Original Research

Photosynthetic Responses of Two Plant Species on Karst Highway Slopes During Drought in Guangxi, China

Xu Li¹, Yongke Wei¹, Jiaqing Liu¹, Jie Ma^{2, 3}, Qukan Luo^{2, 3}, Fen Huang^{2, 3}*

¹Guangxi Xinfazhan Communication Group Co., Ltd, Nanning 530029, China
²Institute of Karst Geology, CAGS/Key Laboratory of Karst Dynamics,
MNR & Guangxi/International Research Center on Karst, UNESCO, Guilin, Guangxi, 541004, China
³Pingguo Guangxi, Karst Ecosystem, National Observation and Research Station, Pingguo 531406, Guangxi, China

Received: 25 March 2024 Accepted: 8 May 2024

Abstract

Highway construction in the karst areas of Guangxi has caused water- and soil-deficient rocky desertification slopes. Selecting plant species with high biomass and good drought tolerance is key for rapid vegetation recovery. This study measured two plant species' light and CO_2 response curves during extreme drought. At light saturation point (I_{sat}), the net photosynthetic rates (P_n) of Pueraria lobata (P_n lobata) and Bougainvillea spectabilis (P_n spectabilis) were low (4.14 and 2.38 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹, respectively). Under drought conditions, they demonstrated distinct adaptive strategies. As irradiance increased, the water use efficiency (WUE), transpiration rate (T_n), and stomatal conductance (T_n) of T_n lobata increased faster than those of T_n spectabilis. As intercellular T_n concentration (T_n) increased, the measured maximum T_n of T_n lobata and T_n spectabilis were 26.6 and 14.1 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹, respectively. The T_n and T_n and T_n of T_n lobata first decreased rapidly, then remained stable, while WUE increased linearly. However, T_n and T_n of T_n lobata can better adapt to water deficits and atmospheric T_n increases than T_n lobata. This shows that T_n lobata can better adapt to water deficits and atmospheric T_n increases than T_n lobata is useful for quickly screening suitable plants for rocky desertified slope recovery.

Keywords: extreme drought, karst rocky desertification slope, light response curve, CO₂ response curve

Abbreviation (In order of appearance in the text)

- 1. Light saturation point (I_{sat})
- 2. net photosynthetic rates (P_n)
- *e-mail: huangfen@mail.cgs.gov.cn;

Tel.: +8615296002613; Fax: +86773-5813708

- 3. Pueraria lobata (P. lobata)
- 4. Bougainvillea spectabilis (B. spectabilis)
- 5. water use efficiency (WUE)
- 6. transpiration rate (T_r)
- 7. stomatal conductance (G_s)
- 8. intercellular CO_2 concentration (C_i)
- 9. CO_2 response curve (A/C_i curve)
- 10. right-angle hyperbolic modified model (RHMM)
- 11. exponential model (EM)

- 12. right-angle hyperbolic model (RHM)
- 13. non-right-angle hyperbolic model (NRHM)
- 14. the maximum net photosynthetic rate $(P_{n \text{ max}})$
- 15. light compensation point (I_c)
- 16. dark respiration rate (R_d)
- 17. apparent quantum efficiency (AQE)
- 18. the Michaelis-Menten model (M-M)
- 19. the initial carboxylation efficiency (α)
- 20. CO_2 compensation point (Γ)
- 21. photorespiration rate (R_p)
- 22. coefficient of determination (R²)
- 23. mean squared error (MSE)
- 24. mean absolute error (MAE)
- 25. photosynthetically active radiation (PAR)
- 26. CO_2 saturation point C_{isat}

Introduction

The construction of highways in the karst areas of Guangxi inevitably leads to the creation of slopes due to excess soil excavation and the disposal of soil and debris. This directly damages mountain vegetation, causes severe soil erosion and a high rock exposure rate, and forms many rocky desertification slopes. Owing to poor site conditions and shallow, loose, backfilled soil, vegetation restoration of rocky desertification slopes is ineffective, with a low survival rate, maintenance difficulties, and high costs. Selecting plant species with high biomass and good drought resistance is crucial for achieving rapid vegetation restoration.

Previously, the screening of suitable plants primarily involved selecting dominant species in the local karst area and assessing their adaptability to drought stress and high-calcium environments [1]. This process involves various considerations, including morpho-anatomy, molecular physiological processes, and water utilization [2–4]. It is time-consuming and involves numerous indicators. Currently, there is no rapid and effective method to assess the suitability of alternative plants for specific environments, such as rocky desertification slopes along highways.

Understanding the photophysiological basis of photosynthesis and predicting photosynthetic trends under various environmental conditions is crucial for explaining crop productivity [5-7]. The quantitative relationship between the net photosynthetic rate (P_n) and photosynthetic effective radiation reveals the instantaneous response of plant photosynthetic physiological processes to environmental factors [8–10], termed the light response. This approach is the most effective for studying how the environment impacts plant photosynthesis. Specifically, the light-response curve model is ideal for evaluating the response of these plants to light. The corresponding physiological parameters can be obtained by analyzing the fitted light-response curve (light curve). Additionally, the CO₂ response curve $(A/C_i \text{ curve})$ of photosynthesis can be used to understand

how photosynthesis responds to constantly changing environmental conditions [11, 12], and predict plant carbon absorption in future climate conditions [13, 14]. For example, the A/C_i curve has been used efficiently to screen plants using bicarbonate radical ions [15]. These results raise questions about whether light response characteristics can be used to compare the suitability of alternative plants for karst rocky desertification environments and how their adaptive strategies differ.

