Modulation of Photosynthesis, Phenolic Contents, Antioxidant Activities, and Grain Yield of Two Barley Accessions Grown under Deficit Irrigation with Saline Water in an Arid Area of Tunisia
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Abstract

The effects of irrigation with saline water were studied on barley plants cultivated under arid conditions at the Institute of Arid Regions located in the South East of Tunisia. Two barley accessions (Karkeni and Bengardeni) and three regimes of irrigation as a function of the cultural evapotranspiration ETc (T0: 100% ETc, T1: 75% ETc and T2: 50% ETc) were used. Several parameters – gas exchange (A, E and gs), total flavonoid contents (TFC), total phenolic contents (TPC), phenolic compounds, antioxidant activity (DPPH and ABTS) and grain yield (GY) – were used to assess the effects of the studied factors on barley plants. Gas exchange parameters (A, E and gs) vary significantly between treatments. Salinity stress had no significant effect on TPC and TFC. Phenolic compounds varied significantly between treatments and accessions. In addition, their antioxidant activity based on DPPH and ABTS scavenging assays increased and are more important in Karkeni than Bengardeni under soil salinity. In addition, soil salinity decreased yield and yield components. Karkeni was more productive than Bengardeni.
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Introduction

In many regions of the world, drought and salinity are the two major abiotic factors affecting plant growth and productivity, particularly in irrigated areas in arid regions [1]. Besides, soil salinity is a major environmental stress that causes crop productivity losses worldwide. In addition to soils being naturally affected by salt, salinization due to anthropogenic factors, mainly insufficient irrigation and methods of tree deforestation, lead to ground and underground water [2]. In saline areas, crops that tolerate salinity are
more productive than sensitive ones [3-4]. It is important to be able to grow salt-tolerant crops for reliable crop performance in salt soils, and from this point of view, it is necessary to develop salt-tolerant crops. Saline stress tolerance differs significantly between species [5-6]. Salt tolerance also differs between barley cultivars, rice and mung beans [4, 7-9]. The research works conducted to evaluate the combined effects of water and salt stresses on plants are scarce [10]. It was demonstrated that salt may partly mitigate the deleterious effects of drought on plant growth. [11-12] demonstrated that when simultaneously subjected to water-deficit stress and salinity, the halophyte *Sesuvium portulacastrum* displayed higher values of water and potassium use efficiencies, leaf proline and Na⁺ concentrations associated with lower leaf water potential and an improvement of photosynthetic activity, suggesting the ability of this species to use Na⁺ and proline for osmotic adjustment in comparison with plants subjected to each stress applied individually. However, the exact mechanisms of this beneficial effect of salt on plants grown under water deficit constraint are complex and require more study [13].

It is well known that salt stress provokes stomatal closure and consequently decreases CO₂ fixation [14-16]. As a consequence, an over-reduction of photosynthetic electron chain can occur which stimulates the production of reactive oxygen species also known as ROS [17-18]. Those ROS are the leading cause of oxidative stress. Concretely, higher plants developed different protective mechanisms to reduce oxidative damage induced by salt stress. One of the major defense mechanism is the biosynthesis of especially efficient antioxidants such as phenolic compounds. In fact, polyphenols, including phenolic acids, flavonoids and proanthocyanidins, represent an important and powerful agent in scavenging free radicals [19-20]. Antioxidative capacities of phenolic compounds occur from their high reactivity as hydrogen or electron donors, from the particularity of the polyphenol-derived radical to stabilize and delocalize the unpaired electron, and from their capacities to chelate transition metal ions [21]. Furthermore, it has been shown in some recent studies that polyphenol biosynthesis is usually positively correlated to abiotic stress [22-16]. Particularly when plants were subjected to saline treatment, variations in antioxidant pools, notably in polyphenols, were found [16].

So, this research work aimed at investigating the effects of irrigation with saline water on two barley accessions cultivated in the field under arid environments in order to identify the tolerant accession under salt stress conditions and to understand the relationship between tolerance and productivity. Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) is the fourth largest cereal crop in the world for foraging purposes and as a grain crop [23-24]. It also constitutes a genetic model for other crops [25].

