Original Research

Assessment of the Impact of Co-Application of Spent Mushroom Substrate-Based Bio-Organic Fertilizer and Compound Fertilizer on Passion Fruit, Rhizosphere Soil, and Microbiota

Tuo Cheng^{1#}, Xin Yao^{2#}, Wei Zhou³, Fukuai Chen⁴, Juan Zhou¹, Shaoqi Zhou^{1*}

¹School of Resources and Environmental Engineering, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, China ²The Third Surveying and Mapping Institute of Guizhou Province, Guiyang 550025, China ³Yiyang Vocational & Technical College, YingFeng Qiao, Yiyang, 413000, China ⁴Guizhou Shinong Fertilizer Co. Ltd, Xingyi 562400, China

> Received: 17 February 2025 Accepted: 27 April 2025

Abstract

This study investigated the effects of co-application of spent mushroom substrate (SMS) – based bio-organic fertilizer and compound fertilizer on passion fruit, soil properties, and rhizosphere microorganisms. The results demonstrated that the co-application significantly increased the total nutrients (17.73-81.21%) and available nutrients (25.09-102.80%) in the soil compared to the control group. The soil pH decreased by 0.23-0.71, and the soil organic matter content increased by 1.29-32.77%. Regarding microbial diversity, the application of SMS - based bio-organic fertilizer enhanced the abundance of beneficial microorganisms, reduced the disease incidence, and enhanced the crop's resistance to heavy metals. Regarding yield, the co-application treatment group achieved the highest passion fruit yield of 1.27 kg/plant, which was significantly higher than the control treatment (0.42 kg/plant). Fruit quality indicators, including soluble solids, acidity, and sugar-acid ratio, were also significantly improved. Based on the integrated results of soil properties, microorganism diversity, and yield performance, it is recommended to apply 2 kg/plant of SMS - based bio-organic fertilizer as a base and 8 g/plant of compound fertilizer as top dressing in passion fruit cultivation to enhance soil quality and optimize both yield and quality.

Keywords: spent mushroom substrate, bio-organic fertilizer, rhizosphere microorganisms, soil physicochemical properties, yield

^{*}e-mail: zhousq@gzu.edu.cn #The two authors contribute equally to this work

Introduction

The edible fungi industry has witnessed rapid global expansion in recent years, owing to the rich nutritional value and distinctive flavor of mushrooms [1]. Statistics indicate that the global mushroom industry will reach approximately 20.46 million tons by 2026 [2]. However, the production of 1 kg of mushrooms generates 5 kg of spent mushroom substrate (SMS), with global SMS waste anticipated to surpass 100 million tons by 2026 [3]. If not properly managed, SMS can cause environmental pollution of water bodies, the atmosphere, and the soil [2, 4]. Despite these risks, SMS is a valuable resource for bio-organic fertilizer production due to its rich nutrients, low bulk density, abundance, and low cost [5, 6]. To systematically evaluate the practical application effects of SMS-based bio-organic fertilizers in agriculture, this study selected the economically valuable passion fruit (Taiwan No. 1) as the experimental subject and conducted field trials to comprehensively assess fruit quality, rhizosphere soil physicochemical properties, and microbial community diversity [7, 8].

Modern agriculture heavily relies on chemical fertilizers, especially compound fertilizers containing nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, to ensure fruit quality and yield [9]. However, long-term use of these fertilizers can deplete soil micronutrients and destroy native microbial communities, which are crucial for crop health [10, 11]. Bio-organic fertilizers have gained attention in sustainable agriculture for their capacity to improve soil quality and promote crop growth while reducing environmental pollution [12, 13]. They enhance soil physical, chemical, and biological properties [14, 15].