This study focused on two plant species planted on rocky desertification slopes under arid conditions. Photosynthetic instruments were employed to measure the light curve and A/C_i curve, and various models were utilized for fitting. Changes in the P_n and water use efficiency (WUE) were analyzed, and differences in their light response characteristics were compared. Strategies for adapting to environmental change were analyzed, and pioneer species for rapid vegetation recovery were screened.

Material and Methods

Study Area

The research area was situated on the right slope of section No. 2 (YK296 + 300 – YK296 + 580) of the Laibin to Du'an highway, in Nongchang Village, Xincheng County, Laibin City, China. The slope extended over a length of 280 m, with a maximum vertical slope height of 26 m. The area was divided into three development levels, with a slope ratio of 1:1.75 for the first and second levels, and 1:2 for the third level. Active protective nets were employed for protection, along with the use of vines for greening purposes. Xincheng County is situated in a monsoon climate zone that transitions from subtropical to tropical regions, intersected by the Tropic of Cancer. The area experiences abundant sunshine and rainfall, with a mild climate. The terrain features erosion and hills, with ground elevations ranging from 145 to 180 meters and a relative height difference of 35 m. During autumn 2022, the area experienced minimal rainfall and severe drought conditions, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Between 17 September and 31 December 2022, the total rainfall amounted to 162 mm, with October recording only 17.8 mm of rainfall (Fig. 1).

Experimental Species

The experimental species selected were the small shrub *Bougainvillea spectabilis* (*B. spectabilis*) and the vine *Pueraria lobata* (*P. lobata*), which were planted on a first-level slope in August 2022. *B. spectabilis* is widely employed as a landscape plant in Guangxi, while *P. lobata* is commonly utilized to enhance vegetation coverage on highway slopes. The soil was locally backfilled yellow lime soil, with a soil bulk density of 1.29±0.12 g·cm⁻³, soil mass moisture content ranging from 6.5% to 8.9%, salinity of 66.33±1.52 ms·m⁻¹, pH of 6.56±0.23, total phosphorus of 0.23±0.01 g·kg⁻¹, total potassium of 1.78±0.02 g·kg⁻¹, and a cation exchange capacity of 4.98±0.06 cmol·kg⁻¹.




Fig. 1. Temperature and rainfall in the study area during the dry season.

The soil was characterized by aridity and barrenness. The sampling took place on 22–23 October 2022. During the dry season, the two plant species exhibit a decelerated growth rate without the manifestation of desiccation-induced leaf abscission or chlorosis.

Light Curve Determination

Fully unfolded upper leaves were selected and a Li6400 portable photosynthesis instrument (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA) was used from 08:00 to 11:30 to provide varying levels of photosynthetically active radiation through red and blue light sources. Radiation intensity was set to 2000, 1500, 1000, 800, 500, 300, 200, 150, 100, 50, 20, and 0 μ mol m⁻²s⁻¹ to assess the P_n of the two plants under different light intensities. During measurements, the leaf temperature was set at 32°C, the gas source CO₂ concentration at 400 μ mol·mol⁻¹, and the relative humidity at 18%. The experiment was conducted in triplicate, and the average value was reported with an error margin of < 10%. The gas flow rate was set at 500 µmol·s⁻¹ and the photosynthetically active radiation was measured using automatic measurement mode, with a dwell time of 120-200 s at each set value. The measurement period was from 22 to 23 October 2022.

A/C_i Curve Determination

On a sunny morning, with a light saturation intensity of 1000 µmol/(m²·s) for *B. spectabilis* and 1250 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) for *P. lobata*, an airflow velocity of 500 µmol·s⁻¹and a leaf

surface temperature of 30° C, 13 CO_2 concentrations (400, 300, 200, 150, 100, 50, 400, 600, 800, 1000, 1200, 1600, and 2000 µmol·mol·l) were set for CO_2 response curve measurement. An automatic measurement mode was selected with a dwell time of 60--300 s at each set value. The P_n of the two plants was measured and repeated three times, and the mean value of the three replicates was reported with an error margin of < 10%.

Determination of Meteorological Indicators and Soil Physicochemical Properties

Temperature and rainfall were recorded using a self-recording rainfall collector (Davis 6466M, Davis Instruments, Hayward, CA, USA). Soil pH, bulk density, cation exchange capacity, total phosphorus, and total potassium were measured according to the methods described by Lu [16].