### Materials and Methods

#### Plant Material and Growth Conditions

The feedstock consisted of seeds and leaves belonging to “*Ardhaoui,*” a local landrace of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). Two specific accessions in southern Tunisia were studied: namely accession “Karkeni” from Karkenah and accession “Bengardeni” collected from Bengardene. The first one has been exploited for several years in irrigated crops at the Institute of Arid regions of Medenine, whereas the Bengardeni accession has been grown for a few years in fields and in rain fed by a small farmer in an arid region in order to compare their behavior when grown under deficit irrigation with saline water.

The experiment was conducted in the field at the Institute of Arid Regions, located at 22.5 km southeast of Medenine (10°38′30.34″E, 33°29′53.23″N alt 106 m). The climate is Mediterranean, with hot and dry summers and mild winters, with an average annual rainfall of 125 mm. Minimum temperatures recorded during November 2015 to May 2016, respectively, were between 3.5 and 15.7°C, while maximum temperatures were between 16 and 39.8°C for the same period.

Crops were irrigated with a drip-irrigation system, three regimes were used as a function of the cultural evapotranspiration $E_{Tc}$ (T0: 100% $E_{Tc}$, T1: 75% $E_{Tc}$ and T2: 50% $E_{Tc}$). The irrigation is done by a well of salinity varying between 4 to 7 g/l during the experiment. The treatment T0 corresponds to the lowest soil salinity, the treatment T2 had the highest soil salinity, the soil is sandy soil. In addition to drought stress caused by the irrigation regimes, there was salt stress in the soil because of the absence of leaching. All parameters were measured at tillering stage.

#### Gas Exchange Measurements

Photosynthetic rate ($A$), stomatal conductance ($gs$) and transpiration were measured using a portable gas-exchange system (ADC BioScientific LC Pro+ System Serial No.3302). Gas exchange parameters were measured on the flag leaves. Leaf temperature was maintained at 25°C, light intensity was set at 800 μmol photons m⁻²s⁻¹ with a red/blue light source, and the CO₂ concentration was set at 400 μmol/mol. Leaf to air VPD was maintained at 1 KPa.

#### Preparing Leaf Extract

Several studies have shown that 80% methanol is an effective solvent in extracting phenolic and other polar substances from cereals [26]. In this study, 80% methanol extracts from barley were used to determine total phenolic content and antioxidant property. Barley leaf samples (200 mg) were extracted with 4 ml acidified...
methanol (HCl/methanol/water, 1:80:10, v/v/v) at room temperature (25ºC) for 2 h using an orbital shaker. The mixture was centrifuged at 3000g for 10 min. The supernatant was used for determining total phenolic content.

Total Phenolic Content (TPC)

Total phenolic content was determined by the Folin-Ciocalteu spectrophotometric method according to [27]. Total polyphenol contents were calculated as a gallic acid equivalent from the calibration curve of gallic acid standard solutions, and expressed as gallic acid equivalents (GAE) in milligrams per gram of dry plant material.

Total Flavonoid Content (TFC)

Total flavonoid content was determined by the method of aluminium trichloride using catechin as a reference compound [28]. Actually, 250 μL of each extract (or catechin solution) is added to 1.25 mL of deionized water and subsequently mixed with an NaNO₂ solution (5%, 75 μL). The whole was allowed to stand for 6 min and 150 μL of 10% AlCl₃ were added, as well as an H₂O solution. As a final step, 0.5 mL of 1 M NaOH solution were added to the previous mixture incubated during 5 min. Immediately, distilled water was added to bring a final volume to 2.5 mL. The intensity of pink color was measured at 510 nm. The TFC was interpreted as mg catechin equivalents (CE) /100 g of dry weight (DW).

Analysis of Individual Phenolic Compounds by Analytical LC-ESI-MS

Leaf methanolic extract was analyzed using an LCMS 2020 mass spectrometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). LC system was equipped with an electrospray ionization source (ESI). Spectra were recorded in negative ion mode, monitored and processed using Shimadzu Lab Solutions LC-MS software. The LC-20AD XR binary pump system, the SIL-20AC XR auto sampler, the CTO-20AC column oven and the DGU-20AS degasser (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) were the main elements of the LC system. For analysis, Thermo Electron (Dreieich, Germany) provided as with an Aquasil C18 column thermostatted at 40°C (150 × 3 mm, 3 μm) preceded by an Aquasil C18 guard column (10 × 3 mm, 3 μm). The used solvents were: A (0.1% formic acid in H₂O, v/v) and B (0.1% formic acid in methanol, v/v). The elution gradient established was 10-100% B for 0-45 min, 100% B over 45-55 min, and re-equilibration of the column lasted 5 min between individual runs. The flow rate of the mobile phase was 0.4 ml min⁻¹, and the injection volume was 5 μL. High-purity nitrogen served as the nebulizer and auxiliary gas. The ion spray voltage was set at -3.5 v in the negative mode. Settings used were: a nebulizing gas flow of 1.5 l/min, a dry gas flow rate of 12 l/min, a DL (dissolving line) temperature of 250°C, a block source temperature of 400°C and a voltage detector of 1.2 v.

