Using Near Infrared Spectroscopy to Quickly Analyze Different Nitrogens during the Shortcut Biological Removal of Nitrogen from a Polluted River
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Abstract

During shortcut biological nitrogen removal in a polluted river, total nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen and nitrite nitrogen were quantified by near infrared spectroscopy and the synergy interval partial least squares (siPLS) algorithm. Spectral data of 138 water samples were obtained with a near infrared spectrometer. In addition, the real values of total nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen and nitrite nitrogen were measured with traditional chemical methods. SiPLS analysis models of total nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen and nitrite nitrogen were built through the siPLS algorithm based on spectral data and real values. The results obtained from the siPLS analysis model of total nitrogen revealed that, when the full spectra were divided into 19 intervals, the combination of the 7th, 12th and 19th subintervals yielded the best model. The correction coefficient ($R_p$) is 0.9931, with the root mean squared error of calibration (RMSECV) being 1.7869. The results obtained from the siPLS analysis model of ammonia nitrogen indicated that, when the full spectra were divided into 16 intervals, the combination of the 1st, 7th, 15th and 16th subintervals yielded the best model. The $R_p$ is 0.9947 and the RMSECV is 1.3419. For nitrite nitrogen, the siPLS analysis model indicated that, when the full spectra were divided into 16 intervals, the combination of the 7th and the 11th subintervals yielded the best model. The $R_p$ and RMSECV was 0.9951 and 1.0518. These findings demonstrated that the proposed approach may effectively analyze the concentrations of total nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen and nitrite nitrogen during the treatment of a polluted river based on shortcut biological nitrogen removal. This approach,
which is based on near infrared spectroscopy, is fast and accurate for the detection of different types of nitrogen in water.
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**Introduction**

Nitrogen is the main pollutant causing river eutrophication, and hence the removal of nitrogen is essential for the functional recovery of river water [1-3]. Shortcut biological nitrogen removal is an efficient and energy-saving biological process of nitrogen removal. Shortcut biological nitrogen removal is achieved through controlling environmental conditions and preventing further oxidation of nitrite nitrogen. This process can save 40% of carbon and 25% of oxygen compared with the whole biological nitrogen removal process [4-6]. However, for polluted rivers, shortcut biological nitrogen removal has not been much studied in recent years. In addition, shortcut biological nitrogen removal may easily change into full biological nitrogen removal. Therefore, timely detection of nitrogen indicators is quite important for the regulation of the shortcut biological nitrogen removal process [7].

The traditional methods of detecting nitrogen indicators usually involve complex procedures and consume large amounts of chemicals, potentially leading to secondary pollution. Furthermore, different chemical analysis methods are needed for different nitrogen indicators [8-10]. Therefore, a novel method capable of rapid detection of different nitrogen indicators will considerably improve efficiency. As a new method, near infrared spectroscopy has many advantages, such as a simple process, low price, high efficiency and unwanted agents. And crucially, near infrared spectroscopy is capable of simultaneous analysis of many components. In recent years, near infrared spectroscopy coupled with chemometrics has been widely applied as a quantitative analysis tool in many fields, such as food, medicinal materials, microbial metabolites and so on [11-14]. It has been reported that near infrared spectroscopy has been applied to the quantitative analysis of components in water [15, 16]. But so far, this approach has been rarely applied to the quantitative analysis of total nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen and nitrite nitrogen during the shortcut biological nitrogen removal in a polluted river.

However, spectral data usually contain some random noises that are produced during the generation and the transmission of near infrared spectral signal, and may reduce the reliability of response variable, and interfere with the detection of the relationship between the spectral data and the effective component content of the samples [17]. To reduce these noises, it is necessary to properly pretreat the data before establishing the quantitative analysis model based on near infrared spectroscopy [18, 19]. As to this issue, wavelet transform denoising provides an effective method for spectral preprocessing. Based on the differences in frequency between the spectral signal and the noise signal, the wavelet domain denoising method can effectively eliminate noise by setting thresholds on different frequency scales. After preprocessing, the effective spectral information was extracted, and the precision of quantitative analysis model is improved [20-24].

Synergy interval partial least squares (siPLS) is a chemometric method based on interval partial least squares (iPLS) [25-28]. The iPLS chooses only a good subinterval to establish a quantitative analysis model, ignoring the information of all other subintervals. Therefore, some useful information in other subintervals might be lost [29-33]. To mend this flaw, the siPLS algorithm is developed, which considers all combination of intervals and selects the optimal combination of subintervals. Therefore, siPLS has a wider coverage of spectral information. Then the partial least square model of each combination is built, and the corresponding root mean square error of cross validation (RMSECV) is calculated [34-36]. The combination of subintervals with minimal RMSECV is optimal, and the model based on this optimal combination is considered to be the best [37].