Calculation and Analysis

The light curves of the tested plants were fitted and calculated using Photosynthesis Calculation software version 4.1.1, employing the right-angle hyperbolic modified model (RHMM), exponential model (EM), right-angle hyperbolic model (RHM), and non-right-angle hyperbolic model (NRHM) [17, 18]. Various indicators were calculated, such as the maximum net photosynthetic rate ($P_{\rm n \ max}$), light compensation point (I_c), and dark respiration rate (R_d), along with other light response parameters and apparent quantum efficiency

(AQE). The A/C_i curve of the tested plants was fitted and calculated using RHM, the Michaelis–Menten model (M-M), and RHMM [13, 14]. This analysis included calculating the initial slope of the CO₂ response curve, known as the initial carboxylation efficiency (α), along with response parameters such as $P_{n \text{ max}}$, CO₂ compensation point (Γ), and photorespiration rate (R_p).

The coefficient of determination (R²), mean squared error (MSE), and mean absolute error (MAE) were employed to assess the goodness of fit for each model. An R² value approaching 1 indicates a closer match between the predicted or fitted values and the measured values, reflecting higher model accuracy [19–21]. Photosynthetic Calculation software (version 4.1.1) was utilized to compute R² directly and the following formulas were applied to calculate MSE and MAE:

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} (y_t - \hat{y}_t)^2$$

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} |y_t - \widehat{y}_t|$$

where y_t and \hat{y}_t represent the measured and fitted values, respectively. Origin 2020 software was used to statistically analyze and organize the data and draw charts.

Results and Discussion

Light Response Model Goodness of Fit Evaluation and Photosynthetic Parameters

The fitting results of the RHM, NRHM, RHMM, and EM for the light response of both species are presented in Table 1 and Fig. 2. The results indicate that, aside from the *B. spectabilis* RHM which exhibited a poor fit ($R^2 = 0.6076$), the fitting curves of other models for *B. spectabilis* and all four models for *P. lobata* demonstrated excellent agreement with the measured values ($R^2 > 0.96$). Except for the MSE and MAE values exceeding 1 for the *B. spectabilis* RHM, the MSE and MAE values for the other models were relatively small. Notably, EM for *B. spectabilis* exhibited the smallest MSE and MAE values, while NRHM for *P. lobata* demonstrated the smallest MSE and MAE values.

However, the methods used to estimate plant light saturation (I_{sat}) for these four light response models varied, leading to substantially different I_{sat} (Fig. 3). The I_{sat} value obtained for B. spectabilis using the NRHM model is notably lower than the measured value (Fig. 3). The I_{sat} estimated by the RHM and RHMM models closely approximated the actual values. However, the $P_{n \text{ max}}$ fitted by the RHM was 10, significantly higher than the measured value, whereas that estimated by the RHMM closely matched the observed value. Consequently, the larger the R^2 value, the higher the fitting degree of the light response model; however, the fitting results will not always match the measured values. Overall, the RHMM had the closest

Table 1. Photosynthetic parameters of light response curves for two plant species on rocky desertification slope during the dry season

MAE	1.287	0.094	0.101	0.07	/	0.309	0.213	0.211	0.423	/
MSE	3.011	0.014	0.016	600.0	/	0.121	0.070	0.072	0.245	/
R ²	0.6076	0.9889	0.9873	0.9933	/	0.9871	0.9913	0.9909	0.9687	/
Dark respiration rate (R _d)	-6.252	-1.2495	-1.3597	-1.5658	-1.29	-5.1375	-4.7193	-4.5764	-2.1679	-4.86
Light satura- tion point (I _{sat})	631.73	247.06	630.02	151.84	640	520.73	493.34	1505.02	267.66	1500.00
Light compensation point (I _c)	28.3556	25.9924	20.6798	63.6628	33.63	136.8526	134.0433	138.252	175.1039	176.93
Maximum net photo-synthetic rate (P _{n max})	10	3.576	2.4308	2.2739	2.38	10	9.2687	4.6478	3.6036	4.135
Initial slope (α)	0.5883	0.0514	0.0961	0.0417	0.0167	0.0772	0.0717	0.0656	0.0189	0.0267
photosynthesis response model	RHM	NRHM	RHMM	EM	Measurements	RHM	NRHM	RHMM	EM	Measurements
Species	Species Bougainvillea spectabilis					Pueraria lobata				

Note: right-angle hyperbolic model (RHM), non-right-angle hyperbolic model (NRHM), right-angle hyperbolic modified model (RHMM), exponential model (EM)




Fig. 2. Four models fitting the photosynthesis response curves of B. spectabilis (I) and P. lobata (II).




Fig. 3. Methods for estimating irradiance saturation (I_{sat}) using four photosynthesis response models. a. RHM; b. NRHM; c. RHMM; d. EM assumes that the I_{sat} is the photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) corresponding to a $P_{n \text{ max}}$ of 0.9. The apparent quantum efficiencies (AQE) are the slopes of the linear fitting equations for the light response curve under $PAR \le 200 \text{ } \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$. a and b obtained the I_{sat} by solving the linear equation $P_{n \text{ max}} = AQE \times I_{sat} - R_d$ (dark respiration rate).

 I_{sat} and $P_{n \text{ max}}$ to the measured values, with high fitting accuracy and the highest goodness of fit.