Assessing Antioxidant Capacities

DPPH Radical-Scavenging Assay Determination

This methodology was performed on leaves of barley according to the procedure described in [29]. The reaction mixture contained 1.5 ml from a DPPH solution (4.73 mg of DPPH dissolved in 100 ml Methanol HPLC-grade) and 100 μl of methanolic leaf extract. The mixture was left to stand for 60 min in the dark. The reduction of the DPPH radical was determined by measuring the absorbance at 515 nm. Trolox was used to develop standard curves. The radical-scavenging activity (RSA) was calculated as a percentage of inhibition as follows:

\[
\% \text{ inhibition} = \left(\frac{A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{control}}}\right) \times 100
\]

ABTS Radical-Scavenging Assay Determination

The ABTS radical was carried out following the method of [30] with modifications. The ABTS⁺ was produced by reaction of 7 mM stock solution of ABTS with 2.45 mM potassium persulfate and allowing the mixture to stand in the dark at room temperature for 12-16 hours before use. Afterward, the solution was diluted and equilibrated to give an absorbance at 734 nm of 0.700±0.02. Plant extracts (120 μl) were allowed to react with 1.5 ml of the diluted ABTS solution and the absorbance was read at 734 nm after 30 min of incubation. The ABTS radical inhibition was determined based on the following equation:

\[
\% \text{ inhibition} = \left(\frac{A_{\text{blank}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{blank}}}\right) \times 100
\]

Measurement of Yield and Yield Components

Spike number per plant, grains number per spike and 1000-grain weight were counted at maturity stage. Grain yield was calculated using yield components \[GY (g/m) = \text{grain number per spike} \times \text{grain number per m}^2 \times \text{1000 grains weight (g)}\] and expressed in t ha⁻¹.

Statistical Analysis

All data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA test, relationships between some parameters were determined using Pearson’s simple correlation test, and means were compared using Duncan’s test at \(p<0.05\) level of significance by means of SPSS 20 for Windows.
Results

Effect of Deficit Irrigation with Saline Water on Gas Exchange Parameters

Salt treatment significantly decreased CO₂ assimilation rate (A), transpiration rate (E), and stomatal conductance (gs). In Karkeni accession grown under T1 treatment, A, E, and gs were decreased by 30.7%, 24.8%, and 20%, respectively. Under T2 treatment, these reductions were more pronounced (71.8%, 62%, and 53.3%, respectively for A, E, and gs). For Bengardeni accession, the reductions were 22.5%, 29.6%, and 6.7% under T1 and 55.3%, 58.4%, and 46.7% under T2, respectively, for A, E, and gs. Generally, the Bengardeni accession maintained higher A compared to Karkeni accession. No significant differences were observed between the two accessions concerning E and gs.

Effect of Deficit Irrigation with Saline Water on Total Phenolic Contents

The effects of irrigation treatments using saline water on total phenolic content (TPC) are shown in Fig. 1. According to this illustration, initially, the two accessions exhibited statistically the same phenolic content (around 96.7 mg GAE/100 g DW for control). Nevertheless, Bengardeni accession showed relatively higher TPC but was insignificant compared to Karkeni accession under stress conditions (T1). Interestingly, Table 2 data highlighted that TPC depends significantly on the accessions (p<0.01). No significant difference was observed on TFC between treatments and accessions. No significant difference was observed on TFC between treatments and accessions. No significant difference was observed between the two accessions concerning E and gs.