Research has demonstrated that the organic matter and nutrients in bio-organic fertilizers can undergo slow release, providing sustained nutrient supply for crops, reducing the usage of chemical fertilizers, and mitigating agricultural non-point source pollution [16, 17]. In recent years, significant progress has been made in bio-organic fertilizer research. For instance, studies have shown that microbial communities in bio-organic fertilizers can decompose organic matter to release nutrients required by plants. They can also secrete plant growth-promoting substances like hormones and enzymes, thereby directly or indirectly promoting crop growth [18-20]. In addition, the microbes in bio-organic fertilizers can form symbiotic relationships with plant roots to enhance nutrient absorption efficiency [21, 22]. However, despite extensive research on the mechanisms of bio-organic fertilizers, studies on the use of SMS as a bio-organic fertilizer are relatively limited. SMS, a common agricultural waste, is rich in organic matter and nutrients and has potential resource utilization value. Moreover, existing bio-organic fertilizer research mainly focuses on linear effects under single concentration gradients, lacking systematic studies on the commonly used basal and top-dressing fertilization methods by farmers. Furthermore, the application effects

of SMS-based bio-organic fertilizers under different soil types and cropping systems, as well as their long-term impacts on soil microbial communities and nutrient cycling, remain unclear.

Based on the above research background, this study takes SMS-based bio-organic fertilizer as the research object and employs a basal and top-dressing fertilization method. The study explores the effects on passion fruit survival rate, yield, and fruit quality by adjusting the ratios and application times of basal and top-dressing fertilizers. Soil physicochemical properties are measured, including total and available nutrients, pH, and organic matter content in the rhizosphere soil. High-throughput sequencing technology is used to investigate the diversity, structure, and functional genes of rhizosphere soil microbial communities. The study aims to determine the optimal ratio of SMS - based bio-organic fertilizer to compound fertilizer, providing new ideas for sustainable agriculture and enhancing the economic and ecological benefits of passion fruit cultivation.

Materials and Methods

Site Description

The field experiment was conducted in Xingyi City, Guizhou Province, China (geographic coordinates: 25°11′45″N, 104°56′1″E; altitude: 1247 m). The region has an average annual temperature ranging from 14 to 19°C, with an annual rainfall between 1300 and 1600 mm, and a frost-free period of approximately 300 days. The predominant soil type in this area is yellow soil.

Experimental Design

The experimental field was divided into different zones, each corresponding to a specific fertilization treatment. The treatments included: CK (control group with no basal or top-dressing fertilizer), CF (top-dressing with compound fertilizer only at 8 g/plant), MBF (basal application of bio-organic fertilizer at 2 kg/plant without top-dressing), HBF (basal application of bioorganic fertilizer at 4 kg/plant without top-dressing), MBF-CF (basal application of bio-organic fertilizer at 2 kg/plant with top-dressing of compound fertilizer at 8 g/plant), and HBF-CF (basal application of bioorganic fertilizer at 4 kg/plant with top-dressing of compound fertilizer at 8 g/plant). Each treatment was replicated with 7 passion fruit plants, with a plant spacing of 30 cm and a plot spacing of 2.5 m. The application rate of compound fertilizer was determined based on local growers' experience. The bio-organic fertilizer used as a basal fertilizer in this study was supplied by Guizhou Shinon Fertilizer Co., Ltd. With organic matter $\geq 50\%$ and N+P+K≥4%, it is a water-insoluble solid powder. This fertilizer was applied only once at the basal fertilizer stage. During application, it was uniformly

mixed with the soil, covered with a film, and one week later, passion fruit seedlings were transplanted. The compound fertilizer used for top-dressing was a balanced special fertilizer from Rayno (Beijing) Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (N-P-K+TE: 20-20-20+TE), diluted at a ratio of 1:1000 (weight ratio) and applied every half month to ensure that the fertilizer water was directly irrigated into the roots of the passion fruit.

