [21, 27]. According to EU Commission Decree (WE) No. 1881/2006, the permissible limit for cadmium was set as 0.05 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> FW. Here the achieved results for the analyzed fruits indicate significantly ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) a higher content of cadmium in strawberries harvested from the city center in relation to the fruits collected in the allotment gardens in Lublin surroundings (Table 2). The results related to cadmium concentration in the studied domestic fruits are higher or comparable to those recorded by Krejpcio et al. [6], Kiczorowska and Kiczorowski [8], as well as Szeke and Boguszewska [28] for seedy and berry fruits, which may prove great industrial and transportation pollution or specific soil factors existing in the studied area. Experiments performed by Rusinek et al. [23] involved in an analysis of berries harvested from the Lublin region indicated much higher cadmium contents (in raspberry and plums) and comparable ones in white grapes collected in the rural allotment gardens as compared to the results obtained here. Many times higher cadmium concentrations than those in the present study were recorded by Rusinek et al. [2] in the raspberry fruits harvested in Lublin region from the areas potentially free and potentially exposed to contamination.

In a majority of analyzed fruits, no dependence between the harvest site and nitrates (III) and (V), as well as heavy metals contents was observed. The recorded differences in lead and cadmium concentrations in the fruits harvested in allotment gardens localized in the city center and those in the city surroundings may have resulted from different levels of exposure to industrial and transportation pollution, or from different soil conditions existing in a given area.

### Conclusions

1. Among the studied fruits, the highest content of nitrate (III) was found in plums and white grapes from the allotment gardens localized outside the city. Regardless of the harvest site, the largest amount of nitrates (V) was recorded in strawberries.
2. A significant dependence between the harvest site and heavy metals content was observed in the case of raspberries (lead) and strawberries (cadmium). Far higher levels of these metals were recorded in the fruits harvested in the city gardens.
3. The exceeding of permissible lead limit was recorded for a majority of the analyzed fruits, but the cadmium limit was exceeded only for white grape samples.