Table 1. Effect of deficit irrigation with saline water on phenolic compounds (µg g⁻¹ DW) in flag leaves in two barley accessions (Karkeni and Bengardeni). Data are shown as means±SE (n=3). Values with different letters are significantly different at p<0.05, according to Duncan’s multiple range test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Quinic acid</th>
<th>Protocatechuic acid</th>
<th>4-O-cafeoylquinic acid</th>
<th>Syringic acid</th>
<th>p-coumaric acid</th>
<th>Trans frulate</th>
<th>Kaempferol</th>
<th>Cirsiliol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>Karkeni</td>
<td>217.4±3.67 ab</td>
<td>9.44±0.24 d</td>
<td>5.42±0.08 c</td>
<td>2±0.005 e</td>
<td>29.58±0.95 b</td>
<td>46.79±2.52 b</td>
<td>43.5±0.1 b</td>
<td>32.87±1.55 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>Bengardeni</td>
<td>203.9±14.7 cb</td>
<td>10.82±0.14 bc</td>
<td>6.5±0.15 a</td>
<td>1.96±0.038 a</td>
<td>26.81±1.97 b</td>
<td>35.33±1.55 c</td>
<td>46.3±0.15 b</td>
<td>40.04±1.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Karkeni</td>
<td>219.23±4.7 ab</td>
<td>10.3±0.3 cd</td>
<td>5.4±0.07 c</td>
<td>2.98±0.01 c</td>
<td>27.33±0.1 b</td>
<td>60.38±3.17 a</td>
<td>47.8±0.2 ab</td>
<td>42.15±1.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Bengardeni</td>
<td>187.96±3.4 cd</td>
<td>20.85±0.23 a</td>
<td>6.0±0.04 b</td>
<td>3.17±0.29 c</td>
<td>29.29±0.82 b</td>
<td>34.48±0.45 c</td>
<td>4.07±0.02 cd</td>
<td>39.71±1.4 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Karkeni</td>
<td>237.68±5.8 a</td>
<td>8.97±0.04 e</td>
<td>5±0.01 e</td>
<td>4.14±0.1 b</td>
<td>28.87±0.06 b</td>
<td>50.74±0.65 d</td>
<td>4.94±0.22 a</td>
<td>38±0.19 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Bengardeni</td>
<td>166.28±3.6 c</td>
<td>11.14±0.1 b</td>
<td>4.95±0.06 c</td>
<td>4.75±0.05 a</td>
<td>34.25±0.32 a</td>
<td>35.47±0.16 c</td>
<td>3.83±0.03 d</td>
<td>38.54±1.03 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variation of Phenolic Compounds under Deficit Irrigation with Saline Water

LC-ESI-MS analysis of phenolic compounds extracted from flag leaves of two accessions under deficit irrigation with saline water is shown in (Table 1). Considering accession phenolic profiles, quantitative difference and qualitative similitude were observed. Qualitatively, eight phytochemical compounds were detected in the two accessions: six phenolic acids (quinic, protocatechuic, 4-O-caffeoylquinic, syringic, p-coumaric and trans-ferulic acids) and two flavonoids (kaempferol and cirsiliol). Moreover, the major compounds were the same for Karkeni and Bengardeni accessions, with quinic acid content around 200 µg g⁻¹ DW followed by trans ferulic acid than cirsiliol.

Quantitatively, phenolic contents varied statistically when comparing the two accessions. Actually, while quinic acid content reached 237 µg g⁻¹ DW in Karkeni plants, it was limited to 20.3 µg g⁻¹ DW in Bengardeni ones (T2 treatment). The same tendency was also observed for trans-ferulic acid, syringic acid and kaempferol contents being higher in Karkeni accession. Regarding the treatment effect, Table 1 exhibited different phenolic behavior depending on treatment severity. In fact, quinic and 4-O-caffeoylquinic acids content decreased significantly as a function of treatment severity while protocatechuic, syringic and trans-ferulic acid amounts showed statistical enhancement – especially in Karkeni accessions. Taking syringic acid as an example, its content raised from 2 to 4.1 µg g⁻¹ DW (Karkeni at T0 and T2, respectively) and from 2 to 4.7 µg g⁻¹ DW (Bengardeni) at T0 and T2, respectively.

It is worth mentioning that analysis of variance revealed significant differences in phenolic compounds between treatments (p<0.01, p<0.001) and accessions (p<0.001), except for syringic acid, p-coumaric acid and cirsiliol (Table 3). As a matter of fact, the variations of all phenolic compounds under treatments depend on the response of each accession to drought and salinity. With this respect, trans-ferulic acid increased significantly, under treatments, with high values in Karkeni (until 60.4 µg g⁻¹ DW), while it was significantly stable in Bengardeni (35.4 µg g⁻¹ DW).

