

Table 5. Robustness test results.

Variables	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	FE	GLS	One-step system GMM	Winsorize
LnGTFP (-1)			0.860*** (60.70)	0.920*** (55.07)
LnQFDI	0.067** (2.42)	0.074* (1.78)	0.081*** (3.20)	0.084** (2.36)
Control variable	Control	Control	Control	Control
C	0.178** (2.35)	-0.977* (-1.73)	-1.056*** (-2.63)	0.037** (2.51)
AR (1)			-2.45 (0.014)	-2.59 (0.010)
AR (2)			-1.45 (0.147)	-0.90 (0.367)
Hansen			25.87 (0.993)	24.46 (0.986)

Note: (1) and (2) are t values in parentheses. The explanatory variables of (3), (4) and (5) are z values in parentheses.

Table 6. Quantile regression results.

Variables	(1)	(2)	(3)
	$\tau = 25\%$	$\tau = 50\%$	$\tau = 75\%$
LnQFDI	0.069*** (2.68)	0.092** (2.16)	0.076** (2.31)
Control variable	Control	Control	Control

Note: z value in parentheses.

after removing the 1% maximum and minimum values of the explanatory variables and explanatory variables. As shown in column (4) of Table 5, the QFDI coefficient is 0.084, which is significant at the 5% level, which is not much different from the influence coefficient of QFDI (0.087) in the previous benchmark regression. This further shows that the previous regression results are robust and effective.

The study also divides the samples according to the 25%, 50% and 75% quantiles of GTFP, and uses quantile regression to study the direction and degree of influence of QFDI on GTFP at different quantiles, so as to test the robustness of the benchmark regression results. The regression results are shown in Table 6. For GTFP at different quantiles, the influence of QFDI on it is slightly different, but the direction of influence is positive. Among them, QFDI has the greatest positive effect on GTFP at 50% quantile, with a coefficient of 0.092. The quantile regression results show the robustness of the original model estimation to a certain extent.

Table 7 shows the results of sub-dimensional regression. Among them, the FDI technology spillover coefficient is the largest, which is 0.091, which is significant at the 5% level, indicating that FDI characterized by high-tech spillover ability has the greatest promotion effect on GTFP. Secondly, the level

of FDI management is 0.059, which is significant at the level of 1%, indicating that every 1% increase in FDI management level promotes 0.059% increase in China's GTFP. The coefficient of FDI profitability is significantly 0.026 at the 5% level, indicating that FDI with high profitability also has a certain role in promoting GTFP. Although the average scale coefficient of FDI has passed the significance test, the influence coefficient is small, indicating that the increase of FDI scale has a weak effect on China's GTFP. At the same time, it can be seen that the average scale coefficient of FDI is much smaller than the QFDI coefficient (0.087) in the previous benchmark regression analysis, indicating that the "FDI quantity" oriented investment attraction policy cannot give full play to the driving role of foreign investment in China's economic green development. The export capacity coefficient of FDI did not pass the significance test, and the foreign capital with high export tendency had no significant impact on GTFP.

Regional Heterogeneity Analysis

Table 8 is the result of regional heterogeneity regression. In this paper, 29 provinces are divided into coastal and inland areas by referring to [29, 30]. The QFDI coefficient of the coastal area is 0.089, which

reduce the pollution generated during the production process [34-36]. These are conducive to green total factor productivity improvements. At the same time, improved management of foreign direct investment can help capital to be better allocated. This is conducive to better development of different enterprises utilizing foreign investment. It is more conducive to enterprises promoting the rational utilization of resources. This proves that the country and the government need to further improve the quality of foreign direct investment.

The threshold model proves that the level of human capital and innovation capacity positively affects the absorptive capacity of foreign investment beyond a certain range. This would further increase green total factor productivity. The result is consistent with the findings of Medase et al. (2019) [37] for Nigeria. The paper confirms that this facilitation effect is concurrently present in China. This also reaffirms the important role of technological development in increasing green total factor productivity. The explanation given in this paper may be that an increase in the level of human capital implies an increase in the quality of the firm's labor force. This can lead to more productive capacity and higher levels of technology for firms. This will drive up business profitability and finally contribute to local green total factor production [38]. Combined with the heterogeneity analysis, the coastal region needs to vigorously promote the improvement of its own technological level and human capital level. This can lead to further development of inland areas.

Conclusions

Based on the 2011-2020 panel data of 29 provinces and cities in China, this paper measures the levels of QFDI and GTFP using entropy method and SBM-GML model. Then we use systematic GMM estimation and threshold model to study the impact of QFDI on GTFP. The conclusions remain valid after the robustness test. The study draws the following conclusions. First, QFDI has a significant positive effect on GTFP. Specifically, FDI technology spillover ability has the greatest positive effect on GTFP, followed by FDI management level and profitability. The scale of FDI has a weak effect on GTFP, and the export capacity of FDI has no significant effect on GTFP. It shows that in the process of attracting foreign investment, compared with the quantity of foreign investment, we should pay more attention to the quality of foreign investment, and should focus on FDI with high technology level and high management level. Secondly, the impact of QFDI on GTFP has a single threshold effect based on HUM and RD. The improvement of labor quality and innovation ability can enhance the absorptive capacity of FDI in the region, which is particularly important for the green effect of high-quality FDI. Thirdly, the impact of QFDI on China's GTFP has obvious regional heterogeneity. The difference of location advantage and resource

endowment makes QFDI in coastal areas play a greater role in promoting GTFP. Based on this, the following suggestions are proposed. First, relevant government departments can take the bias of technological progress as an important criterion for the introduction of FDI, and actively introduce FDI that promotes technological progress towards the development of abundant factors in the country. Relevant government departments should conduct a comprehensive assessment of the quality of foreign investment from the aspects of profit, management and technology. Secondly, increase RD and personnel training and enhance the region's ability to absorb high-quality FDI. Local governments should continue to deepen education reform, optimize school training programs at all levels, and increase the training of high-level innovative talents in the green industry. Thirdly, relying on regional resource endowments to implement precise policies to weaken the regional heterogeneity of FDI's green development effect. Encourage inland areas to undertake foreign industrial transfer and promote the development of regional export-oriented industrial clusters. At the same time, the formulation of regional policies should consider the development characteristics of the surrounding areas. The Yangtze River and Yellow River basins are geographically located across the eastern, central and western regions. Based on this key central region, inter-regional enterprise cooperation should be strengthened to promote regional economic coordination and sustainable development.

Acknowledgements

This research received no external funding.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. LONG T., CUI X, YAN J. Natural resource development, economic policy uncertainty and urban residents' consumption in China: a nonlinear ARDL and time-varying parameter vector autoregressive with stochastic volatility approach. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, pp. 1-17, 2024.
2. JING W.M., ZHANG L. Environment Regulation, Economic Opening and China's Industrial Green Technology Progress. *Economic Research Journal*. 49 (09), 34, 2014.
3. XIA L., WAN L, WANG W. Energy accessibility via natural resources: Do natural resources ensure energy accessibility in low-income countries? *Resources Policy*. 86, 104145, 2023.
4. LI K.Q. Government Work Report-Delivered at the First session of the 14th National People's Congress on March 5, 2023. *Wisdom China*. Z1, 8, 2023.