Sample Collection and Preservation

Passion fruit seedlings were planted in April and harvested in November when the fruit ripened. Fruits turning purple were selected for sampling. This is because the maturity of Tainong No.1 passion fruit is indicated by the purple color, and the fruit indicators at this stage are closer to those of the fruits purchased by consumers. Fruit samples for quality analysis were only collected during the first sampling, while those for yield analysis were collected multiple times throughout the cycle. Blank soil samples (20 cm deep) were collected before the application of basal fertilizer, and soil samples were collected once a month after topdressing until the last fruit ripening [23]. Soil samples were taken with a soil sampler just above the passion fruit root system, selecting the soil at the bottom of the mud column closest to the root. Five random sampling points were selected, and the soil from these points was mixed evenly to form one sample, with three parallel samples collected for each treatment group. Microbial samples were collected half a month after the passion fruit was planted, as the microbes had already adapted to the environment of each treatment group by this time. The same five-point sampling method was used, with three parallel samples collected for each treatment group, and then the microbial samples were stored in an ultra-low temperature freezer at -80°C until analysis.

Soil Physicochemical Properties and Fruit Quality Determination

After collecting the fruit samples, the pulp was extracted and juiced. The soluble solids, acidity, and sugar-acid ratio of the fruit were measured using the ATAGO PAL-BXIACID1 sugar-acid analyzer.

The collected soil was air-dried, ground, and sieved for subsequent testing. The pH of the soil was measured using the potentiometric method with a water-to-soil ratio of 2.5:1 (PHS-3C, Shanghai Leici Instrument Co., Ltd.); soil organic matter was measured using the dichromate method; total nitrogen in the soil was measured using a fully automatic nitrogen determinator (K9860, Shandong Haian Scientific Instrument Co., Ltd.); available nitrogen was measured using the alkali diffusion method; total potassium and available potassium in the soil were measured using the flame photometry method (AA-3300F, Shanghai Yuanxi Instrument Co., Ltd.); total phosphorus and available phosphorus in the soil were measured using

the molybdenum-antimony anti-colorimetric method (UV-5100, Shanghai Yuanxi Instrument Co., Ltd.).

Soil DNA Extraction and PCR Amplification

This study conducted amplicon sequencing analysis of the 16S rDNA and ITS regions for soil bacterial and fungal communities. DNA extraction was performed by Tiangen Biochemical Technology (Beijing) Co., Ltd. After the DNA of soil samples was extracted and purified, the purity and concentration of DNA were detected by agarose gel electrophoresis and Nanodrop, and the quality of DNA fragments was verified. For bacterial samples, the V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified using primers 341F and 806R. For fungal samples, PCR amplification was performed using primers ITS3-2024F (GCATCGATGAAGAACGCAGC) and ITS4-2409R (TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC).

Statistics and Analysis

Data statistics and processing for soil physicochemical properties and fruit quality were performed using Excel 2020 software, and graph plotting was done using Origin 2022 and R language, as well as Canoco5 software. Correlation analysis, one-way ANOVA (p<0.05), and Kruskal-Wallis tests were conducted using SPSS 26 software.

In the microbial analysis part of this study, the quality of data was assessed by statistically processing bacterial and fungal data from each stage sample sequence number. The main parameters included the number of sequences, Raw Data volume, Clean Data volume, effective data volume, GC content, Q20 and Q30 quality values (Table 1 and 2). The DADA2 method was used to analyze the data, including steps such as primer removal, filtering, denoising, merging, and chimera removal. Bacterial and fungal samples obtained 81,196 and 91,788 effective sequences, respectively, with efficiencies of 77.70% and 86.41% (Table 3 and 4). Subsequently, dereplication was performed on the effective data to obtain amplicon sequence variants (ASVs), and singleton ASVs were removed. The QIIME2 software was used for standardization and diversity diversity core-metrics-phylogenetic analysis. The command was used to standardize the data and calculate alpha and beta diversity (PCoA and NMDS) based on the phylogenetic tree to explore differences in community structure among samples. Finally, statistical methods such as STAMP, MetaStat, and LEfSe were used to test for significant differences in species composition and community structure among grouped samples. The PICRUST software was used to predict functions based on the annotation results and to associate them with functional databases such as KEGG and COG. The overall process aimed to reveal differences and diversity among samples through multi-step analysis, ensuring the accuracy and reliability of data analysis.