Effect of Deficit Irrigation with Saline Water on DPPH and ABTS Scavenging Assays

The antioxidant activities in flag leaves of barley accessions were determined by DPPH and ABTS scavenging assays (Figs 3, 4), respectively. The DPPH values increased significantly between treatment and accession (p<0.001). Similarly, the ABTS values increased significantly between treatment, and there is significant difference between accessions. The DPPH and ABTS scavenging capacity were more pronounced in Karkeni than Bengardeni.
The effects of deficit irrigation with saline water on yield and yield components are given in Table 4. Between accessions, Duncan test showed a significant difference between Karkeni and Bengardeni under T1 and T2 levels in spike number per plant, 1000 grain weight and grain yield (p<0.05), no significant difference in grain number per spike (except at T1). Karkeni is more productive than the Bengardeni one.

### Discussion

Drought and salinity, as well as numerous severe environmental conditions, hamper the vegetal metabolic systems in diverse ways. Since the dawn of time *Hordeum vulgare* has been considered a model plant in discerning the phenomenon of salinity tolerance. It is well documented that salt stress negatively affects plant growth and productivity as a consequence of decreased photosynthetic activity. Stomatal limitation (at intermediate salinity) and non-stomatal limitation (at biochemical level) under more severe saline conditions are responsible for the reduced photosynthetic capacity [31-14-16]. Salinity may limit the photosynthetic activity by a limitation of CO2 supply arising from the partial closure of stomata (stomatal function) or by altering the biochemical CO2 fixation mechanism (not stomatal function), or by both procedures [32].

Our results showed a significant decrease in photosynthetic parameters (A, E, and g) following salt water treatments. Similar results were found by [33] in Nipponbare under different salinity regimes. The most decreases were observed in plants grown under T2 treatment. The reductions were more pronounced in Karkeni compared to Bengardeni (Figs 5-7). The decline in net photosynthesis (A) was more due to stomatal limitation in Karkeni than Bengardeni. These results corroborate those obtained by [34] in barley under salinity stress.

Phenolic compounds have been commonly associated with the detoxification of reactive oxygen species (ROS) displaying several important biological activities that alleviate oxidative stress [35]. According to the literature, salt-stressed barley produces intensive

---

**Table 4.** Means±SE (n = 6) of yield and yield components of barley accessions under irrigation treatments. Values with different letters are significantly different at p<0.05, according to Duncan’s multiple range test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Grain number (spike⁻¹)</th>
<th>Spike number (plant⁻¹)</th>
<th>1000-grain weight (g)</th>
<th>Grain yield (t ha⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>Karkeni</td>
<td>50.66±1.15 a</td>
<td>7.66±0.57 a</td>
<td>46.94±0.41 a</td>
<td>3.121±0.24 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>Bengardeni</td>
<td>48.66±1.15 a</td>
<td>7.33±0.57 ab</td>
<td>46.21±0.56 ab</td>
<td>2.4±0.76 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Karkeni</td>
<td>48.66±3.05 a</td>
<td>6.33±0.57 abc</td>
<td>46.05±0.82 ab</td>
<td>2.164±1.1 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Bengardeni</td>
<td>41.33±1.15 b</td>
<td>6±1 bc</td>
<td>45.03±0.30 b</td>
<td>1.861±1.82 cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Karkeni</td>
<td>40.66±1.15 b</td>
<td>6±1 bc</td>
<td>44.79±0.66 b</td>
<td>1.651±0.51 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Bengardeni</td>
<td>40.66±1.15 b</td>
<td>5.66±0.57 c</td>
<td>39.45±1.29 c</td>
<td>1.250±0.27 e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
amounts of secondary metabolites, especially flavonoids and other phenolic components and thus mitigating the ionic repercussion of salt stress [36-37]. In our work, no significant difference was observed between treatments and accessions. In accordance with our results, [37-38] found that salinity stress results in a reduction in TPC in Tibetan wild barley plants. Contrarily, [39] observed an increase in phenolic contents in rice under saline conditions. Quan et al. [40] and Minh et al. [41] observed an increase in TPC in rice plants grown under drought stress and salinity stress, respectively. Several other research works conducted on other species such as Cynara scolymus L., Hyssopus Officinalis L., Cichorium spinosum L. and Sulla carnosa showed an increase in total phenolic and flavonoid contents under saline conditions [16, 42-44].

