

Spatial Variability of Greenhouse Gas Effluxes and Their Controlling Factors in the Poyang Lake in China

Lixiang Liu^{1,2}, Ming Xu^{1,3*}, Mao Lin⁴, Xin Zhang⁴

¹Key Laboratory of Ecosystem Network Observation and Modeling,
Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

²University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100039, China

³Department of Ecology, Evolution and Natural Resources, Rutgers University,
New Brunswick, NJ 08901, USA

⁴Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, China

Received: 8 March 2012

Accepted: 10 July 2012

Abstract

CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O (GHG) emissions are globally important in China, but few field observations have been made in freshwater lakes. In this paper, we measured the GHG effluxes from 44 sampling locations among four sub-regions in Poyang Lake in China in October 2010 using floating chambers. The mean CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O effluxes were 0.23 μmol m⁻²·s⁻¹, 3.0 nmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹, and 0.11 nmol m⁻²·s⁻¹, ranging from -0.25 to 0.54 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹, 1.4 to 8.9 nmol m⁻²·s⁻¹, and 0.012 to 0.21 nmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ for the CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O efflux, respectively. We found differently related tendencies between GHG effluxes and environmental parameters for each sub-region and, totally, four sub-regions together. The 16 environmental factors explained the GHG effluxes with 55%, 70%, and 89% of the variation in CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O efflux, respectively, in Poyang Lake. Our analysis revealed that sediment C/N ratio, water depth, and the difference of air-water temperature were significantly contributing variables for GHG effluxes in the lake.

Keywords: greenhouse gas effluxes, spatial variability, environmental factors, the Poyang Lake

Introduction

Carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide, and key radiatively active GHG, have contributed approximately 60%, 20%, and 6%, respectively, to the global greenhouse gas effect [1]. The GHG effect has played a dominate role in observed global warming in past decades [2]. Previous studies have found that freshwater bodies, such as streams, rivers, and lakes, have produced a large amount of these GHGs, most of which are through biotic processes [3-8].

It has been estimated that global lakes alone emit 71.6Tg CH₄ [9] and 1943 Tg CO₂ [10] to the atmosphere every year. So far, global N₂O emissions from lakes have not been accurately estimated, due mainly to the high spatial variation of the effluxes [11, 12]. However, Yang et al. [13] revealed that Bastviken et al. [9] underestimated the global CH₄ emission from lakes due to lack of other regional field measurements such as Chinese lakes, which estimated the global CH₄ budget using 53 lakes only coming from the American or European lakes on the basis of the field measurement data. Thus, the GHG emissions from lakes in China may play an important role in global GHG budgets.

*e-mail: mingxu@igsnr.ac.cn

Many previous studies have demonstrated that the GHG effluxes feature high spatial variation among lakes or even within the same lake [6, 13-15]. For example, Pavel et al. [14] reported that the CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes were only $0.7 \pm 1.0 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ and $11 \pm 9 \text{ } \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$, respectively, in Rosulet Lake in the Romanian part of the Danube Delta, but the fluxes were about 7 times greater in Isac Lake in the same region. Bastviken et al. [6] also found that CH_4 fluxes varied dramatically from 3.9 to $74.2 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$ in the lakes in the Pantanal region in South America during the low water season. Schrier-Uijl et al. [7] evaluated the spatial variation of CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes in different lakes in the Netherlands and found that the emission rates ranged from -6.0 to $123.9 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ for CO_2 and from 1.4 to $18.1 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ for CH_4 . Therefore, some researchers have suggested that the lake GHG emissions should be measured on a case-by-case basis in order to estimate the global GHG budgets considering the high variations among lakes.

Examining the spatial variation of GHG effluxes and identifying their key controlling factors are critical for understanding the mechanisms of lake GHG emissions and scaling up the point-based measurements of GHG effluxes to the whole lake and even larger scales. The GHG emissions at the air-water interface in lakes are mainly determined by the GHG production in the lake sediments and production and consumption during transport in the water column. For example, plants may take up or release CO_2 through photosynthesis and respiration, respectively, and a large portion of the CH_4 produced in the sediments can be oxidized during the transport process in the water. Therefore, the GHG effluxes at the lake surface are controlled by many factors, such as water temperature, water depth, wind speed, carbon and nitrogen contents in the lake

sediments and water column, pH, and electrical conductivity (EC) in the lake sediments and water bodies [7, 16]. A better understanding of the spatial patterns of the GHG effluxes and their controlling factors in key regions is crucial to accurately estimating the regional and global GHG budgets from lakes [7, 13, 17].

Lake GHG emissions in China have rarely been measured and reported [17, 18]. China has 2,350 lakes (area $>1 \text{ km}^2$) with a total area of about $1.2 \times 10^5 \text{ km}^2$ [19]. Poyang Lake, the largest freshwater lake in China, is a typical subtropical lake which shares similar hydrological and ecological features with many other Chinese lakes because of the rainy season and climate. Thus, it is necessary to investigate the spatial variability of the GHG effluxes in the lake for better understanding the mechanisms of the GHG emissions. Specifically, the current study aims to:

- (1) Quantify CO_2 , CH_4 , and N_2O effluxes and elucidate their spatial patterns in Poyang Lake
- (2) Identify the possible controlling factors of the effluxes by examining the correlations between the effluxes and environmental factors.

Material and Methods

Site Description

We conducted this study in October 2010 in Poyang Lake (E28°22'~29°45'N, 115°47'~116°45'E), which has a water area of $3,283 \text{ km}^2$ and a total catchment area of approximately $162,000 \text{ km}^2$ [20, 21]. It is located in the middle of the Yangtze River basin and in the north of Jiangxi Province in south-central China. The study site features a typically subtropical humid monsoon climate. The average annual air temperature is 17.1°C , with a mean coldest (January) and warmest (July) monthly temperature of 5.1 and 29.5°C , respectively. Mean annual precipitation is approximately $1,500 \text{ mm}$ and more than two thirds of the precipitation comes with the summer monsoon. So the water level in the lake fluctuates dramatically with a high water level in the summer and low water level in the winter and early following spring, from October to the following March [21].