Similarly, the I_{sat} obtained for P. lobata using the RHM, NRHM, and EM models were all notably lower than the measured values. In contrast, the $P_{n \max}$ fitted by the RHM

and NRHM were significantly higher than the measured values. Only the RHMM had an I_{sat} and $P_{n \text{ max}}$ close to the measured values ($R^2 = 0.99$; MSE and MAE ≤ 0.21). Overall, the RHMM had the highest degree of goodness of fit. Therefore, when evaluating the goodness of fit




Fig. 4. CO₂ response curves of *B. spectabilis* and *P. lobata* for each model.

of various photoresponse models, it is important to consider not only their fitting effects but also their accuracy in fitting the data.

Given the optimality of the RHMM model, the data were compared using this model. The $P_{n \text{ max}}$ of P. lobata was 48% higher than that of B. spectabilis (Table 1). P_n max represents the peak photosynthetic capacity of leaves, indicating the maximum assimilation capacity achievable under specific environmental conditions [22]. The AQE of B. spectabilis and P. lobata were 0.0167 and 0.0267, respectively, with 37% higher in P. lobata. The AQE reflects the photosynthetic capacity of plants under low light conditions [18]. Previous studies showed that the range of AQY is from 0.03 to 0.05 in common plants under optimal conditions [10]. The AQE values are similar to those observed in Mangifera indica (0.020– 0.024) [7] and slightly lower than those of *Populus* euphratica (0.036-0.044) [10], both under severe drought conditions.

For *P. lobata*, the R_d was 29% higher than that of *B. spectabilis*, while the I_c was 6.68 times higher and the I_{sat} was 2.68 times higher than that of *B. spectabilis*. Overall, compared to *B. spectabilis*, *P. lobata* had a stronger ability to utilize both strong light and weak light. The *B. spectabilis* achieves organic compound preservation by minimizing dark respiration. Finally, *P. lobata* exhibits faster biomass growth under drought conditions and demonstrates a faster capability to cover exposed rocks on rocky slopes.

CO₂ Response Model Goodness of Fit Evaluation and Photosynthetic Parameters

Fig. 4 shows the fitting results of the RHM, M-M, and RHMM models for the CO_2 responses of both species. The fitting curves of both species using the three models demonstrated agreement with the measured values ($R^2 > 0.98$). The P_n values simulated by the RHM and M-M were consistent, with the RHM essentially being equivalent to the M-M model. The MSE and SAE of the RHMM for *B. spectabilis* were the smallest. From $P_{n \text{ max}}$, the simulated values of the *B. spectabilis* RHMM were closest to the measured values (Table 2), whereas the RHM and M-M were far higher than the measured values, indicating a deviation from actual photosynthesis. The RHMM exhibited the best goodness of fit.

The RHM/M-M for P. lobata had the smallest MSE and SAE. For $P_{n \text{ max}}$, the results of the three models were considerably higher than the measured values, with the RHMM displaying the smallest deviation from the measured values. Nevertheless, the fitting curve revealed that the measured values did not reach their maximum. Both the measured and fitted values increased with rising CO_2 concentration, and P_n did not exhibit a decreasing or stable pattern, indicating that the CO_2 saturation point (C_{isat}) could not be identified.

The α value represents the slope of the line obtained by fitting the response data of $C_i \le 200$ with a linear equation, reflecting both the quantity and enzymatic activity

Species	CO ₂ response model	Initial carboxylation slope (α)	P _{n max}	CO_2 compensation point(Γ)	Photorespiration rate (R _p)	Coefficient of determi- nation	MSE	SAE
B. spectabilis	RHM	0.0323	37.5037	122.4672	3.5827	0.9888	0.233	0.405
	MM	0.0323	37.5037	122.4672	3.5827	0.9888	0.233	0.405
	RHMM	0.0269	14.8488	121.2775	3.1104	0.9899	0.212	0.364
	Measure- ments	0.0168	14.1	124.36	/	/		
P. lobata	RHM	0.1407	52.4863	66.8315	7.9734	0.9895	0.668	0.569
	MM	0.1407	52.4863	66.8315	7.9734	0.9895	0.668	0.569
	RHMM	0.1345	45.2397	65.7786	7.5859	0.9894	0.672	0.581
	Measure- ments	0.0883	26.6	65.0058	/	/		

Table 2. Photosynthetic parameters values of CO₂ response curves for two plant species on rocky desertification slope during the dry season.

of Rubisco [23]. The α values of B. spectabilis and P. lobata were 0.0168 and 0.0883, respectively, indicating that P. lobata had a higher cooperative ability under low CO2 conditions. The $P_{n \max}$, Γ , and R_p of P. lobata were 1.89, 0.52, and 2.44 times higher than those of B. Spectabilis (Table 2), respectively. P_{n max} reflects the activity of photosynthetic electron transfer and phosphorylation in plant leaves [24]. The Γ reflects the CO₂ concentration at which the rate of photosynthetic assimilation equals that of respiratory consumption in plant leaves [25]. This suggests that P. lobata had a stronger ability to utilize low and high CO₂ concentrations compared to B. spectabilis. Both the R_p and the overall P_n of *B. spectabilis* were higher (Table 2). Overall, P. lobata has a greater potential for biomass accumulation during a continuous increase in atmospheric CO₂ concentration.