Sample	Raw Reads	Bases (GB)	Clean Reads	Effective Reads	GC (%)	Q20 (%)	Q30 (%)	Avg. Quality	Effective (%)
CK1	106671	0.053	106238	106238	56.83	96.37	90.945	35.405	94.076
CK2	115416	0.058	114758	114758	58.105	96.02	90.32	35.285	77.184
СК3	78047	0.039	77787	77787	56.69	96.9	91.995	35.605	94.164
CF1	103200	0.052	102095	102095	56.685	94.67	87.82	34.795	70.022
CF2	104709	0.052	104155	104155	56.23	96.135	90.545	35.32	77.289
CF3	106132	0.053	105914	105914	56.8	97.825	94.015	35.98	77.096
MBF1	103407	0.052	102489	102489	56.765	94.915	88.31	34.885	75.713
MBF2	106149	0.053	105743	105743	57.265	96.365	90.785	35.385	60.868
MBF3	102671	0.051	102254	102254	57.21	96.46	91.08	35.435	78.747
MBF-CF1	106513	0.053	106004	106004	57.165	96.355	90.85	35.39	76.522
MBF-CF2	106863	0.053	106292	106292	57.315	96.24	90.71	35.36	81.699
MBF-CF3	103529	0.052	103121	103121	57.21	96.5	91.15	35.45	75.011
HBF1	103112	0.052	102660	102660	56.965	96.39	90.92	35.405	74.564
HBF2	106406	0.053	105296	105296	56.295	94.695	87.885	34.805	75.481
HBF3	117458	0.059	117215	117215	56.48	97.945	94.175	36.015	84.673
HBF-CF1	99763	0.05	98961	98961	57.505	95.335	89.09	35.04	67.805
HBF-CF2	107308	0.054	107141	107141	56.37	97.995	94.265	36.03	87.475
HBF-CF3	102546	0.051	102321	102321	57.22	97.145	92.395	35.69	73.331

Table 1. Bacterial sample sequencing data processing results statistics.

Sample ID as Sample Name; Raw Reads for the number of raw paired-end reads obtained from sequencing; Bases for the total amount of sequencing data; Clean Reads for the number of high-quality reads obtained after raw sequence quality control; Effective Reads for the number of valid sequences obtained after splicing and filtering chimeras from Clean Reads; GC(%) for the sample's GC content, which is the percentage of guanine (G) and cytosine (C) bases in the total bases; Q20(%) for the percentage of bases with a quality value greater than or equal to 20 out of the total number of bases, with qualified samples generally having a Q20 above 90%; Q30(%) for the percentage of bases with a quality value greater than or equal to 30 out of the total number of bases, with qualified samples generally having a Q30 above 85%; Avg.Quality for the average data quality; Effective(%) for the percentage of Effective Reads out of Raw Reads.

Results and Discussion

Effects of Bio-organic Fertilizer and Compound Fertilizer on Passion Fruit Yield and Quality

The results of this experiment indicated that different fertilization treatments had a significant impact on the yield of passion fruit (Fig. 1). The control group (CK) had the lowest yield at 0.42 kg/plant, while the highest yield was achieved in the HBF-CF treatment group, reaching 1.27 kg/plant. The yields of the MBF-CF, CF, MBF, and HBF treatment groups decreased successively, with values of 1.15 kg/plant, 1.08 kg/ plant, 0.95 kg/plant, and 0.89 kg/plant, respectively. Compared with the CK treatment, the yields of the MBF and HBF treatments were significantly increased (p<0.05), and the yield of the MBF treatment (p<0.05). Additionally, the yields of the CF, MBF-CF, and HBF-CF treatments showed a significant positive correlation (p<0.05),