Experimental Design

Considering the large area of the lake and the variation of the microclimate across the lake, we conducted a field campaign from October 16 to 18, 2010, when the climate in the entire lake was relatively similar and the water level was moderately high. The field GHG efflux measurements were taken in four sub-regions in the lake, namely the Xingzi, Wucheng, Nanjishan, and Duchang regions (hereinafter referred to as X, W, N, and D) (Fig. 1). The four regions cover a major portion of the lake and represent the geographical, hydrological, and ecological features and the typical human management activities in the lake. The W sub-region is home to migratory birds in winter, which is located in the center of Poyang Lake Nature Reserve.



Fig. 1. Sampling locations in Poyang Lake.

Table 1. Physicochemical properties of the four sub-regions in the Poyang Lake.

Sub-region	Properties of water							Properties of sediment				
	WT	WD	pH	EC	DOC	NO ₃ ⁻ -N	NH ₄ ⁺ -N	SNC	SOC	C/N ratio	NO ₃ ⁻ -N	NH ₄ ⁺ -N
	°C	m		μS/cm	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	% DW	% DW		mg/kg	mg/kg
Xingzi	20.3	1.9	6.7	113	3.8	0.9	0.19	0.096	0.75	7.7	1.01	16.9
Wucheng	20.6	1.8	6.8	82.5	4.0	0.3	0.12	0.11	0.7	6.1	0.55	23.9
Nanjishan	21.1	1.2	6.8	78.1	4.7	0.1	0.11	0.077	0.66	8.2	0.43	14.1
Duchang	20.4	1.9	6.5	75.5	4.1	0.6	0.22	0.07	0.7	10.6	2.58	13.7

Variables measured include water temperature (WT), water depth (WD), pH and electrical conductivity (EC) of water, water dissolved organic carbon (DOC), sediment organic carbon (SOC), sediment total nitrogen content (SNC), sediment C/N ratio, NO₃⁻-N, and NH₄⁺-N contents in the water and sediments. The values were spatially averaged and the DW denotes dry weight.

The N sub-region is a quasi-protected region, whereas the D and X sub-regions are subjected to human disturbances, including fishing and sand mining operations. The physicochemical properties of the four sub-regions are given in Table 1. Three transects, starting from the lake shore and extending to the middle of the lake, were randomly made in each sub-region during the field campaign. We measured the GHG effluxes on water surfaces along the transects with the sampling locations spaced >250 m apart to characterize spatial variations at different scales. Previous studies have demonstrated that no apparent autocorrelation was found for the GHG effluxes when the spatial scale was larger than 20 m from the spatial statistics of the sampling points [5]. Therefore, we chose a minimum distance of 250 m to ensure the spatial independence of our sampling. During the field campaign we surveyed the lake with 154 efflux chamber measurements. Although the samplings were not completely random across the entire lake, the mean effluxes of samples should be close to the mean effluxes of the entire lake considering the spatial distribution of the sites, the random selection of the transects and the large sample size. During the sampling period the water depth varied from 0.8 to 4.87 m, depending on the sampling locations.

GHG Efflux Measurements

The GHG effluxes were measured using the floating chamber technique [6, 22]. Each sampling unit was made of an open-bottomed PVC chamber (20 cm in diameter and 100 cm in height) and equipped with styrofoam floats. The effective volume (the volume above water surface, 6,280 cm³) of the chamber was measured on a calm day when winds and waves were absent. A pressure relieve valve was installed on the top of the chamber to balance the pressure inside and outside of the chamber. A small fan remotely controlled by a wireless sensor was installed in each chamber to mix the air inside the chamber during sampling. Four chambers were simultaneously deployed from a small boat with the chambers about 10 m away from the boat to minimize the impact of the boat. Four gas samples with 85 mL each were collected from each closed chamber using 4 air-

sampling bottles, which were numbered 1 to 4 and connected in series through tubes and valves. The air was circulated between the chamber and the bottles through a pump powered by a 12VDC battery to maintain a flow rate of about 2 L·min⁻¹. The first sample was taken soon after the chamber was closed (ambient concentration) by temporarily powering off the pump and detaching bottle 1 from the series. The subsequent 3 samples were each taken at a 20-minute interval to finish the measurements in about an hour at each location. This sampling design avoided the pressure perturbation during the gas extraction period as with the traditional syringe sampling. The air samples were soon transported to the laboratory for analyzing the GHG concentrations with a gas chromatograph (GC 7890A, Agilent, USA). The GHG effluxes were calculated with a linear model before adjusting the system volume (including the additional volume of the gas bottles and the tubing) to the same level due to the change of the number of bottles connected and thus the sampling volume.

Other Measurements

In addition to the GHG efflux measurements, we also measured various environmental variables in the lake sediments, the water columns and the near-surface air. We collected surface water and sediment samples (0-15 cm) immediately after the GHG efflux measurements using a plexiglass water grab and sediment sampler made of stainless steel with 3 cm in diameter. The samples were immediately transported to a laboratory for analysis. We measured water depth, wave height, and sediment active layer depth using a metal rod and a tape measure. In particular, we took 3 measurements of wave height during the efflux measurement within about one hour (at each sampling location). Then we averaged the 3 data points as the mean wave height. We also measured wind speed at about 1.5 m above the water surface using a portable anemometer (Testo410-1, Testo, Germany) and surface water (0-15 cm) temperature using a thermometer. Each sampling location was positioned using a high-precision GPS unit.

In the laboratory, the pH of the water sample was measured with a pH meter (Delta 320, Mettler-Toledo,

Switzerland) and the electrical conductivity (EC) was measured with a conductometer (DDS-307, Jingke Rex, China). We measured the dissolved organic carbon (DOC) content of all the samples using a total organic carbon analyzer (Liqui TOC II, Elementar, Germany). NO_3^- and NH_4^+ concentrations were determined by a liquid chromatograph (AutoAnalyzer 3, BRAN+LUEBBE, Germany). Sediment total nitrogen and organic carbon contents were determined using a Vario Max CN Element Analyzer (NA Series 2, CE Instruments, Germany).