The C_{isat} reflects the ability of plants to utilize high CO₂ [26]. In contrast to previous studies, neither the measured nor simulated C_i of P. lobata reached saturation. Zhu et al. [21] found that under different nitrogen conditions, P_n gradually increased and eventually stabilized as the CO₂ concentration increased. However, Arp [27] observed that two Chinese Liriodendron plants grown at high CO₂ concentrations for an extended period did not reach saturation, resulting in significant changes in the C_{isat} of the plants grown under high CO₂ concentrations. In this study, P. lobata lived under extreme drought conditions for an extended period, preventing it from reaching the C_{isat} ; however, the rate of increase gradually decreased. Photosynthesis under light saturation is constrained by its carboxylation ability at low CO₂ levels and by electron transfer and ribulose bisphosphate regeneration at high CO₂ levels [25].

RHMM is the Best Fitting Model for the Light and CO₂ Response Curves

Researchers have established various response models of photosynthesis, including common light response models

such as RHM, NRHM, EM, and RHMM [28]. Previous studies [7, 10] found that the RHMM can better estimate I_{sat} than other models. This model simulates functions with extreme values and high accuracy, resulting in a fitting effect closer to the actual values compared to other models, particularly applicable to arid desert habitats [10].

The RHMM was also the optimal model for the light and CO_2 response curves in our results. Fitting the light-response curve offers two advantages: firstly, it can directly calculate the I_{sat} and the $P_{n \max}$ of plants. Secondly, it allows for the fitting of light response curves of plants under two conditions: either no decrease in P_n after reaching I_{sat} or decreased photosynthetic rate. The estimated I_{sat} and $P_{n \max}$ were closest to the measured values [7, 10, 26].

Similarly, during the fitting of the CO_2 response curve, neither the RHM nor the M-M models were able to fully capture the decline in P_n with increasing CO_2 , nor could they accurately estimate $P_{n \text{ max}}$. The RHMM demonstrated a good fit with an R^2 value and outperformed the other models in terms of fit. Its photosynthetic parameters, including α , the fitted $P_{n \text{ max}}$ values, and C_{isat} , closely approximated the true values and accurately reflected the actual situation.

Light Response and A/Ci Curves of Transpiration Rate (T_r) , WUE, Stomatal Conductance (G_s) , and Intercellular CO₂ Concentration (C_i)

A positive linear relationship was observed between T_r , G_s , and photosynthetically active radiation (PAR). WUE rapidly increased from 0 to 250 μ mol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ of PAR and subsequently stabilized. Contrary to the P_n , C_i exhibited a rapid decline from 0 to 250 μ mol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ of PAR before stabilizing (Fig. 5). The G_s of B. spectabilis remained relatively stable, whereas WUE exhibited a slight decrease after reaching its peak value (Fig. 5). The rapid decline in C_i indicates a high demand for raw photosynthetic CO_2 and high photosynthetic efficiency. Due to the small




Fig. 5. Photosensitive curves of transpiration rate (T_r) , water use efficiency (WUE), stomatal conductance (G_s) , and intercellular CO_2 concentration (C_i) .

increase in G_s under low-light conditions, the increased photosynthetic rate rapidly increased CO_2 consumption, leading to decreased CO_2 levels [5].

Under weak light conditions (0–250 μ mol·m⁻²·s⁻¹), B. spectabilis had higher WUE and G_s compared to *P. lobata*, resulting in a higher P_n and lower C_i (Fig. 4). As the PAR increased, the T_r , WUE, and G_s of *P. lobata* rapidly increased, whereas the corresponding rise in *B. spectabilis* was slower. Consequently, this resulted in a rapid increase in the P_n of *P. lobata*, surpassing that




Fig. 6. CO_2 response curves of T_r , WUE, and G_s .

of *B. spectabilis*, and a rapid decrease in C_i (Fig. 5). Under drought conditions, the two species exhibit distinct adaptive strategies. *B. spectabilis* employs a lower organic matter decomposition rate (lower R_d) and maintains stable G_s to reduce organic matter consumption and excessive water

transpiration. However, solely enhancing WUE leads to a slight increase in P_n . This can be compared to the strategy of deciduous plants shedding leaves to reduce transpiration and entering a dormant state throughout the entire drought period [29]. In contrast, The P. lobata responds actively

to increased light levels by augmenting G_s , T_r , and WUE, thereby enhancing the rate of organic matter accumulation, and exhibiting a performance similar to non-water-stressed state [5].

WUE in both *B. spectabilis* and *P. lobata* was positively correlated with C_i . The T_r and G_s of *P. lobata* rapidly decreased at C_i levels between 0–250 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹, followed by a slower decline (Fig. 6). The higher concentration of CO_2 enables plants to engage in photosynthesis more efficiently, thereby reducing the demand for stomatal opening. By reducing stomatal aperture, plants can effectively regulate water balance and decrease transpiration rates in environments with elevated CO_2 concentrations [30]. Meanwhile, *B. spectabilis* consistently maintains lower G_s and T_r to minimize water consumption (Fig. 6).