In this study, a shortcut biological nitrogen removal process was used to treat the polluted river. Wavelet denoising method was used to preprocess spectral data of water samples. SiPLS was used to select the optimal combination of subintervals, and siPLS analysis models were built for total nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen and nitrite nitrogen. It is hoped that a polluted river can be effectively treated through the shortcut biological nitrogen removal process, and that a method found as capable of rapid and accurate measurement of the total nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen and nitrite nitrogen.

**Material and Methods**

Collecting and Testing Experimental Samples

In this experiment, water samples were collected from the Shiwuli River in southwestern Anhui Province, a river where nitrogen content had seriously exceeded the standards. Meanwhile, the surface layer of river sediment was also sampled at a depth of 30 cm with a piston column sampler and kept away from light. These samples were taken back to the laboratory to conduct small-scale experiments. The location of the sampling is shown in Fig. 1. The reactor used in the experiments was made of rectangular organic glass.
Using Near Infrared Spectroscopy to Quickly...

The river water and sediment were put into the reactor, where the depth of water was 27 cm and the sediment layer was 9 cm thick. The lower part of the reactor was coated with black film to shield it from light. After the sediment was deposited, the overlying water was aerated by an electromagnetic pump. According to environmental quality standards of surface water in China, the dissolved oxygen should be at least 5 mg L⁻¹ in the Shiwuli River. Therefore, the dissolved oxygen in this experiment should be controlled as 5.5 mg L⁻¹. This study lasted for 138 d.

The water was sampled every day, and 138 water samples were collected. The spectral data of all the water samples were obtained with a Fourier transform near-infrared spectroscopy apparatus (MPA, Bruker, Ettlingen, Germany). The real concentrations of total nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen, nitrate nitrogen and nitrite nitrogen of water samples were measured by alkaline potassium persulfate digestion ultraviolet spectrophotometric method, nessler’s reagent spectrophotometry, ultraviolet spectrophotometry and the spectrophotometric method.

Establishing the Method of siPLS Analysis Model

All the water samples were divided into two groups. One group had 116 water samples that were employed as calibration samples to establish the siPLS analysis models. The remaining 22 water samples were used as independent test sets to test the siPLS analysis models. The modeling procedures are as follows: firstly, the original spectra of 116 samples were pretreated with wavelet transform denoising method; secondly, the full spectral region was divided into 10, 11,……, and 20 intervals respectively by siPLS algorithm; then partial least squares regression models were established for different combinations of 2, 3 or 4 subintervals under a certain interval. After that, the optimal combination of subintervals was chosen by comparing the RMSECVs of models of all combinations. The optimal model is the model built on the optimal combination with the minimum RMSECV. The RMSECV and the $R_c$ are the evaluation indexes of each combination. The formulas to calculate RMSECV and $R_c$ are as follows [38]:

$$R_c = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}}$$

$$\text{RMSECV} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{m-1}}$$

...where $m$ is the number of the calibration samples; $x_i$ is the real value of the calibration samples; $x'_i$ is the value corrected by siPLS; and $\bar{x}$ is the average of the real values of the calibration samples.

The 22 independent samples were used to test the robustness of the siPLS analysis models. For total nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen and nitrite nitrogen, values predicted by siPLS were compared with the real values obtained through traditional chemical methods. The RMSEP value and $R_p$ are the evaluation indexes of siPLS analysis models. The RMSEP and $R_p$ are calculated as follows [39, 40]:

$$R_p = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - y'_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2}}$$

$$\text{RMSEP} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - y'_i)^2}{n-1}}$$

...where $n$ is the number of the 22 independent samples; $y_i$ is the real value of the 22 independent samples; $y'_i$ is the value predicted by siPLS analysis models; and $\bar{y}$ is the average of the real values of the 22 independent samples.

Results and Discussion

Nitrogen Change during Shortcut Biological Nitrogen Removal

Fig. 2 shows the changes of total nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen, nitrate nitrogen and nitrite nitrogen. As can be seen in Fig. 2, there is generally a decline in the concentration of ammonia nitrogen, which finally decreases to 0.57 mg/L. However, there are also fluctuations during the process. At the beginning of stabilization (12 d), ammonia nitrogen increased due to the transformation of organic nitrogen and the release of nitrogen in the sediment. During subsequent stages of reaction, ammonia nitrogen decreased during aeration stage, but increased during non-aeration stage. Nitrite nitrogen increased during aeration stage but decreased
during non-aeration stage, and finally the concentration of nitrite nitrogen was 0.22 mg/L. The concentration of nitrate nitrogen did not change much (0–0.38 mg/L), and finally became 0.021 mg/L. Total nitrogen had a decreasing trend in general. But at the initial stage (38 d), total nitrogen increased due to a release of nitrogen from sediment. At the end of the experiment, total nitrogen was 0.64 mg/L. After repeated aeration and non-aeration, shortcut biological nitrogen removal was achieved during treatment of the polluted river.