Data Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (SPSS 17.0 for windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). We used standard deviation and the coefficient of variation (CV) to represent the spatial variation of the GHG effluxes among the four sub-regions. The one-way ANOVA followed by a post hoc Tukey test was used for testing the differences of GHG effluxes among the four sub-regions within the lake. We simultaneously examined the relationships between the GHG effluxes and environmental properties in the lake with regression analysis. We also conducted normality tests for the GHG effluxes and found that CH_4 emission rates did not follow normal frequency distribution. Thus, we log-transformed all the CH_4 emission data for further statistical analyses.

Results

GHG Effluxes and Their Spatial Variability

The mean CO_2 efflux in Poyang Lake was $0.23 \pm 0.15 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$, ranging from -0.25 to $0.54 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ during the survey period, with a CV of 63.5%. The average CO_2 efflux was spatially homogenous with no significant difference among the four sub-regions in the lake ($P > 0.05$). Specifically, the mean CO_2 efflux in the X and N sub-region was largest, following by the D and W sub-region in decreasing order. The largest CV for CO_2 efflux was observed in the W sub-region, followed by the D, N, and X sub-region in a decreasing order, indicating a greater spatial variation in the northern part of the lake (Table 2).

The CH_4 efflux showed high spatial variation in the lake with an average CH_4 efflux of $3.0 \pm 1.6 \text{ nmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$, ranging from 1.4 to $8.9 \text{ nmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$. The CV of the CH_4 efflux in the entire lake was 52.2%. There were significant differences for CH_4 efflux between D and the other three sub-regions, whereas the differences were not statistically significant among the N, W, and X sub-region ($P > 0.05$). But the mean CH_4 efflux in the W sub-region was smallest, following by the N, X, and D sub-region in an increasing order. The largest CV of the CH_4 efflux was observed in the D sub-region, followed by the N, X, and W sub-region in a decreasing order (Table 2).

We also found high spatial variation in the N_2O efflux in Poyang with a CV of 53.2% during the measuring period.

Table 2. Summary of the GHG effluxes in the Poyang Lake.

Sub-region	Number of observations	Range	Mean	CV (%)
CO_2 ($\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)				
Xingzi	13	0.21 to 0.31	0.27a	12.39
Wucheng	11	-0.25 to +0.31	0.17a	122.02
Nanjishan	8	+0.17 to +0.54	0.27a	43.23
Duchang	12	-0.20 to +0.47	0.23a	76.52
Whole lake	44	-0.25 to +0.54	0.23	63.54
CH_4 ($\text{nmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)				
Xingzi	13	2.0 to 4.5	2.6 a	26.71
Wucheng	11	1.9 to 3.6	2.3b	20.94
Nanjishan	8	1.4 to 6.0	2.4b	62.43
Duchang	12	1.8 to 8.9	4.4b	46.3
Whole lake	44	1.4 to 8.9	3.0	52.2
N_2O ($\text{nmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)				
Xingzi	13	0.12 to 0.16	0.14b	8.98
Wucheng	11	0.14 to 0.21	0.18a	12.85
Nanjishan	8	0.032 to 0.15	0.088c	40.07
Duchang	12	0.012 to 0.063	0.036d	49.6
Whole lake	44	0.012 to 0.21	0.11	53.15

Means with different letters are significantly different as determined by multiple comparisons (one-way ANOVA, *post hoc* Tukey test, $P < 0.05$).

The mean N_2O efflux was $0.11 \pm 0.060 \text{ nmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$, ranging from 0.012 to $0.21 \text{ nmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$. It should be noted that the N_2O efflux was spatially inhomogeneous with significant differences among the four sub-regions in the lake. In particular, the average N_2O efflux in the W sub-region was largest, following by the X, N, and D sub-regions in decreasing order. The smallest CV of the N_2O efflux was found in the X sub-region, followed by the W, N, and D sub-regions in increasing order (Table 2).

Correlations between GHG Effluxes and Environmental Variables

The CO_2 efflux was strongly correlated with wave height ($r=0.39$, $p < 0.01$). However, no significant correlation was found between the CO_2 efflux and other environmental factors. The CH_4 efflux was highly correlated with surface water temperature, water depth, and water $\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$ content ($p < 0.01$, $r=0.51$, 0.54 , and 0.44 , respectively). Significant correlation was found between CH_4 efflux and sediment $\text{NO}_3^-\text{-N}$ sediment, total nitrogen content, sediment C/N ratio, the difference of air-water temperature, water DOC content, and water pH ($p < 0.05$, $r=0.31$, 0.34 , 0.38 , 0.36 ,

Table 3. The Pearson correlation coefficient between GHG effluxes and environmental variables.

Parameter	N ₂ O	CO ₂	CH ₄
Wave height	0.19	0.39**	0.032
Surface water temperature	0.039	-0.001	0.51**
Water DOC content	-0.21	0.053	0.35*
Surface water pH	0.50**	-0.11	-0.32*
Distance to shore	-0.34*	-0.12	-0.19
Sediment active layer depth	0.36*	-0.075	0.008
Water depth	-0.046	-0.19	0.54**
Water NO ₃ ⁻ concentration	-0.02	0.047	0.27
Water NH ₄ ⁺ concentration	-0.43**	0.18	0.44**
Water electrical conductivity	0.27	-0.13	0.008
Sediment C/N	-0.62**	0.14	0.38*
Difference of air-water temperature	-0.43**	0.14	0.36*
Sediment NO ₃ ⁻ concentration	-0.33*	-0.087	0.31*
Sediment organic carbon	0.093	0.11	0.017
Sediment NH ₄ ⁺ concentration	0.29	-0.12	-0.18
Sediment total nitrogen content	0.51**	-0.074	0.34*

*statistical significance ($p < 0.05$), **statistical significance ($P < 0.01$), N = 44 observations.

0.35, and -0.32, respectively). We did not find any significant relationship between the CH₄ efflux and other environmental variables (Table 3).

We found that the N₂O efflux in the lake was significantly correlated with water NH₄⁺-N, the difference of air-water temperature, sediment C/N ratio, water pH, sediment total nitrogen content ($p < 0.01$, $r = -0.43$, -0.43 , -0.62 , 0.50 , and 0.51 , respectively), distance to the shore, sediment NO₃⁻-N, and sediment active layer depth ($p < 0.05$, $r = -0.34$, -0.33 , and 0.36 , respectively) (Table 3).

