

Table 2. Effect of different MP treatments on agronomic traits of tobacco

Index	control	10 mg kg ⁻¹	100 mg kg ⁻¹	1000 mg kg ⁻¹
Plant Height (cm)	5.77±0.56ab	6.24±0.86a	6.44±0.54a	5.20±0.89b
Stem Diameter (mm)	4.97±0.35a	5.08±0.42a	4.78±0.50a	4.10±0.28b
Number of Leaves	4.80±0.42a	4.80±0.42a	4.80±0.63a	4.80±0.42a
Leaf Area (cm ²)	82.69±12.12a	92.90±7.95a	82.06±14.19a	69.42±10.59b

nitrogen cycle and microbial genes [39], and reducing the content of nitrogen in soil, thus reducing the S-UE activity. The soil nutrient balance is disrupted, leading to a significant inhibition of the S-CAT and S-SC activities.

MPs reduce soil bulk weight, resulting in an increase in the number of aerobic microorganisms and a decrease in anaerobic microorganisms in the soil [40], and an increase in soil pore space and aeration, which in turn decreases rootability [41]. In this experiment, high concentrations of MPs significantly reduced the root length density of tobacco, limited the distribution of roots in the soil, and significantly inhibited the root length, root tips number, forks number, cross number, root surface area, and average root diameter of tobacco. S-UE activity was significantly correlated with soil organic carbon [42]. The release of dissolved organic carbon from PE-MP to the environment [43] resulted in a significant increase in S-UE activity at the MPs concentration of 10 mg kg⁻¹. Root volume, root average diameter and root surface area were stimulated, and root biomass increased, indicating that the root system adjusted to resist the stress brought by MPs. At this time, the root activity of tobacco was reduced, and the altered root metabolism affected the composition of root secretion, which further stimulated the activities of microorganisms around the root system, and promoted the root competitiveness [44]. S. Zhang et al. [45] showed that this may be due to the decreased nutrient status of the root environment at low concentrations of MPs, which stimulated a significant increase in the average root diameter. In our study, as the concentration of MPs increased, soil enzymes were inhibited, the root volume, root average diameter, and root surface area of tobacco were all suppressed, and the root biomass also decreased. It was possible that the strong adhesion of MPs led to a significant accumulation of MPs on the root surface, blocking the cell wall pores [46]. This hindered the growth of root hairs and restricted the entry of nutrients and water into the plant's internal tissues [41]. Consequently, the water content in tobacco decreased, further impacting changes in root tissue cells. Previous research found that increased concentrations of MPs resulted in a reduction in the length of meristematic tissues, thereby obstructing cell differentiation, division, and growth, leading to physiological disruption and tissue damage [47], ultimately inhibiting root growth and development.

In this study, the low concentrations of MPs significantly enhanced the root/shoot biomass ratio, indicating a greater impact of MPs on tobacco roots compared to shoots.

Kleunen et al. [48] also confirmed that the roots of *Plantago lanceolata* L. were more susceptible to MP contamination in the soil compared to shoots due to direct contact between the roots and environmental MPs. Under exposure to MPs, the cell connections in *V. faba* roots are probably blocked, disrupting the transport of nutrients to the leaves [49]. The root system plays a crucial role in maintaining growth balance [50]. In response to individual competition, plants produce more roots to capture limited resources and reduce shoot growth [51]. Plants can adjust their morphological structure and allocate carbon assimilation products based on changes in environmental conditions, which reflect different survival strategies [52].

Effects of MPs on Chlorophyll Content and Stress Resistance of Tobacco Leaves

The results of the present study showed that compared to the control, CAT activity was significantly inhibited, POD activity and MDA content were significantly increased, and SOD activity was significantly increased at low concentrations and significantly decreased at medium and high concentrations. Jiang et al. [18] also found a decrease in CAT activity and an increase in POD activity in the study of the effect of 5 µm MPs treatment on *faba* beans. In comparison, [53] reported different results. They observed that CAT, POD and SOD activities, and MDA content of rice plants were stimulated in 10 µm treatment with polystyrene (PS) and polyvinyl chloride (PVC) MPs. Different results may be due to the differences in plants, incubation time, and type of MPs, etc. SOD, POD, and CAT belong to antioxidant systems [54] that play a synergistic role in protecting tobacco against oxidative stress. Among them, SOD and POD are responsible for scavenging excessive reactive oxygen species (ROS), while CAT helps in breaking down excess hydrogen peroxide, thereby preventing lipid peroxidation in plants [55]. The decrease in enzyme activity could be attributed to their involvement in antioxidant reactions aimed at scavenging free radicals. Conversely, the increase in enzyme activity may be a result of enhanced expression of genes encoding antioxidant enzymes in response to the excessive presence of free radicals. This regulatory response serves to mitigate the toxicity inflicted on plants by external stressors [56]. MDA is one of the products of membrane lipid peroxidation that occurs in plants under adverse conditions and can be used to indicate the degree of peroxidation [55].