Preprocessing of Near Infrared Spectra

Fig. 3 shows the near infrared spectra of water samples during shortcut nitrogen removal, and the spectral wave number is 4000~12500 cm⁻¹. As can be seen in Fig. 3, the near infrared spectra of different water samples are similar, and each near-infrared spectrum fluctuates within a certain range. However, near infrared spectra fluctuates greatly in the ranges between 4000 and 5400 cm⁻¹ and between 6500 and 7000 cm⁻¹, which may be due to the random noise in the generation of near infrared spectra. Therefore, it is necessary to preprocess the near infrared spectra.

The wavelet transform denoising method was employed to preprocess the near infrared spectra, and the result is shown in Fig. 4. As can be seen in Fig. 4, the overall shape of the spectra does not change after preprocessing. However, the burrs of the spectra decrease significantly, and the spectra are smoother. These results indicate that the wavelet denoising method has effectively removed random noises, which may also reduce the effect of these random noises on the relationship between the spectral data and the effective component content of the samples. Therefore, the data involved in the modeling will be more stable and reliable.

SiPLS Analysis Model of Total Nitrogen

With the siPLS method, the preprocessed full spectra were divided into 10–20 intervals. In addition, under a certain interval, combinations of subintervals are formed, which were composed of 2, 3 and 4 subintervals. Then for each combination, the analysis model of partial least squares was built to investigate the influence of different numbers of interval and different combinations on the performance of the siPLS analysis model of total nitrogen. As can be seen from Table 1, siPLS analysis model was affected by the numbers of intervals and the different combinations. The best siPLS analysis model of total nitrogen was found in the combination of the 7th, the 12th, and the 19th subintervals when the full spectra were divided into 19 intervals. The corresponding principal component was 11. As shown in Fig. 5, the spectral wavenumbers of the 7th, 12th and 19th interval are 6880~7124, 8418~9361, 11602~12046 cm⁻¹, respectively.

The siPLS analysis model of total nitrogen was built on the basis of the spectral data of the 7th, the 12th and the 19th subintervals, as shown in Fig. 6, where the $R^2$ between the corrected value and the real value is 0.9931 and the RMSECV is 1.7869. The experimental results show that siPLS analysis model of total nitrogen has good effect.
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Similarly, the preprocessed spectra were also divided into 10–20 intervals to establish siPLS analysis models of ammonia nitrogen. For each number of interval, siPLS analysis models were established for different combinations of subintervals. Then the optimal siPLS analysis model of ammonia nitrogen was selected.

Table 2 indicates the optimal siPLS analysis model of ammonia nitrogen for different numbers of intervals. It can be seen from Table 2 that the siPLS analysis model of ammonia nitrogen was affected by both the number of the interval and the combination of subintervals. Among these models that are optimal for different numbers of intervals, the best siPLS analysis model of ammonia nitrogen was found in the combination of the 1st, 7th, 15th and 16th subintervals, when the spectra were divided into 16 intervals and the principle component number was 15. In Fig. 7, the wavenumber ranges of the 1st, 7th, 15th, and 16th subintervals were respectively 4000–4524, 7174–7702, 11432–11964 and 11964–12500 cm⁻¹. It can be seen from Fig. 8 that the $R_c$ and the RMSECV were 0.9947 and 1.3419.

**SiPLS Analysis Model of Nitrite Nitrogen**

The siPLS analysis models of nitrite nitrogen were built in the same way as the siPLS analysis models of ammonia nitrogen and total nitrogen. For each number of intervals, the partial least squares regression model was built for different combinations of subintervals (2, 3 and 4) so that the best model can be found through comparing the RMSECV of the model, as seen in Table 3, which indicates that when the full spectra were divided into 19 and the principle component number was 13, the combination of the 7th and 11th subintervals, where the RMSECV was 1.7869, was selected as the best model.
subintervals yielded the best siPLS analysis model of nitrite nitrogen. Fig. 9 indicates that the wave number ranges of the 7th and the 11th subintervals were 6880~7124 and 8470~8914 cm$^{-1}$, respectively.