In addition, we made correlation analyses for each sub-region between GHG effluxes and environmental variables. But we did not observe any significant correlation between GHG effluxes and most environmental parameters. In some cases, we even found opposite tendencies when compared to the correlations analyses among four sub-regions (Table 4), indicating the spatial variability of GHG effluxes between four sub-regions.

Contributions of Different Environmental Variables to the Observed GHG Effluxes

We found that the 16 environmental variables together explained 55%, 70%, and 89% of the variance in CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O effluxes, respectively, based on our regression analysis. To quantify the contribution of each environmental variable to the GHG fluxes, we used the same method as

reported by von Fischer et al. [5], where a multi-factor ANCOVA was conducted with the 16 environmental variables as predictors. Our analysis revealed that sediment C/N ratio, water depth, and the difference of air-water temperature all were significant predictors of GHG effluxes in Poyang Lake. Specifically, we found that the sediment organic carbon content contributed the most to the CO₂ effluxes (10.39%), followed by wave height (9.09%) (Table 5). For the CH₄ efflux, other significant contributing variables were sediment organic carbon content, sediment total nitrogen content, surface water temperature, distance to the shore, and water DOC content. In addition, we also found that the significant contributing variables ($p < 0.05$) included sediment total nitrogen content, distance to the shore, and surface water temperature to the observed N₂O efflux. The rest of the environmental variables examined were relatively minor in terms of their contribution to the lake surface GHG effluxes (Table 5).

Discussion

The Variability of the GHG Fluxes

The variability of the CO₂ flux in Poyang Lake was moderately high in comparison with other lakes in the world. The mean CO₂ flux found in the lake was within the typical range of -0.17 to $0.54 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ reported in many boreal, temperate, and subtropical lakes [11, 18, 22-27] (Table 6). It should be noted that our result was slightly higher than the average CO₂ flux in global lakes [30]. In addition, our study identified the wide range of the CO₂ flux in Poyang, from moderately high rates of CO₂ uptake to very high rates of emission. But the difference between maximum and minimum CO₂ flux in this study was slightly higher than those reported in the boreal reservoirs and lakes [7, 22, 24, 30], but lower than those found in other lake-reservoir systems [32-34] (Table 7).

The variability of the CH₄ efflux in Poyang was relatively low in comparison with other lakes and reservoirs in the world, especially considering the size of Poyang. The mean CH₄ efflux in Poyang was considerably lower than those in many temperate, subtropical lakes and the global mean CH₄ efflux in lakes [18, 24, 25, 28, 31] (Table 6). It should be noted that the CH₄ efflux observed in the current study was also lower than the efflux of $14.36 \text{ nmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ reported by Chen et al. [35] in the same lake, Poyang. The discrepancy may be attributed to the sand mining operations in the lake in winter, which might disturb the lake sediments as Chen et al. [35] discussed in their paper. This is the major reason why we conducted our field campaign in October, when the lake water level was relatively high to avoid the disturbances from navigation and operations in the lake in the winter. Furthermore, this study featured a low range of CH₄ efflux in the lake. The difference between maximum and minimum CH₄ flux, as opposed to CO₂, was lower than those reported in the boreal reservoirs and lakes (Table 7).

Table 4. Pearson correlation coefficient between GHG effluxes and environmental variables in the four sub-regions.

	N ₂ O				CH ₄				CO ₂			
	Xingzi	Duchang	Nanjishan	Wucheng	Xingzi	Duchang	Nanjishan	Wucheng	Xingzi	Duchang	Nanjishan	Wucheng
WH	0.176	0.694*	0.266	-0.366	0.012	0.346	-0.509	0.511	0.462	0.400	-0.183	0.495
WT	0.354	0.004	0.095	0.177	-0.267	0.217	-0.619	-0.307	0.482	0.110	0.355	-0.305
W-DOC	-0.062	-0.390	0.520	0.331	-0.356	-0.538	-0.184	-0.692*	-0.076	-0.199	0.417	-0.217
W-pH	0.396	-0.151	0.653	-0.003	0.251	-0.437	0.353	-0.088	-0.145	0.168	-0.576	0.144
DS	-0.018	-0.211	-0.296	0.430	0.006	-0.460	-0.508	-0.442	-0.108	-0.156	-0.383	-0.018
SD	-0.527	0.120	0.238	-0.019	0.383	0.189	-0.072	0.450	-0.435	-0.006	0.560	0.211
WD	-0.315	-0.547	-0.456	-0.208	-0.262	0.016	0.552	-0.193	0.253	-0.478	-0.397	-0.437
WNN	-0.126	0.123	-0.200	0.281	-0.105	0.376	-0.411	-0.359	-0.072	-0.374	0.216	-0.431
WHN	0.189	-0.085	-0.447	0.298	-0.615*	0.363	0.177	0.573	0.534	-0.093	0.800*	0.367
EC	-0.197	0.061	-0.551	-0.012	0.660*	0.320	0.305	-0.371	-0.277	0.139	0.552	-0.730*
AW	-0.491	0.293	-0.319	0.333	-0.073	0.036	0.098	-0.229	-0.136	0.326	-0.047	-0.040
SNN	-0.287	0.122	-0.093	-0.491	0.620*	0.024	-0.178	-0.215	-0.417	-0.185	-0.587	0.125
SOC	-0.522	0.149	0.413	0.328	0.469	0.249	-0.705	-0.027	-0.020	0.152	0.082	0.129
C/N	-0.002	0.246	0.367	0.537	-0.030	0.333	-0.737*	0.537	0.502	-0.210	0.192	0.535
SHN	-0.518	-0.127	-0.321	0.229	-0.118	0.246	-0.370	-0.370	0.072	0.195	0.702	-0.360
SNC	-0.582*	0.065	0.257	0.103	0.531	0.124	-0.619	-0.333	-0.130	0.265	-0.001	-0.165

SOC – soil organic carbon, WH – wave height, C/N – sediment C/N ratio, WD – water depth, AW – difference of air-water temperature, SD – sediment active layer depth, EC – water electrical conductivity, WT – surface water temperature, SNC – sediment total nitrogen content, SNN – sediment NO₃⁻ concentration, SHN – sediment NH₄⁺ concentration, W-DOC – water DOC content, WNN – water NO₃⁻ concentration, W-pH v the pH of water, DS – distance to the shore, WHN – water NH₄⁺ concentration.

