Antibiotic residues in industrial and hospital wastewater enter the environment. These residues enter soil, leach to groundwater, and accumulate in plants [1-5]. After entering the food chain they enter the human body through animal meat or milk and threaten human health [6]. The broad use of pharmaceutical compounds for treatments of diseases is considered to be a scary human health threat resulting not only in the appearance and spread of resistant bacteria, but also in other environmental damage [7-9]. Antibiotics are used to treat and prevent infectious diseases in humans and animals. Antibiotics are naturally occurring, semi-synthesized or synthesized complexes with antimicrobial action. Three threats originating from excessive application of antibiotics to treat environmental pollution are: by original materials or byproducts, the secondary influence on health through resilient micro-organisms, and direct biological injury [10-12]. Moreover, the impacts on the biotic environs are alarming. Fluoroquinolones like ciprofloxacin (CIP), levofloxacin (LEV), ofloxacin (OFL) and Tetracyclines like oxytetracycline (OTC), and doxycycline (DOX)
antibiotics are broad-spectrum antibiotics as they are affective at controlling a wide variety of infectious diseases. These antibiotics are prepared in large quantities due to their wide use in human and veterinary treatments. Their use in animal-derived food production sites can lead to antibiotic resistance and food residues.

Sources of Antibiotics in the Environment

The excretion of unabsorbed antibiotics by humans and animals is one of the main causes of antibiotics in the environment [7]. Additional sources include the discarding of unused antibiotics and leftovers from medicinal industrial practices [13]. Residential and commercial facilities are identified suppliers of antibiotics to community wastewater [14].

Supplementary possible contributors of antibiotics to surface and shallow waters are discharges from wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) [2, 14, 15] and manufacturing facilities like pharmaceutical plants and superficial runoff from concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFOs) [13, 16]. Frequent contact of the bacteria with even minor concentrations of antibiotics can cause the occurrence or persistence of resilient bacteria strains [17-21]. Earlier research showed that the maximum of the antibiotics in the environment showed straight hazardous properties [22, 23] and bad effects [24-26].

Fate and Occurrence of Antibiotics in the Environment

Numerous procedures can disturb the fate and means of transport of carbon-based complexes in the environment, including sorption, biotic alteration, and abiotic alteration [27-30]. Information about chemical properties and structures of complexes can allow for initial approximation of their fate and persistence. The persistence and movement of antibiotics in the ecosystem have been determined as: sulfonamides and fluoroquinolones are the most persistent, followed by macrolides; tetracyclines can persist for comparatively extensive ages in the absence of sunlight [27]. Tetracyclines and fluoroquinolones are easily adsorbed by soils, sediments, and/or manure slurry [2, 31]. Estimation in liquid samples is mostly connected to persistence and transport in the marine environment [30, 32-34].

Fate of Antibiotics in the Environment of Pakistan

Pakistan has more than 600 pharmaceutical industries for secondary manufacturing of pharmaceutical compounds [35]. These industries, surrounded by urban populations, are located in different areas of large cities of Pakistan, like Lahore. None of these industries has treatment facility for the removal of antibiotics and other pharmaceutical compounds from their wastewater. Usually wastewater from pharmaceutical industries drains into the sanitation system that in turn enters canals used for crop irrigation. On the other hand, some amount of wastewater reaches ponds of stagnant water. These ponds are also a source of drinking water for animals. Aquatic life is affected the most by this practice. So the most immediate need is to develop an easy, economic, reproducible, reliable, precise, and accurate method of quantification of residual levels of antibiotics in such samples.

Tetracycline for veterinary and fluoroquinolones for humans are the most prescribed antibiotics in Pakistan. Oxytetracycline (OTC) and doxycycline (DOX) from the tetracycline family of antibiotics and ciprofloxacin (CIP), levofloxacin (LEV), and ofloxacin (OFL) from the fluoroquinolones family of antibiotics were selected for this study. The selection of antibiotics was based on five aspects: 1) frequency of use, 2) analytical instruments available in the laboratory, 3) identified or assumed environmental impact, 4) presence in aqueous environments, and 5) previous detections in wastewater, surface water, and groundwater [27, 36].