Indicative Significance of *P. lobata* and *B. spectabilis* in Response to Environmental Changes

Research indicates that water stress significantly impacts the light response of Cucumis sativus leaves, leading to a reduction in their photosynthetic capacity [21]. Water stress not only reduced gas exchange parameters, such as G_s , T_r , and WUE of Bothriochloa ischaemum, but also reduced the parameters of the P_n-PAR curves, such as P_n, AQE, and I_c . Water serves as the primary limiting factor for photosynthesis in B. ischaemum, and appropriate nitrogen application can enhance its potential photosynthetic capacity under water-deficient conditions [31]. When the relative soil moisture content falls below the optimal range, P_n and plant growth decrease significantly by influencing various cellular and physiological processes [32, 33]. Therefore, under severe drought conditions, the $P_{n \text{ max}}$ of B. spectabilis (2.38 μ mol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) and P. lobata (4.14 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) in this study were lower compared to Bidens pilosa (6.5 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹), P. euphratica (8.41– 12.60 μ mol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) [10] and Mangifera indica (3.45– 3.97 μ mol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) [7].

Under low irradiance, photosynthesis is limited by the electron transfer rate, while under high irradiance, photosynthesis is often limited by Rubisco activity [34]. Consequently, as the light intensity gradually increased, the P_n of B. spectabilis and P. lobata also increased gradually and then stabilized after reaching the I_{sat} .

Generally, for species with C3 photosynthesis, elevated CO_2 leads to a 50% increase in $P_n[35]$, and a 157% increase in plant biomass and leaf area [36]. Free-air CO_2 enrichment technology developed recently [37, 38] can increase the CO_2 concentration by 150% under field conditions, resulting in a 31% increase in the photosynthesis rate and an approximately 18% increase in crop yield [25, 39–41]. Although short-term exposure to elevated atmospheric CO_2 can lead to a significant increase in photosynthesis in many plants, long-term growth in elevated CO_2 typically results in a smaller increase in photosynthesis owing to reduced photosynthetic capacity [37, 38].

In the face of future frequent, extreme droughts and continuously increasing CO₂ concentrations, *P. lobata* is expected to exhibit better adaptation to changing water

availability and atmospheric CO₂ levels than B. spectabilis; it maintained a higher photosynthesis rate and faster biomass accumulation, rendering it a superior species choice for restoring rocky desertification slopes. However, B. spectabilis is capable of surviving and maintaining a lower growth rate under drought conditions by retaining lower G_s and transpiration rates, thus fulfilling the landscape requirements of highways. Integrating vine and shrub planting in vegetation configuration contributes to soil and water conservation on rocky desertification slopes and enhances ecosystem stability [42]. Measuring the photosynthetic response of plants is a rapid method for screening plants suitable for this purpose. It should be noted that the challenges associated with capturing the extreme arid climate conditions resulted in the small sample size, short study period, and lack of replication of this study at different sites. These limitations could be addressed in future studies by expanding the sample size and conducting studies across multiple sites with similar conditions or using indoor simulations.