The number of observations for the four sub-regions was the same as that shown in Table 2. The asterisk marks the statistical significance at the 95% level, P<0.05.

The N₂O efflux in Poyang featured a high variability compared with previous studies. In the present study, the mean N₂O efflux of 0.11 nmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹, was higher than those in boreal lakes in Finland [11, 27] (Table 6). But the mean N₂O efflux in the current study was lower than that in a river in New York (0.064 nmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) [36]. Interestingly, our result of the N₂O efflux from Poyang was also close to that of the global oceans (0.011-0.035 nmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) [37, 38]. Additionally, the N₂O efflux widely ranged from 0.012 to 0.21 nmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ in Poyang. In particular, the difference between maximum and minimum N₂O flux was greatly higher than those reported in the boreal reservoirs and lakes [11, 32-34] (Table 7). The high N₂O efflux may be attributed to the high N content in Poyang due to the high nutrient load from the agricultural activities in the watershed. So far, few studies have reported the N₂O efflux in the freshwater lakes, thus our comparisons with other studies are limited.

In addition, we also measured the GHG effluxes at 1-month intervals in the Xingzi sub-region in a year cycle using the floating chamber technique as mentioned above. It is noted that the seasonal variation of the GHG effluxes may compromise our comparisons with other studies.

According to our long-term GHG efflux measurements, the GHG effluxes measured in October 2010 was slightly lower than the annual mean effluxes (Table 6), suggesting that the October measurements were close to the annual mean effluxes in Poyang Lake and thus comparable with other studies reporting the annual mean effluxes.

Controlling Factors on the GHG Effluxes

Substrate quality (such as C and N availability) in the sediments controls GHG production because organic carbon is the main energy source for microbes and N is assimilated and metabolized as proteins in the synthesis of cellular materials during the decomposition of the organic matter in the process of GHG production [1]. Striegl et al. [39] found that the organic carbon in the sediments was the source of CO₂ efflux in northern temperate and boreal lakes, while other studies reported that sediment organic carbon content controlled the CH₄ effluxes in temperate and tropical lakes [6, 33]. In addition to sediment C and N contents, we found that the sediment C/N ratio was also critical to the GHG effluxes in the Poyang Lake with the C/N ratio being positively correlated with the CO₂ and CH₄ effluxes

Table 5. Contributions of 16 environmental variables to the observed GHG effluxes in Poyang Lake.

	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O
Sediment organic carbon	10.39*	10.96*	3.65
Wave height	9.08*	1.63	1.62
Sediment C/N	7.96*	9.41*	9.19*
Water depth	7.05*	6.66*	11.17*
Difference of air-water temperature	5.01*	5.97*	10.12*
Sediment active layer depth	4.34	0.10	0.019
Water electrical conductivity	3.43	0.75	1.08
Surface water temperature	2.89	8.37*	8.53*
Sediment total nitrogen content	2.11	10.59*	11.65*
Sediment NO ₃ ⁻ concentration	1.41	0.56	0.22
Sediment NH ₄ ⁺ concentration	0.66	0.18	2.27
Water DOC content	0.26	6.57*	1.37
Water NO ₃ ⁻ concentration	0.19	0.28	5.85
Surface water pH	0.14	0.82	4.30
The distance to the shore	0.039	7.02*	9.69*
Water NH ₄ ⁺ concentration	5.35E-05	0.13	8.31

The 16 variables explained 55%, 70%, and 89% of the variance of CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O effluxes across the lake, respectively, and the asterisk marks the statistical significance at the 95% level. N = 44 observations, P < 0.05. According to the multifactor ANCOVA where the contribution percentage of each variable was calculated based on the partial R² value of the variable.

and negatively correlated with the N₂O efflux. Opposite of our results, Bastviken et al. [6] found no clear relationship between CH₄ emission and sediment C/N ratio, and Duc et al. [40] reported that methane formation was negatively correlated with sediment C/N ratio in 8 lakes in central Sweden. We believe that these discrepancies could be explained by the high N content in Poyang due to the high nutrient load from the agricultural activities in the watershed. Under high N supply, the CO₂ and CH₄ effluxes might be more limited by the C sources. Indeed, the C/N ratio in Poyang was considerably lower than that reported in Duc et al. [40]. Our results are also supported by other studies. For example, Valentine et al. [41] found a positive relationship between the C/N ratio and CH₄ production in the Canadian Northern Wetlands. Klemetsson et al. [42] and Dinsmore et al. [43] also reported negative correlations between the N₂O efflux and the substrate C/N ratio for peatlands in Sweden and Scotland.

In this study we found that both the CH₄ and N₂O effluxes were also correlated with surface water temperature, and the GHG effluxes were tightly related to the dif-

ference of air-water temperature and water depth. Although the surface water temperature reflected the sediment temperature to some extent, which regulated the GHG production in the sediment, the water temperature mainly affects the transport of GHG in the water column by changing the GHG solubility. A previous study also found that the CH₄ efflux was positively correlated with water temperature in some lakes [11, 22]. Generally the difference of air-water temperature influenced the GHG effluxes through the enhancement of gas-transfer velocity owing to evaporation [44, 45]. Previous studies have also evidenced that destabilization of the near surface water could increase the gas-transfer velocity by 4-30% under an evaporative situation [46, 47]. In addition, water depth can influence the GHG effluxes in different ways. Firstly, frequent resuspension caused by waves and currents at the shallow depths of lakes may influence GHG emissions by a pulsed transport of reduced compounds, nutrients, and the concentration of GHG into the water column [12, 44]. Second, water depth may affect the oxidizing efficiencies of methanotrophy, as methane-oxidizing may be more efficient in deeper lakes [44]. Previous studies have shown that methane can easily escape oxidization from the sediment in the shallow lakes [6, 45, 48, 49]. Finally, water depth may impact the GHG effluxes by changing the gas diffusion coefficient in the sediment with increasing water depth. Earlier studies have demonstrated that the N₂O efflux was sharply reduced by a decrease of gas diffusion coefficient because the nitrate was mostly reduced to N₂ due to the decrease of O₂ availability in deeper lakes [12, 50].