Objectives of this Study

In our previous research of residual antibiotic determination in soil, plants, and groundwater we found environmental segments affected by these pollutants, so it was necessary to evaluate the sources of antibiotics entering the environment. The objective of this study is to validate the quantification method for residual levels of antibiotics in discharge from potential sources (pharmaceutical industries), and to determine limits of detection (LOD); limits of quantification (LOQ) of CIP, LEV, OFL, OTC, and DOC antibiotics; and to use this method to determine residual antibiotic levels in industrial effluent wastewater and compare these with the levels of antibiotic accumulation in soil and plants affected by these
effluents, and in groundwater of the surrounding areas of the industrial zones in Lahore.

**Experimental**

**Chemicals and Reagents**

Reference standards used for HPLC analysis of OXT, DOX, CIP, LEV, and OFL were purchased from USP reference standards, USA. HPLC-grade Acetonitrile and methanol, orthophosphoric acid (OPA), chloroform, and ammonia solution were purchased from Merck.

**Equipment**

We used an HPLC system equipped with a DAD-Detector, C-18 column (Shimadzu Scientific Instruments, Kyoto, Japan), Vacuum Pump (Spectrum Scientific, UK), and Filtration assembly (Sartorius, Germany) for mobile phase filtration, and Ultrasonic bath (Elema Germany), and syringe filters for sample and standard solutions filtration.

**Quantitative Analysis and HPLC Validation**

Residual quantification of antibiotics was performed on the HPLC system and the method was validated as per International Conference on Harmonization guidelines. Five concentrations of each antibiotic standard ranging from 50 to 8,000 ng/mL were prepared and analyzed by HPLC. The calibration curve was generated by plotting peak areas against concentrations. The average area of each concentration was applied for linearity and statistical analysis. The detection limit of each antibiotic was calculated as a signal-to-noise ratio method. The stability of stock solutions of the selected antibiotics was evaluated at room temperature (25°C) for 24 hours and at 5°C for seven days, respectively. After completion of the storage time period, the stability was analyzed by comparison of the HPLC results with those of freshly prepared solution. In a similar way, a working mixed standard solution (1 μg/mL of each antibiotic) was checked. The effect on the peak areas and the validations in the retention times were evaluated by change of ±5% units of the flow rate (1.6 mL/min). HPLC system suitability is an important factor of HPLC analysis of antibiotics, and it ascertains the strictness of the system that is used for analysis. For 20 replicate injections of mixed standard solution samples (0.1μg/mL of each antibiotic), the suitability was determined by calculating the relative standard deviations of peak areas and retention times.

**Sampling Sites**

Five sites (A, B, C, D, and E as shown in Fig. 2) were selected for the collection of wastewater samples. These five sites were different pharmaceutical manufacturing sites.
industrial areas of Lahore. 4.5 L of wastewater sample from each sampling point was collected into amber glass bottles, stored on ice, and brought to the laboratory within 24 h. All samples were taken between 5th January to 30th 2013. Temperature and pH of each sample were noted at each site [16, 34]. Any kind of filtration or preservation (except for storing on ice) was not done before transfer to the laboratory. Eighteen 250 mL wastewater samples were taken in 30-min increments between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m., resulting in 4.5L wastewater composite samples. The industrial effluent samples consisted of nine-hour (working time) composite samples. Sampling was done on each point for three different working days. Each day sample was given a separate identity.

Sample Storage

Samples were collected from raw wastewater and pharmaceutical industry effluents. To avoid bacterial contamination growth and prevent sample degradation during storage and processing, 10 ml of 2M Sodium azide was added to each sample. Until filtration and extraction, the samples were retained in a refrigerator below 4ºC.

Sample Preparation

Wastewater samples collected from each site were treated for purification and each sample was passed through solid phase cartridges and eluted with methanol. Purified samples were analyzed qualitatively and quantitatively for CIP, OFL, LEV, OTC, and DOX.