The siPLS analysis model of nitrite nitrogen is shown in Fig. 10. It can be seen from Fig. 10 that the RMSECV is 1.0518, and the $R_c$ is 0.9951. The experimental results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of intervals</th>
<th>Combination subinterval</th>
<th>Number of principle component</th>
<th>Calibration set</th>
<th>Validation set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RMSECV</td>
<td>$R_c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1, 4, 9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.3634</td>
<td>0.9946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4, 5, 10, 11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.3642</td>
<td>0.9946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3, 4, 9, 11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.3601</td>
<td>0.9946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1, 5, 13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.3519</td>
<td>0.9947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>5, 6, 11, 14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.3507</td>
<td>0.9947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1, 6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.3691</td>
<td>0.9945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1, 7, 15, 16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.3419</td>
<td>0.9947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1, 6, 8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.3640</td>
<td>0.9946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1, 7, 8, 13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.3654</td>
<td>0.9945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1, 8, 13, 15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.3529</td>
<td>0.9946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.3670</td>
<td>0.9945</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Optimal siPLS analysis model of ammonia nitrogen for each number of intervals.

Fig. 7. Combination of the 1st, 7th, 15th and 16th subintervals that yielded the best model of ammonia nitrogen.

Fig. 8. SiPLS analysis model of ammonia nitrogen.

Fig. 9. Combination of the 7th and the 11th subintervals that yielded the best model.

Fig. 10. SiPLS analysis model of nitrite nitrogen.
indicate that the siPLS analysis model of nitrite nitrogen is capable of correct predications.

Validating the SiPLS Analysis Model

The robustness of siPLS analysis models were tested with the data of the 22 independent samples. The results are presented in Figs 11-13, where the real values were obtained with traditional methods and the predicted values came from these models. Fig. 11 shows the testing result of the siPLS analysis model of total nitrogen. The $R_p$ between the predicted values and the real values is 0.9837, and the RMSEP is 2.7431. Fig. 12 indicates the testing result of the siPLS analysis model of ammonia nitrogen. The $R_p$ between the predicted values and the real values is 0.9908, and the RMSEP is 1.7255. Fig. 13 registers the testing result of the siPLS analysis model of nitrite nitrogen. The $R_p$ between the predicted values and the real values is 0.9891, and the RMSEP is 1.5064.

Table 3. Optimal siPLS analysis models of nitrite nitrogen for each number of intervals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of intervals</th>
<th>Combination subinterval</th>
<th>Number of principle component</th>
<th>Calibration set</th>
<th>Validation set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RMSECV</td>
<td>$R_p$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1, 4, 9, 10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.0696</td>
<td>0.9947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3, 9, 10, 11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.0803</td>
<td>0.9949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1, 5, 12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.0674</td>
<td>0.9950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1, 5, 13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.0742</td>
<td>0.9949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>4, 10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.0645</td>
<td>0.9950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>4, 14, 15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.0682</td>
<td>0.9950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>6, 12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.0578</td>
<td>0.9951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2, 6, 10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.0720</td>
<td>0.9949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>5, 10, 12, 13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.0581</td>
<td>0.9951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>7, 11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.0518</td>
<td>0.9951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>6, 14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.0603</td>
<td>0.9951</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 11. Test results of siPLS analysis model of total nitrogen.

Fig. 12. Test results of siPLS analysis model of ammonia nitrogen.

Fig. 13. Test results of siPLS analysis model of nitrite nitrogen.
values is 0.9891, with the RMSEP being 1.5064. These results indicate that siPLS analysis models have good robustness and accurate prediction.

Conclusions

When shortcut biological nitrogen removal was used in the treatment of a high-nitrogen river, near-infrared spectra of water samples were preprocessed with the wavelet denoising method to reduce noise. Based on the siPLS algorithm, the preprocessed spectra were employed to build quantitative analysis models for total nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen and nitrite nitrogen.

The results indicate that these siPLS analysis models of total nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen and nitrite nitrogen, which are built on the basis of the preprocessed spectral data of calibration samples, are capable of accurate prediction. For these models, the $R^2$ are 0.9931, 0.9947 and 0.9951 respectively, with the RMSECVs being 1.7869, 1.3419 and 1.0518. An independent set of 22 samples were used to test the robustness of the siPLS analysis models of total nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen and nitrite nitrogen, and the results indicate that the $R^2$ are 0.9837, 0.9908 and 0.9891, and the RMSECVs are 2.7431, 1.7255 and 1.5064, respectively.

In short, the siPLS analysis models of total nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen and nitrite nitrogen provide rapid, simple, time-saving and non-polluting means to quantitatively analyze the contents of total nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen and nitrite nitrogen.
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