Consequently, our study revealed that the CH₄ efflux in Poyang was mainly contributed to sediment organic carbon, sediment total nitrogen content, and sediment C/N ratio, suggesting that CH₄ production processes in the lake sediments dominated the efflux at the air-water interface while CH₄ production and consumption during transport in the water column was relatively minor. In addition to the sediment variables, the CO₂ and N₂O effluxes were also significantly contributed to other physical and biological variables in the water column.

Conclusions

The variability of the CO₂ and N₂O effluxes in Poyang Lake was high, whereas the variability for the CH₄ efflux was moderately low considering the size of the lake. At the same time, GHG effluxes were spatially inhomogeneous among the four sub-regions in the lake based on the correlation analysis. Furthermore, the GHG effluxes were related to both the sediment properties and water physiochemical properties, especially sediment C/N ratio, water depth, and the difference of air-water temperature, which dominated the GHG effluxes. Therefore, in order to scale-up the chamber measurements of sediment GHG effluxes to regional and global GHG budgets, it is necessary to incorporate into the model with spatial variations of GHG effluxes.

Table 6. Mean GHG fluxes from other lakes.

Lake	Site	Climate	CO ₂ ($\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)	CH ₄ ($\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)	N ₂ O ($\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)	Reference
Donghu	China	subtropical	0.087	0.017		22
Vehmasjärvi	Finland	boreal	0.54	0.0064	3.34E-05	11
Mäkijärvi	Finland	boreal	0.25	0.004	1.08E-05	11
Postilampi	Finland	boreal	0.5	0.11	4.33E-05	11
Kevätön	Finland	boreal	0.16	0.12		11
Örträsket	Sweden	temperate	0.29			23
Priest Pot	UK	temperate	0.46	0.14		24
Shingobee Lake,	Minnesota, USA	temperate	0.42	0.1		25
Williams Lake	Minnesota, USA	temperate	0.0052	0.073		25
Sparkling Lake	Wisconsin, USA	temperate	0.058	0.0021		26
Trout Bog	Wisconsin, USA	temperate	0.53	0.02		26
Crystal Lake	Wisconsin, USA	temperate	0.0046	0.0014		26
Meiliangwan	China	subtropical	0.41	0.0064	1.89E-05	27
Dongtaihui	China	subtropical	0.29	0.0029	0	27
Dongtinghu	China	subtropical	-0.041	-0.049	1.26E-05	27
Poyang	China	subtropical	0.19	0.014	0.000133	27
Chaohu	China	subtropical	-0.098	0.00038	-1.90E-05	27
Nansihu	China	subtropical	0.039	0.00045	2.53E-05	27
Hongzehu	China	subtropical	-0.17	0.00012	-6.30E-05	27
Fuxianhu	China	subtropical	0.12	0.00019	-6.30E-06	27
Erhai	China	subtropical	-0.014	0.0081	-1.30E-06	27
Dianchi	China	subtropical	-0.12	0.0027	0	27
Biandantang	China	subtropical	0.28	0.015		18
5 lakes	Netherlands	temperate		0.068		7
Wuliangsu	China	subtropical		0.038		28
Medalha	Brazil	tropical		0.0043		29
Mirante	Brazil	tropical		0.0051		29
Taihu	China	subtropical			0.00014	17
Average for natural lakes			0.19			30
Global mean CH ₄ efflux in lakes				0.031		31
The long-term GHG effluxes in Poyang Lake			0.28	0.0043	4.11E-05	unpublished data

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the Poyang Lake Laboratory Wetland Ecosystem Research, CAS for permission to access the study sites and assistance with our fieldwork. We are grateful to anonymous reviewer whose comments improved. We also thank Ms. Haitang Liang, Mr. Shuai Qiu, Mr. Ruichang Shen, and Ms. Yan Wu for

their field assistance with the GHG efflux measurements. This research was supported by the Ecosystem-Atmosphere Exchanges of C- and N-Gases: Processes and Principles for Good Management at Catchment Scale (Grant No. 2012CB417103), the Modeling climate and ecosystem interactions: Development of the next generation of coupled-climate-ecosystem models (Grant No. 2010CB833503).

Table 7. Range of GHG fluxes from other reservoirs and lakes.

Names	Sites	Climate	CO ₂ ($\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)	CH ₄ ($\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)	N ₂ O ($\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)	References
Reservoirs						
Three reservoirs	Canada	boreal	0.16 to 0.3	0.0025 to 0.0077	-2.63E-07 to -9.2E-07	32
Temperate and boreal reservoirs			0.058 to 0.91	0.0022 to 0.039		30
Lokka	Finland	boreal	0.13 to 0.85	0.0038 to 0.086	-4.51E-06 to 7.06E-05	33
Porttipahta	Finland	boreal	0.23 to 0.60	0.0019 to 0.0035	-5.79E-06 to 6.71E-05	33
Lakes						
Donghu	China	subtropical	-0.37 to 1.01	0.001 to 0.096		22
Kevätön	Finland	boreal	-0.021 to 0.29	0.0032 to 0.14	-1.97E-05 to 5.09E-06	34
Vehmasjärvi	Finland	boreal	0.29 to 0.37	0.00081 to 0.0016	3.47E-05 to 9.84E-05	11
Mäkijärvi	Finland	boreal	0.097 to 0.17	0.001 to 0.0014	-3.94E-05 to 0.00011	11
Priest Pot lake	England	temperate	0.045 to 1.18	0.00069 to 0.016		24
5 lakes	Netherlands	temperate	-0.038 to 0.78	0.024 to 0.31		7
15 Pantanal lakes	South America	tropical		0.0023 to 0.021		6