Conclusions

- (1) The RHMM is the most effective model for P. *lobata* and B. *spectabilis* light and CO_2 response curves under severe water deficit conditions. It can simulate the stabilization or decline of the P_n after reaching the I_{sat} , with simulated values closely approximating the true values compared to other models.
- (2) Under drought conditions, P. lobata and B. spectabilis demonstrate distinct adaptive strategies. B. spectabilis enhances WUE, resulting in a slight increase in P_n response to elevated PAR and CO_2 . It maintains stable G_s and T_r to mitigate organic matter consumption and excessive water transpiration. In contrast, P. lobata responds actively to increased PAR by increasing G_s , T_r , and WUE. Meanwhile, B. spectabilis reduces G_s and T_r to minimize water consumption while enhancing WUE to promote a higher organic matter accumulation rate.
- (3) *P. lobata* responded faster to light and CO₂ changes and exhibited higher WUE. Therefore, it could better adapt to changes in water availability and atmospheric CO₂ increases than *B. spectabilis*, making it a superior choice for restoring rocky desertification slopes. Consequently, simultaneous measurement of the photosynthetic response characteristics of plants provides a rapid and effective method for species selection in rocky desertification slope restoration.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the Natural Science Foundation of Guangxi (No. 2022GXNSFAA035569, Guike-AD21196001), the Project of the China Geological Survey (No. DD20230547), Key Science and Technology Projects in Guangxi Transport Industry (GXHS-2022-016), and the Guilin Science Research and Technology Development Plan Project (2020010905).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- GUO K., LIU C., DONG M. Ecological adaptation of plants and control of rocky-desertification on karst region of South-west China. Chinese Journal of Plant Ecology, 35 (10), 991, 2011 [in Chinese].
- FAN B., XIONG K.N., LIU Z.Q. Forest Plant Water Utilization and the Eco-Hydrological Regulation in the Karst Desertification Control Drainage Area. Forests, 14 (4), 747, 2023
- YANG Q., ZHU D.Y., CHEN J. Effects of Planting Patterns on Soil Aggregates and Enzyme Activities in Rocky Desertification Areas of Karst Plateau Mountains. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies, 32 (1), 405, 2023.
- SONG T., HUANG C., YANG H., LIANG J.H., MA Y.Q., XU C., LI M.B., LIU X., ZHANG L.K. Characterization of Soil-Plant Leaf Nutrient Elements and Key Factors Affecting Mangoes in Karst Areas of Southwest China. Land, 11 (7), 970, 2022.
- LI T., WANG P., LI Y., LI L., KONG R., FAN W., YIN W., FAN Z., WU Q., ZHAI Y. Effects of Configuration Mode on the Light-Response Characteristics and Dry Matter Accumulation of Cotton under Jujube-Cotton Intercropping. Applied Sciences, 13 (4), 2427, 2023.
- SERDIO J., MOREIRA D., BASTOS A., CARDOSO V., FROMMLET J., FRANKENBACH S. Hysteresis light curves: a protocol for characterizing the time dependence of the light response of photosynthesis. Photosynthesis Research, 154 (1), 57, 2022.
- LI Y.L., LIU X.G., HAO K., YANG Q.L., YANG X.Q., ZHANG W.H., CONG Y. Light-response curve of photosynthesis and model fitting in leaves of under different soil water conditions. Photosynthetica, 57 (3), 796, 2019.
- ELFADL M.A., LUUKKANEN O. Field studies on the ecological strategies of Prosopis juliflora in a dryland ecosystem: 1. A leaf gas exchange approach. Journal of Arid Environments, 66 (1), 1, 2006.
- GOVINDJEE, KROGMANN D. Discoveries in oxygenic photosynthesis (1727–2003): a perspective. Springer Netherlands, 80 (1-3), 15, 2004.
- WANG H., HAN L., XU Y., NIU J., YU J. Simulated photosynthetic responses of Populus euphratica during drought stress using light-response models. Acta Ecologica Sinica, 37 (7), 2315, 2017 [in Chinese].
- 11. SLOT M., WINTER K. Photosynthetic acclimation to warming in tropical forest tree seedlings. Journal of Experimental Botany, **68** (9), 2275, **2017**.
- SMIT N.G., DUKES J.S. Short-term acclimation to warmer temperatures accelerates leaf carbon exchange processes across plant types. Global Change Biology, 23 (11), 4840, 2017.
- OLESON K.W., LAWRENCE D.M., BONAN G.B., FLANNER M.G., ZENG X. Technical Description of version 4.0 of the Community Land Model (CLM). National Center for Atomospheric Research, Boulder, Colarado. 2010.
- 14. STINZIANO J.R., ADAMSON R.K., HANSON D.T. Using multirate rapid A/Ci curves as a tool to explore new questions in the photosynthetic physiology of plants. New Phytologist, **222** (2), 785, **2019**.

- 15. WU Y., HANG H., ZHAO K., XING D., LIANG Z., XIE T., LI H., LIU Y. Method for screening plants using bicarbonate radical ions efficiently by using photosynthetic carbon dioxide response curve. China, 2014.
- 16. LU R. Soil agricultural chemical analysis method. Agricultural science and technology press of China, Beijing, 2000 [in Chinese].
- HAN G., ZHAO Z. Light response characteristics of photosynthesis of four xerophilous shrubs under different soil moistures. Acta Ecologica Sinica, 30 (15), 4019, 2010 [in Chinese].
- 18. YE Z., YU Q. Comparison of new and several classical models of photosynthesis in response to irradiance. Chinese Journal of Plant Ecology, 32 (6), 1356, 2008 [in Chinese].
- CHEN Z., PENG Z., YANG J., CHEN W., OU-YANG Z. A mathematical model for describing light-response curves in Nicotiana tabacum L. Photosynthetica, 49, 467, 2011.
- 20. LIU J., LUO J., LI R., WANG J., LIU J. Fitting and Analysis of Light Response Curve of Phoebe zhennan Seedlings in Karst Vertical Heterogeneous Habitats Under Different Rainfall Time Patterns. Journal of Southwest University (Natural Science Edition), 45 (3), 122, 2023 [in Chinese].
- 21. ZHU X., JIA M., SHI P., YANG C., BAI Y.-X., ZHANG H., LYU F., WANG G. Fitting analysis of CO₂ response curve of tobacco under different nitrogen fertilizer levels. Journal of Southern Agriculture, 51 (3), 537, 2020 [in Chinese].
- 22. KROMDIJK J., GLOWACKA K., LEONELLI L., GABILLY S.T., IWAI M., NIYOGI K.K., LONG S.P. Improving photosynthesis and crop productivity by accelerating recovery from photoprotection. Science, **354** (6314), 857, **2016**.
- 23. DRIEVER S.M., SIMKIN A.J., ALOTAIBI S., FISK S.J., MADGWICK P.J., SPARKS C.A., JONES H.D., LAWSON T., PARRY M.A.J., RAINES C.A. Increased SBPase activity improves photosynthesis and grain yield in wheat grown in greenhouse conditions. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society Biological Sciences, 372 (1730), 2017.
- 24. YE Z., YU Q. A coupled model of stomatal conductance and photosynthesis for winter wheat. Photosynthetica, 46 (4), 637, 2008.
- 25. BUSCH F.A., SAGE R.F. The sensitivity of photosynthesis to O₂ and CO₂ concentration identifies strong Rubisco control above the thermal optimum. New Phytologist, 213 (3), 1036, 2017.
- 26. YE Z., YU F., AN T., WANG F., KANG H. Investigation on CO₂-response model of stomatal conductance for plants. Chinese Journal of Plant Ecology, **45** (4), 420, **2021** [in Chinese].
- ARP W.J., DRAKE B.G. Increased photosynthetic capacity of Scirpus olneyi after 4 years of exposure to elevated CO₂. Plant, Cell & Environment, 14 (9), 1003, 1991.
- 28. YE Z. A new model for relationship between irradiance and the rate of photosynthesis in Oryza sativa. Photosynthetica, **45** (4), 637, **2007**.
- 29. CHEN Z.C., LI S., WAN X.C., LIU S.R. Strategies of tree species to adapt to drought from leaf stomatal regulation and stem embolism resistance to root properties. Frontiers in Plant Science, 13, 2022.
- 30. CHANG Z.J., HAO L.H., LU Y.Z., LIU L., CHEN C.H., SHI W., LI Y., WANG Y.R., TIAN Y.S. Effects of elevated CO₂ concentration and experimental warming on morphological, physiological, and biochemical responses