### References

1. MARKIEWICZ I., BORAWSKA M., SIKORSKI A. Nitrate and nitrite content in some native fruits. *Bromat. Chem. Toksykol.* **31**, (2), 197, **1998** [In Polish].
2. RUSINEK E., SEMBRATOWICZ I., OGNIK K. Content of selected metals in forest fruits depending on the harvest site. *Roczn. PZH*, **59**, (2), 155 **2008** [In Polish].
3. GAJDA J., KARŁOWSKI K., JARECKA J., ŚWITKA A., KUŹMA K., ROKICKA B. The intake of nitrates and nitrites with the daily food rations of 14-19 year youths. *Bromat. Chem. Toksykol. Supplement*, 261, **2003**. [In Polish].
4. GLIBOWSKI P., NASTAJ M. The content of nitrates and nitrites in chosen vegetables from Lublin region in 2001-2006. *Bromat. Chem. Toksykol.* **40**, (4), 345, **2007** [In Polish].
5. CHEN BAO-MING, WANG ZHAO-HUI, LI SHENG-XIU, WANG GEN-XUAN, SONG HAI-XING, WANG XI-NA. Effects of nitrate supply on plant growth, nitrate accumulation, metabolic nitrate concentration and nitrate reductase activity in three leafy vegetables *Plant Science*, **167**, (3), 635, **2004**.
6. KREJPCIO Z., SIONKOWSKI S., BARTELA J. Safety of fresh fruits and juices available on the polish market as determined by heavy metal residues. *Polish J. Environ. Stud.* **14**, (6), 877, **2005**.
7. KICZOROWSKI P., KICZOROWSKA B. Lead accumulation in apples jonica cultivar produced in orchards situated in the Lublin province area. *Polish J. Environ. Stud.* **16**, (3A), 108, **2007**.
8. KICZOROWSKA B., KICZOROWSKI P. Cadmium accumulation in apples jonica cultivar produced in orchards situated in the Lublin province area. *Polish J. Environ. Studies.* **16**, (3A), 105, **2007**.
9. MACNICOL R.D., BECKETT P.H.T. Critical tissue concentrations of potentially toxic elements. *Plant Soil*, **85**, 107, **1985**.
10. JIANGUO L., KUNQUAN L., JIAKUAN X., ZUJIAN Z., TANBIN M., XIAOLONG L., JIANCHANG Y., QINGSEN Z. Lead toxicity, uptake, and translocation in different rice cultivars. *Plant Science* **165**, 793, **2003**.
11. PN-A-79011-3:1998. Dry food mixes - Test methods - Determination of water content.
12. MINCZEWSKI J., MARCZENKO Z. Analytical chemistry. Part 2. Chemical methods of quantitative analysis. Wyd. Naukowe PWN Warszawa **1997** [In Polish].
13. PN-EN14082. Foodstuffs. Determination of trace elements. Determination of lead, cadmium, zinc, copper, iron, and chromium by atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) after dry ashing.
14. PN-92 A-75112. Fruits, vegetables and derived products. Determination of nitrites and nitrates content.
15. SUŠIN J., KMECL V., GREGORCIC A. A survey of nitrate and nitrite content of fruit and vegetables grown in Slovenia during 1996-2002. *Food Addit. Contam.* **23**, (4), 385, **2006**.
16. NABRZYSKI M., GAJEWSKA R. The content of nitrate and nitrite in fruits, vegetables, and in some other foodstuffs. *Roczn. PZH*, **45**, (3), **1994** [In Polish].
17. OGNIK K., RUSINEK E., SEMBRATOWICZ I., TRUCHLIŃSKI J. Contents of heavy metals, nitrate V and nitrate III in fruits of elderberry and black chokeberry depending on harvest site and vegetation period. *Roczn. PZH*, **57**, (3), 235, **2006** [In Polish].
18. CZECH A., RUSINEK E. The heavy metals, nitrates and nitrites content in the selected vegetables from Lublin area. *Roczn. PZH*, **56**, (3), 229, **2005** [In Polish].
19. RUSINEK E., CZECH A. Nitrate (V), nitrate (III) and heavy metal contents In selected root vegetables depending on the shelf life (part I). *Bromat. Chem. Toksykol.*, **40**, (1), 15, **2007** [In Polish].
20. EU Commission Decree (WE) No 1881/2006 from 19 December **2006**.
21. WOJCIECHOWSKA - MAZUREK M., ZAWADZKA T., KARŁOWSKI K., STARSKA K., ĆWIEK - LUDWICKA K., BRULIŃSKA - OSTROWSKA E. Lead, cadmium, mercury, zinc and copper content in fruit from various regions of Poland. *Roczn. PZH*, **46**, (3), 223, **1995** [In Polish].
22. TRĘTOWSKA J., SYROCKA K. Evaluation of environmental pollution status in Siedlce on the basis of heavy metal content elder leaves and fruit. *Ekol. Techn.*, **10**, (1), 24, **2002** [In Polish].
23. RUSINEK E., OGNIK K., SEMBRATOWICZ I., TRUCHLIŃSKI J. The influence of habitat conditions on macroelements and trace elements content in selected fruits of Lublin region. *J. of Element.* **11**, (2), 199, **2006** [In Polish].
24. KOCJAN R., KOT A., PTASIŃSKI H. Chromium, zinc, copper, cadmium and lead in vegetables and fruits from the Stalowa Wola region. *Bromat. Chem. Toksykol.*, **35**, (1), 31, **2002** [In Polish].
25. JĘDRZEJCZAK R., SZTEKE B.. Content of cadmium and lead in berries and seed fruits. *Roczn. PZH*, **40**, (4-6), 274, **1989** [In Polish].
26. SZYMCZAK J., ILOW R., REGULSKA-ILOW B. Cadmium and lead content in vegetables, fruit, cereals and soil from areas in the degree of industrial pollution and from greenhouses. *Roczn. PZH*, **44**, (4), 331, **1993** [In Polish].
27. KREJPCIO Z., OLEJNIK D., WÓJCIAK R., GAWĘCKI J. Lead and cadmium content in daily food rations of children and adolescents from copper basin of the Legnica region. *Roczn. PZH*, **50**, (4), 353, **1999** [In Polish].
28. SZTEKE B., BOGUSZEWSKA M. Cadmium in edible plants of Poland - results of monitoring in 1995-1998. *Zeszyty Naukowe Komitetu: Człowiek i Środowisko, PAN*, **26**, 327, **2000** [In Polish].