References

- DALAL R.C., ALLEN D.E. Greenhouse gas fluxes from natural ecosystems. *Aust. J. Bot.* **56**, 369, **2008**.
- LE TREUT H., SOMERVILLE R., CUBASCH U., DING Y., MAURITZEN C., MOKSSIT A., PETERSON T., PRATHER M. Historical Overview of Climate Change. In: *Climate Change 2007 – The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.* Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 103-111, **2007**.
- BASTVIKEN D., COLE J., PACE M., TRANVIK L. Methane emissions from lakes: Dependence of lake characteristics, two regional assessments, and a global estimate. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.* **18**, 1, **2004**.
- WALTER K.M., ZIMOV S.A., CHANTON J.P. Methane bubbling from Siberian thaw lakes as a positive feedback to climate warming. *Nature* **443**, 71, **2006**.
- VON FISCHER J.C., RHEW R.C., AMES G.M., FOSDICK B.K., VON FISCHER P.E. Vegetation height and other controls of spatial variability in methane emissions from the Arctic coastal tundra at Barrow, Alaska. *J. Geophys. Res.* **115**, 1, **2010**.
- BASTVIKEN D., SANTORO A.L., MAROTTA H., PINHO L.Q., CALHEIROS D.F., CRILL P., ENRICH-PRAST A. Methane Emissions from Pantanal, South America, during the Low Water Season: Toward More Comprehensive Sampling. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **44**, 5450, **2010**.
- SCHRIER-UIJL A.P., VERAART A.J., LEFFELAAR P.A., BERENDSE F., VEENENDAAL E.M. Release of CO₂ and CH₄ from lakes and drainage ditches in temperate wetlands. *Biogeochemistry* **102**, 265, **2011**.
- WANG F.H., WANG B.L., LIU C.Q., WANG Y.C., GUAN J., LIU X.L., YU Y. X. Carbon dioxide emission from surface water in cascade reservoirs-river system on the Maotiao River, southwest of China. *Atmos. Environ.* **45**, 3827, **2011**.
- BASTVIKEN D., TRANVIK L.J., DOWNING J.A., CRILL P.M., ENRICH-PRAST A. Freshwater Methane Emissions Offset the Continental Carbon Sink. *Science* **331**, **2011**.
- TRANVIK L.J., DOWNING J.A., COTNER J.B. Lakes and reservoirs as regulators of carbon cycling and climate. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **54**, 2298, **2009**.
- HUTTUNEN J., ALM J., LIKANEN A., JUUTINEN S., LARMOLA T., HAMMAR T., SILVOLA J., MARTIKAINEN P. Fluxes of methane, carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide in boreal lakes and potential anthropogenic effects on the aquatic greenhouse gas emissions. *Chemosphere* **52**, 609, **2003**.
- LIU Y.S., ZHU R.B., MA D.W., XU H., LUO Y.H., HUANG T., SUN L.G. Temporal and spatial variations of nitrous oxide fluxes from the littoral zones of three alga-rich lakes in coastal Antarctica. *Atmos. Environ.* **45**, 1464, **2011**.
- YANG H., XIE P., NI L.Y., FLOWER R.J. Underestimation of CH₄ Emission from Freshwater Lakes in China. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **45**, 4203, **2011**.
- PAVEL A., DURISCH-KAISER E., BALAN S., RADAN S., SOBEK S., WEHRLI B. Sources and emission of greenhouse gases in Danube Delta lakes. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **16**, 86, **2009**.
- ZHU R.B., LIU Y.H., XU H., HUANG T., SUN J.J., MA E.D., SUN L.G. Carbon dioxide and methane fluxes in the littoral zones of two lakes, east Antarctica. *Atmos. Environ.* **44**, 304, **2010**.
- TREMBLAY A., LAMBERT M., DEMERS C. Greenhouse Gas Emissions-Fluxes and Processes: Hydroelectric Reservoirs and Natural Environments; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, Mercedes-Druck, pp. 209-232, **2005**.
- WANG S.L., LIU C.Q., YEAGER K.M., WAN G.J., LI J., TAO F.X., Lǚ Y.C., LIU F., FAN C.X. The spatial distribution and emission of nitrous oxide (N₂O) in a large eutrophic lake in eastern China: Anthropogenic effects. *Sci. Total Environ.* **407**, 3330, **2009**.