Irrigation with saline water significantly affects phenolic compounds in the two barley accessions. In fact, p-coumaric acid increase in Bengardeni and decrease in Karkeni under saline conditions (Table 1), the high values of p-coumaric acid are found in Bengardeni than Karkeni. Eleuch et al [45] reported that p-coumaric acid increased under salinity stress for two barley cultivars (Acsad 1230 and Arig 8) and showed that the tolerant cultivar (Arig 8) has higher values of p-coumaric acid compared to sensitive cultivar (Acsad 1230) under severe salt stress (140 mM). Recently, [41] reported that salt stress increases p-coumaric acid in rice. Also, [40] reported that p-coumaric acid increased under drought stress in rice. In another species, [46] showed that p-coumaric acid increased under saline treatments in cumin seeds. Kaempferol content increased in Bengardeni and decreased in Karkeni, 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid decreased in the two accessions. Our results are confirmed by [44] on Cichorium spinosum L. under saline stress. Protocatechuic acid also increased in most cases for the two accessions under irrigation with saline water [44]. Syringic acid increased under saline irrigation at the tillering stage. Similar results were found by [40] on rice under drought. Similar results were found by [46] in cumin under salt treatments. Quinic acid varies between accessions and salt irrigation levels. Quinic acid increased in Karkeni and decreased in Bengardeni accession. Our results are in agreement with those found by [47] on two contrasting wild barley genotypes under lower nitrogen stress. Quinic acid might improve the salt resistance of Karkeni, even at higher salt stress level (T2), because this metabolite was more accumulated in Karkeni accession than in the Bengardeni one with increasing salt stress. It can be concluded that the tolerance to salinity can be due to a modulation of phenolic compounds biosynthesis.

To evaluate the capacity of methanolic extracts of barley leaves, two tests were used (DPPH and ABTS). The irrigation with saline water increased antioxidant activities in the leaves of the two barley accessions. Our results were in agreement with those obtained by [39] on rice grains under salt stress. In contrast,
Table 5. Correlation coefficients between total phenolic contents, antioxidant activities and grain yield.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TPC</th>
<th>TFC</th>
<th>DPPH</th>
<th>ABTS</th>
<th>GY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TPC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.639**</td>
<td>0.342*</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>-0.723**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.540**</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>-0.851**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPPH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>-0.667*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABTS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.567*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, ** significant at P<0.05 and P<0.01, respectively

an opposite behavior was found by [48] in wheat leaves under saline conditions. The highest DPPH and ABTS scavenging assays were observed at T1 and T2 treatments [49]. Karkeni showed higher DPPH and ABTS than Bengardeni in the same treatments. On two rice cultivars, the tolerant cultivar showed the lower IC50, that is to say, the high efficiency on scavenging ABTS than Bengardeni in the same treatments. On two barley accessions, the tolerant cultivar showed the lower DPPH and ABTS than Bengardeni in the same treatments. [49]. Karkeni showed higher DPPH and TPC than Bengardeni in the same treatments. [50]. These results agree with those found in our study. In fact, the antioxidant activity based on DPPH scavenging assay confirmed the TPC previously reported in our work, leading to a positive significant correlation between these parameters (Table 5). Similar correlations between DPPH scavenging assay and TPC have been reported in wheat leaves [48-50] and grain barley [51]. These differences in phenolic compounds and antioxidant activities of the two barley accessions under the irrigation with saline water will affect differently yield and yield components. In fact, salinity (T1 and T2) decreased grain number per spike, spike number per plant, 1000 grain weight and grain yield [39-52]. The study carried out by [3] showed that differences of cultivars in grain yield in barley increased with increasing soil salinity. Among accessions, it showed the high grain yield compared to Bengardeni as shown in Table 4.

**Conclusion**

We can conclude that the two barley accessions respond differently to deficit irrigation with saline water. Antioxidant activities increased and are more important in Karkeni than Bengardeni grown under saline conditions (T1 and T2). Photosynthetic activity is more important in Bengardeni than Karkeni in all treatments. On the other hand, Karkeni showed the high grain yield compared to Bengardeni.
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