- of winter wheat under soil water deficiency. Frontiers in Plant Science, 14, 2023.
- 31. XU W., DENG X., XU B. Effects of water stress and fertilization on leaf gas exchange and photosynthetic light-response curves of Bothriochloa ischaemum L. Photosynthetica, 51 (4), 603, 2013.
- 32. BEN MHENNI N., SHINODA M., NANDINTSETSEG B. Assessment of drought frequency, severity, and duration and its impacts on vegetation greenness and agriculture production in Mediterranean dryland: A case study in Tunisia. Natural Hazards, 105 (3), 2755, 2021.
- 33. OZDEMIR D. The impact of climate change on agricultural productivity in Asian countries: a heterogeneous panel data approach. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, **29** (6), 8205, **2022**.
- ÖGREN E., EVANS J. Photosynthetic light-response curves: I. The influence of CO2 partial pressure and leaf inversion. Planta, 189 (2), 182, 1993.
- 35. HAO L.H., CHANG Z.J., LU Y.Z., TIAN Y.S., ZHOU H.R., WANG Y.R., LIU L., WANG P., ZHENG Y.P., WU J.Y. Drought dampens the positive acclimation responses of leaf photosynthesis to elevated CO₂ by altering stomatal traits, leaf anatomy, and Rubisco gene expression in. Environmental and Experimental Botany, 211, 2023.
- 36. WANG L., ZHENG J.P., WANG G.R., DANG Q.L. Combined effects of elevated CO₂ and warmer temperature on limitations to photosynthesis and carbon sequestration in yellow birch. Tree Physiology, **43** (3), 379, **2023**.

- 37. POORTER H., FIORANI F., PIERUSCHKA R., WOJCIECHOWSKI T., VAN DER PUTTEN W.H., KLEYER M., SCHURR U., POSTMA J. Pampered inside, pestered outside? Differences and similarities between plants growing in controlled conditions and in the field. New Phytologist, 212 (4), 838, 2016.
- 38. BATKE S.P., YIOTIS C., ELLIOTT-KINGSTON C., HOLOHAN A., MCELWAIN J. Plant responses to decadal scale increments in atmospheric CO₂ concentration: comparing two stomatal conductance sampling methods. Planta, **251** (2), **2020**.
- 39. KIMBALL B.A. Crop responses to elevated CO₂ and interactions with H₂O, N, and temperature. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, **31**, 36, **2016**.
- 40. AINSWORTH E.A., LONG S.P. 30 years of free-air carbon dioxide enrichment (FACE): what have we learned about future crop productivity and its potential for adaptation? Global Change Biology, 27 (1), 27, 2021.
- 41. POORTER H., KNOPF O., WRIGHT I.J., TEMME A.A., HOGEWONING S.W., GRAF A., CERNUSAK L.A., PONS T.L. A meta-analysis of responses of C3 plants to atmospheric CO₂: dose–response curves for 85 traits ranging from the molecular to the whole-plant level. New Phytologist, 233 (4), 1560, 2022.
- 42. CAO W., ZHU N., MENG Z., LV C., CHEN Y., WANG G. Linking Vegetation Diversity and Soils on Highway Slopes: A Case Study of the Zhengzhou–Xinxiang Section of the Beijing–Hong Kong–Macau Highway. Forests, 14 (9), 1863, 2023.