Qualitative Analysis

Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was used for the identification of antibiotics in each sample of wastewater. TLC plates were pretreated with 0.27 molar Na-EDTA solution. Then the plates were dried at 80ºC for half an hour. The mobile phase used for TLC was chloroform: acetonitrile: methanol: 1% ammonia (45:35:15:5). Sample and standard spots were made on TLC plates. The plates were observed under a UV lamp for separated spots [37].

Quantitative Analysis

Treated samples were analyzed quantitatively using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). The mobile phase was prepared by mixing acetonitrile and 0.1 M orthophosphoric acid (13:87). The chromatographic system was equipped with a column of 2 5cm x 4.6 mm dimensions. Flow rate was 1.6 ml/min.

Results and Discussions

Optimum HPLC Conditions

In this study five antibiotics were selected and in order to attain the separation a combination of acetonitrile and 0.1M orthophosphoric acid (OPA) was used as mobile phase. This study examined column temperatures (≥ 20ºC) and flow rates (≥ 0.5 mL/min). Solutions of different concentrations of each antibiotic were injected

Fig. 3. Chromatogram of LEV showing peaks for different concentrations.

Fig. 4. Linearity graph for CIP, LEV, OFL, OTC, DOX.
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to HPLC with regard to the separation among the five target antibiotics and the sharpness of the peaks obtained upon injection of equal amounts. The complete separation of OTC, DOX, CIP, LEV, and OFL and their symmetrical peaks were obtained by a C-18 column (25 cm x 4.6 mm) and a acetonitrile and 0.1 M OPA as mobile phase with a flow rate of 1.6 mL/min. Fig. 3 shows the resulting chromatogram of LEV obtained from the HPLC. In chromatogram of levofloxacin the five peaks are distinguished within 7.0 min (Fig. 3). The present HPLC analysis accomplished optimum separation in a minimum time without the need for a gradient system for better separation and pre-column washing after analysis.

HPLC Method Validation

Validation data for the main performance parameters of HPLC method are linearity range 0.5-8.0 (ng/ml), detection limit 0.05 (ng/ml), limit of quantification 0.5 (ng/ml), and coefficient of determination. R2 is 0.9998 for CIP, LEV, OFL, OTC, and DOX [38]. This data shows that the present standard stabilities and system suitability were well within the international acceptance criteria.

No effect on the peak areas was observed by the changes of ±5% of the flow rate, whereas the variations in the retention times were observed with the change of flow rate. Normal retention times for OTC and DOX were 2.30, 2.6 min, respectively, and for CIP, LEV, and OFL were 11.6, 7.0, and 12.6, respectively. At ±5% the flow rate, the three retention times were decreased (ranging between 1.6 and 4.7% for OTC and DOX), and for CIP, LEV, and OFL it decreased in the range of 1.7% and 4.5%. With flow rate -5%, the times were increased, ranging between 4.8 and 9.0% for OTC and DOX, and for CIP, LEV, and OFL it increased in the range of 4.5% and 8.7%. During these analyses, all the selected antibiotics were separated. Linearity graphs are shown in Fig. 4.

Table 1. Average concentrations of antibiotics in wastewater (in mg/L) of sites A to E.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFL</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OXT</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOX</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Average concentrations of antibiotics in soil (in μg/kg), groundwater (in μg/kg) and plants (in μg/kg) of sampling sites A to E [37, 38].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Type of Sample</th>
<th>CIP</th>
<th>OFL</th>
<th>LEV</th>
<th>OXT</th>
<th>DOX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plants</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plants</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plants</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plants</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plants</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sample Analysis

Three samples of wastewater from each of five sampling sites were analysed for determination of residual levels of selected antibiotics. It was observed that wastewater sample B-1 has the highest level of CIP (5.25 mg/L), the highest level of OFL is in C-1 (4.12 mg/L), the highest level of LEV is in C-2 (6.2 mg/L), the highest level of OTC is in A-2 (9.4 mg/L), and the highest level of DOX is in B-1 (6.75 mg/L) (Tables 1 and 2).