indicating that within the yield range of 0-4 kg, the yield of passion fruit increased with the increase in the concentration of organic fertilizer in the basal fertilizer. Further analysis revealed that the yield of the CF treatment was significantly higher than that of the CK treatment (p<0.05), the yield of the MBF-CF treatment was significantly higher than that of the MBF treatment (p<0.05), and the yield of the HBF-CF treatment was significantly higher than that of the HBF treatment (p<0.05). This suggests that top-dressing could significantly increase the yield of passion fruit when the same concentration of bio-organic fertilizer was applied in the basal fertilizer. However, the lack of significant yield difference between the CF and HBF treatments (p>0.05) indicates that applying 4 kg/plant of bioorganic fertilizer as a basal fertilizer can equivalently replace the 8 g/plant of compound fertilizer applied as a top-dressing in this passion fruit experiment.

The significant increase in passion fruit yield may be related to the rich organic matter and microbial activity

Sample	Raw Reads	Bases (GB)	Clean Reads	Effective Reads	GC (%)	Q20 (%)	Q30 (%)	Avg. Quality	Effective (%)
CK1	104856	0.052	104648	104648	53.61	97.67	93.605	35.905	89.017
CK2	112055	0.056	111832	111832	53.925	97.315	92.78	35.755	88.675
CK3	108736	0.054	108542	108542	53.58	97.465	93.1	35.82	88.645
CF1	103800	0.052	103580	103580	56.8	97.855	93.935	35.975	89.861
CF2	117718	0.059	117454	117454	56.785	97.7	93.64	35.915	83.212
CF3	106126	0.053	105934	105934	56.02	97.915	94.095	36	85.55
MBF1	102173	0.051	101962	101962	49.78	97.77	93.72	35.935	92.744
MBF2	104867	0.052	104653	104653	49.54	97.665	93.53	35.895	94.086
MBF3	105731	0.053	105489	105489	47.675	97.855	93.935	35.97	92.645
MBF-CF1	101535	0.051	101339	101339	60.1	97.655	93.35	35.875	91.941
MBF-CF2	106432	0.053	106231	106231	57.08	97.695	93.545	35.905	88.569
MBF-CF3	105732	0.053	105523	105523	57.77	97.885	93.995	35.985	89.875
HBF1	102154	0.051	101895	101895	55.38	97.65	93.46	35.885	66.764
HBF2	102064	0.051	101850	101850	55.025	97.79	93.79	35.945	82.781
HBF3	112743	0.056	112500	112500	55.015	97.655	93.51	35.895	72.571
HBF-CF1	107660	0.054	107425	107425	55.975	97.965	94.18	36.015	87.338
HBF-CF2	104303	0.052	104106	104106	56.04	97.965	94.145	36.015	85.421
HBF-CF3	103375	0.052	103113	103113	55.695	97.605	93.1	35.835	86.506

Table 2. Statistics of sequencing data processing results for fungal samples.

Sample ID as Sample Name; Raw Reads for the number of raw paired-end reads obtained from sequencing; Bases for the total amount of sequencing data; Clean Reads for the number of high-quality reads obtained after raw sequence quality control; Effective Reads for the number of valid sequences obtained after splicing and filtering chimeras from Clean Reads; GC(%) for the sample's GC content, which is the percentage of guanine (G) and cytosine (C) bases in the total bases; Q20(%) for the percentage of bases with a quality value greater than or equal to 20 out of the total number of bases, with qualified samples generally having a Q20 above 90%; Q30(%) for the percentage of bases with a quality value greater than or equal to 30 out of the total number of bases, with qualified samples generally having a Q30 above 85%; Avg.Quality for the average data quality; Effective(%) for the percentage of Effective Reads out of Raw Reads.

in bio-organic fertilizers [24, 25]. Organic fertilizers can improve soil structure, enhance soil water and nutrient retention capabilities, thereby promoting plant growth and fruit development [26, 27]. However, the lack of significant yield differences between the CF and HBF treatments suggests that medium – concentration bio – organic fertilizers have the potential to replace compound fertilizers. This potential may be attributed to the slow-release characteristics of nutrients in organic fertilizers, which enable continuous nutrient supply to plants and reduce nutrient loss [28].