In the present study, MDA content was consistently elevated compared to the control, indicating that oxidative stress on tobacco increased with the concentration of MPs. In addition, chlorophyll synthesis is a complex process involving multiple enzymes [57]. In this study, chlorophyll content was low. This finding aligns with the research conducted by Choudhury et al.[58], which demonstrated that under the stress of PE-MPs, the accumulated ROS in lettuce disrupted the structure of chlorophyll, leading to disturbances in chlorophyll metabolism and a subsequent decrease in chlorophyll content.

Taking the above into consideration, our study was consistent with the hypotheses that exposure to MPs had adverse effects on soil enzymes, inhibited root growth, and induced oxidative stress in tobacco. Previous studies have demonstrated that MPs alter soil microbial composition, inhibit lignin synthesis in roots, disrupt carbon fixation in leaves and impede ATP synthesis from ADP + Pi, resulting in changes in soil enzyme, obstruction of root cell wall formation, significant reductions in plants growth, development, oxidative stress, and impaired activation and absorption of nutrients [59]. However, due to the inadequate information available regarding the specific metabolic and gene expression responses of MPs in the soil-tobacco-microbial system, further studies are needed to explore the underlying mechanisms in greater detail.

Conclusions

The results of the study showed that MPs significantly affected soil enzyme activities, with S-CAT and S-SC activities significantly reduced compared to control, indicating that soil nutrient and microbial systems were disturbed. Consequently, the root system of tobacco experienced adverse effects, including a significant suppression of root length density, root length, number of tips, number of forks, number of crosses, root surface area, and average diameter. Additionally, the growth of root hairs was inhibited, leading to stress in root cells, which in turn limited the entry of nutrients and water into the internal tissues of the plant, and significantly reduced the water content of tobacco. The root system was significantly stimulated to resist the stress caused by MP at low concentrations, resulting in a significant increase in the average diameter of the root and root biomass. The root system and the aboveground part of the tobacco were interdependent and mutually restrictive. When the root system was stressed, the chlorophyll content of the tobacco was reduced, the MDA activity was elevated, and the activities of SOD, POD, and CAT were disturbed, which indicated that MPs damaged the oxidative system of the tobacco leaves and had a toxic effect on the tobacco.

In addition, MPs restricted root activity, indicating that the toxic effect of MPs on tobacco exceeded its own defense threshold, resulting in an imbalanced physiological and growth state of the tobacco. Therefore, we believe that MPs pose potential threats to the soil-tobacco system. In addition, MPs restricted root activity,

indicating that when tobacco was stressed by MPs, defense mechanisms were turned on in the tobacco to reduce the harm. When the toxic effects on tobacco exceeded its own defense threshold, the physiological and growth status of tobacco was imbalanced, suggesting that MPs are a potential threat to the soil-tobacco system. However, despite our study demonstrating the adverse effects of MPs on soil enzymes and tobacco growth, significant challenges remain in identifying the ecological risks of MPs in soil-tobacco-microbial systems. Further research is needed to explore how MPs affect soil microbial community structure, microbial metabolic characteristics, and their regulation of metabolism and gene expression during tobacco growth.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the Key Program for Science and Technology of CNTC (No.110202202030), Guizhou Provincial Talent Program (No. 20206020-2), the Science and Technology Program of Guizhou Provincial Branch of the CNTC (Nos. 2023XM16, GZYKY2022-05, 2023-13, 2022520500240192), Guizhou Provincial Key Technology R&D Program (No. [2018]2335), and Guizhou Provincial Program on Commercialization of Scientific and Technological Achievements (No. [2023]015).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References:

1. HE L., WU D., RONG H., LI M., TONG M., KIM H. Influence of nano- and microplastic particles on the transport and deposition behaviors of bacteria in quartz sand. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **52** (20), 11555, **2018**.
2. BLÄSING M., AMELUNG W. Plastics in soil: Analytical methods and possible sources. *Sci. Total Environ.* **612**, 422, **2018**.
3. GALAFASSI S., NIZZETTO L., VOLTA P. Plastic sources: A survey across scientific and grey literature for their inventory and relative contribution to microplastics pollution in natural environments, with an emphasis on surface water. *Sci. Total Environ.* **693**, 133499, **2019**.
4. SOUZA MACHADO A.A., KLOAS W., ZARFL C., HEMPEL S., RILLIG M.C. Microplastics as an emerging threat to terrestrial ecosystems. *Glob. Change Biol.* **24** (4), 1405, **2018**.
5. ZHANG K., HAMIDIAN A.H., TUBIĆ A., ZHANG Y., FANG J.K.H., WU C., LAM P.K.S. Understanding plastic degradation and microplastic formation in the environment: A review. *Environ. Pollut.* **274**, 116554, **2021**.
6. TAVELLI R., CALLENS M., GROOTAERT C., ABDALLAH M.F., RAJKOVIC A. Foodborne pathogens in the plastisphere: Can microplastics in the food chain threaten microbial food safety? *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **129**, 1, **2022**.