18. XING Y. P., XIE P., YANG H., WU A. P., NI L. Y. The change of gaseous carbon fluxes following the switch of dominant producers from macrophytes to algae in a shallow subtropical lake of China. *Atmos. Environ.* **40**, 8034, **2006**.
19. YANG L., LI H. Yunnan wetlands. In: 1st ed., Introduction. China Forestry Publishing House: China, Beijing, pp. 1-20, **2010**.
20. HU Q., FENG S., GUO H., CHEN G. Y., JIANG T. Interactions of the Yangtze river flow and hydrologic processes of the Poyang Lake, China. *J. Hydrol.* **347**, 90, **2007**.
21. WAN H. X., QIN Z. H., LIU Y. B., XU Y. M. Estimates of carbon fluxes from Poyang Lake wetlands vegetation in the growing season. *Proc. of SPIE* **7858**, 1, **2010**.
22. XING Y. P., XIE P., YANG H., NI L. Y., WANG Y. S., RONG K. W. Methane and carbon dioxide fluxes from a shallow hypereutrophic subtropical lake in China. *Atmos. Environ.* **39**, 5532, **2005**.
23. ÅBERG J., BERGSTRÖM A. K., ALGESTEN G., SÖDERBACK K., JANSSON M. A comparison of the carbon balances of a natural lake (L. Öträscket) and a hydroelectric reservoir (L. Skinnmuddselet) in northern Sweden. *Water Res.* **38**, 531, **2004**.
24. CASPER P., MABERLY S. C., HALL G. H., FINLAY B. J. Fluxes of methane and carbon dioxide from a small productive lake to the atmosphere. *Biogeochemistry* **49**, 1, **2000**.
25. STRIEGL R. G., MICHMERHUIZEN C. M. Hydrologic influence on methane and carbon dioxide dynamics at two north-central Minnesota lakes. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **43**, 1519, **1998**.
26. RIERA J. L., SCHINDLER J. E., KRATZ T. K. Seasonal dynamics of carbon dioxide and methane in two clear-water lakes and two bog lakes in northern Wisconsin, USA. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **56**, 265, **1999**.
27. LI X. H. Study of greenhouse gas flux of water-air interface and its spatio-temporal change in Taihu Lake. Master's thesis, He Hai University, Nanjing, HH, **2005**.
28. DUAN X. N., WANG X. K., MU Y. J., OUYANG Z. Y. Seasonal and diurnal variations in methane emissions from Wuliangsu Lake in arid regions of China. *Atmos. Environ.* **39**, 4479, **2005**.
29. MARANI L., ALVALÁ P. C. Methane emissions from lakes and floodplains in Pantanal, Brazil. *Atmos. Environ.* **41**, 1627, **2007**.
30. ST. LOUIS V. L., KELLY C. A., DUCHEMIN É., RUDD J. W. M., ROSENBERG D. M. Reservoir surfaces as sources of greenhouse gases to the atmosphere: a global estimate. *Bioscience* **50**, 766, **2000**.
31. ASELMANN I., CRUTZEN P. J. Global distribution of natural freshwater wetlands and rice paddies, their net primary productivity, seasonality and possible methane emissions. *J. Atmos. Chem.* **8**, 307, **1989**.
32. HENDZEL L. L., MATTHEWS C. J. D., VENKITESWARAN J. J., ST. LOUIS V. L., BURTON D., JOYCE E. M., BODALY R. A. Nitrous Oxide Fluxes in Three Experimental Boreal Forest Reservoirs. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **39**, 4353, **2005**.
33. HUTTUNEN J. T., VÄISÄNEN T. S., HELLSTEN S. K., HEIKKINEN M., NYKÄNEN H., JUNGNER H., NISKANEN A., VIRTANEN M. O., LINDQVIST O. S., NENONEN O. S., MARTIKAINEN P. J. Fluxes of CH₄, CO₂, and N₂O in hydroelectric reservoirs Lokka and Porttipahta in the northern boreal zone in Finland. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.* **16**, 1, **2002**.
34. HUTTUNEN J. T., MÄNTYNEN K., ALM J., HAMMAR T., SILVOLA J., MARTIKAINEN P. J. Pelagic methane emissions from three boreal lakes with different trophy. In: Kuusisto S., Isoaho S., Puhakka J. (Eds.), *Environmental Science, Technology and Policy. Proceedings, Fourth Finnish Conference of Environmental Sciences*, 21-22 May 1999, Tampere, Finland. Water and Environmental Engineering Report 9. Tampere University of Technology, Tampere, Finland, pp. 152-154, **1999**.
35. CHEN Y. G., BAI X. H., LI X. H., HU Z. X., LIU W. L. Primary study of the methane flux on the water-air interface of eight lakes in winter, China. *J. Lake Sci.* **19**, 11, **2007**.
36. COLE J. J., CARACO N. F. Emissions of Nitrous Oxide (N₂O) from a Tidal, Freshwater River, the Hudson River, New York. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **35**, 991, **2001**.
37. COHEN Y., GORDON L. I. Nitrous oxide production in the ocean. *J. Geophys. Res.* **84**, 347, **1979**.
38. NEVISON C. D., WEISS R. F., ERICKSON III, D. J. Global oceanic emissions of nitrous oxide. *J. Geophys. Res.* **100**, 15809, **1995**.
39. STRIEGL R. G., KORTELAINEN P., CHANTON J. P., WICKLAND K. P., BUGNA G. C., RANTAKARI M. Carbon dioxide partial pressure and ¹³C content of north temperate and boreal lakes at spring ice melt. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **46**, 941, **2001**.
40. DUC N. T., CRILL P., BASTVIKEN D. Implications of temperature and sediment characteristics on methane formation and oxidation in lake sediments. *Biogeochemistry* **100**, 185, **2010**.
41. VALENTINE D. W., HOLLAND E. A., SCHIMEL D. S. Ecosystem and physiological controls over methane production in a northern wetland. *J. Geophys. Res.* **99**, 1563, **1994**.
42. KLEMEDTSSON L., VON ARNOLD K., WESLIEN P., GUNDERSEN P. Soil CN ratio as a scalar parameter to predict nitrous oxide emissions. *Global Change Biol.* **11**, 1142, **2005**.
43. DINSMORE K. J., SKIBA U. M., BILLETT M. F., REES R. M., DREWER J. Spatial and temporal variability in CH₄ and N₂O fluxes from a Scottish ombrotrophic peatland: Implications for modeling and up-scaling. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **41**, 1315, **2009**.
44. ZHENG H., ZHAO X. J., ZHAO T. Q., CHEN F. L., XU W. H., DUAN X. N., WANG X. K., OUYANG Z. Y. Spatial-temporal variations of methane emissions from the Ertan hydroelectric reservoir in southwest China. *Hydrol. Process.* **25**, 1391, **2011**.
45. GUÉRIN F., ABRIL G., SERCA D., DELON C., RICHARD S., DELMAS R., TREMBLAY A., VARFALVY L. Gas transfer velocities of CO₂ and CH₄ in a tropical reservoir and its river downstream. *J. Marine Syst.* **66**, 161, **2007**.
46. LISS P. S., BALLS P. W., MARTINELLI F. N., COANTIC M. The effect of evaporation and condensation on gas transfer across an air-water interface. *Oceanol. Acta* **4**, 129, **1981**.
47. WARD B., WANNINKHOF R., MCGILLIS W. R., JESSUP A. T., DEGRANDPRE M. D., HARE J. E., EDSON J. B. Biases in the air-sea flux of CO₂ resulting from ocean surface temperature gradient. *J. Geophys. Res.* **109**, 1, **2004**.
48. BASTVIKEN D., COLE J. J., PACE M. L., VAN DE BOGERT M. Fates of methane from different lake habitats: Connecting whole-lake budgets and CH₄ emissions. *J. Geophys. Res.* **113**, 1, **2008**.
49. LIMA I. B. T. Biogeochemical distinction of methane releases from two Amazon hydroreservoirs. *Chemosphere* **59**, 1697, **2005**.
50. DAVIDSON E. A., SCHIMEL J. P. Microbial processes of production and consumption of nitric oxide, nitrous oxide and methane. In *Biogenic Trace Gases: Measuring Emissions from Soil and Water*. Blackwell Science: London, pp. 327-357, **1995**.