Site A was found to be most contaminated by selected antibiotics levels and site B the least. The descending order of total contamination level of sampling sites is A>C>D>E>B. In wastewater sample contamination levels the order of sampling sites is A>C>D>E>B.

![Graphical representation of average concentrations of antibiotics.](image)

**Fig. 5.** Graphical representation of average concentrations of antibiotics.

![Average concentrations of antibiotics in wastewater (in mg/L), soil (in μg/kg), plants (in μg/kg), and groundwater (in ng/L) samples of sites A, B, C, D, E.](image)

**Fig. 6.** Average concentrations of antibiotics in wastewater (in mg/L), soil (in μg/kg), plants (in μg/kg), and groundwater (in ng/L) samples of sites A, B, C, D, E.
All five sampling sites see the highest levels of contamination in wastewater. The descending order of contamination of type of sample from all five sites is wastewater > soil > plants part-B > plants part-A > groundwater. At sampling site A the order of contamination level of antibiotics according to type of antibiotic is DOX > CIP > OTC > LEV > OFL. At sampling site B the order of contamination level of antibiotics according to type of antibiotics is as CIP>DOX>LEV>OTC>OFL. At sampling site C order of contamination level of antibiotics according to type of antibiotic is CIP>OFL>OTC>LEV>DOX. At sampling site D the order of contamination level of antibiotics according to type of antibiotics is OFL>DOX>LEV>CIP>OTC. At sampling site E the order of contamination level of antibiotics according to type of antibiotic is LEV>DOX>OFL>TC>CIP. A comparison of antibiotic levels is shown in Figs 5 and 6.

Conclusions

A method for determining residual levels of OTC, DOC, CIP, LEV, and OFL in wastewater was validated on HPLC with a DAD detector using acetonitrile and 0.1M OPA (13: 87, v/v) as mobile phase. The method was evaluated on different parameters or ICH guidelines, i.e., LOQ, LOD, robustness, linearity, and system suitability, and it obtained good results. Antibiotics are a major source of contamination that reduce immunity in people as well as animals. Humans take antibiotics directly or indirectly from food items, meat, milk, and vegetables, etc., and these are accumulated in the body. Acetonitrile and the 0.1M OPA combination as mobile phase used in this method is useful for determining residual levels of antibiotics in environmental, groundwater, and food samples, and it has a short run time and high sensitivity. For measuring various food, environmental, and groundwater samples the proposed HPLC method was applied by adopting a suitable sample preparation method.

We found that five out of five selected sites were contaminated by these antibiotics. The residual levels were found in the range 0-9.40 mg/L in wastewater, 0-5.41 μg/kg in soil, 0-0.60 μg/kg in part A of plants, 0-0.98 μg/kg in part B of plants, and 0-0.80 ng/L in groundwater samples. Higher levels of residues were detected for LEV from Fluoroquinolones with residual levels of 6.20 mg/L and OTC (tetracycline), with maximum residual levels of 9.40 mg/L in the industrial wastewater. OFL 5.41 μg/kg and OTC 5.06μg/kg were the highest levels of residues determined in the soil affected by industrial wastewater [38]. CIP and LEV (0.60μg/kg) and OTC (0.07μg/kg) were the highest levels of residues in part A of the plants irrigated by water that is contaminated by industrial wastewater [37]. The highest contamination level was observed for OFL with a value of 0.98 μg/kg and DOX with value of 0.71 μg/kg in part B of the plants irrigated by water that is contaminated by industrial wastewater [37]. The highest concentration was observed for ofloxacin from fluoroquinolones class with maximum contamination level of 0.50 ng/L, and Doxycycline (tetracycline) class with maximum residual level of 0.80 ng/L in the underground water samples in surrounding areas affected by industrial wastewater [38].

The results show that wastewater is contaminated more than the other types of samples (plants, soil, and groundwater) as wastewater is the main pathway for bringing antibiotics to the environment. Soil is the second most-contaminated segment among these samples and plays an important role in accumulating these antibiotics for plants and leaching them to groundwater.
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