The sugar-acid ratio is an important indicator for measuring the quality of passion fruit, and a higher value indicates better fruit taste. The experimental results showed that compared with the CK and HBF treatments, the sugar-acid ratio of passion fruit in the HBF treatment was significantly increased (p<0.05), indicating that the application of high-concentration bio-organic fertilizer in the basal fertilizer without topdressing could significantly improve the fruit quality

of passion fruit. In addition, compared with the CF treatment, the sugar-acid ratio of passion fruit in the MBF-CF and HBF-CF treatments was significantly increased (p<0.05), indicating that the application of bio-organic fertilizer in the basal fertilizer could also significantly improve fruit quality under top-dressing conditions. It is worth noting that the sugar-acid ratio of passion fruit in the MBF-CF treatment was significantly higher than that in the MBF treatment (p<0.05), while there was no significant difference in sugar-acid ratio between the MBF-CF, HBF, and HBF-CF treatments (p>0.05). This suggests that when bio-organic fertilizer with medium concentration is applied as a basal fertilizer, top-dressing can better enhance the flavor of passion fruit. In contrast, when high-concentration bioorganic fertilizer is used as a basal fertilizer, the impact of top-dressing on fruit flavor is relatively small.

The increase in sugar-acid ratio may be related to the rich trace elements and organic acids in bioorganic fertilizers, which can regulate the metabolic

Sample ID	Raw Reads	Filtered Reads	Denoised Reads	Merged Reads	Non-chimeric Reads	Non-singleton Reads	ASV/OTU Num	Even Reads Num	Even ASV/ OTUs Num
CK1	106671	106238	105072	102519	100352	100,347	1,814	59737	1,803
CK2	115416	114758	110061	96658	89083	89,054	3,030	59737	3,007
CK3	78047	77787	77436	76213	73492	73,491	386	59737	386
CF1	103200	102095	96574	79605	72263	72,221	2,427	59737	2,424
CF2	104709	104155	100061	88298	80929	80,888	2,634	59737	2,622
CF3	106132	105914	101698	88372	81823	81,768	3,268	59737	3,247
MBF1	103407	102489	97396	85607	78293	78,252	2,837	59737	2,826
MBF2	106149	105743	98969	73592	64611	64,491	3,034	59737	3,028
MBF3	102671	102254	97802	87532	80850	80,811	3,050	59737	3,034
MBF-CF1	103112	102660	98621	84325	76884	76,848	1,942	59737	1,937
MBF-CF2	106406	105296	101322	88780	80316	80,298	1,256	59737	1,254
MBF-CF3	117458	117215	113985	105901	99455	99,410	2,737	59737	2,699
HBF1	106513	106004	101601	89126	81506	81,471	2,519	59737	2,499
HBF2	106863	106292	102700	93405	87306	87,272	2,655	59737	2,630
HBF3	103529	103121	99405	88129	77658	77,629	2,012	59737	2,004
HBF-CF1	99763	98961	93402	75052	67644	67,595	2,223	59737	2,222
HBF-CF2	107308	107141	104482	98741	93868	93,835	2,903	59737	2,883
HBF-CF3	102546	102321	97767	83191	75198	75,139	2,956	59737	2,940

Table 3. Statistical analysis of sample data following bacterial denoising (Clustering).

Sample ID: Sample Name; Raw Reads: Raw Data Volume; Filtered Reads: Data Volume After Removing Low-Quality Sequences; Denoised Reads: Sequence Data Volume After Denoising, i.e., Effective Sequence Volume; Merged Reads: Sequence Volume After Merging; Non-chimeric Reads: Sequence Volume After Removing Chimeras, i.e., High-Quality Sequence Volume; Non-singleton Reads: Sequence Volume After Removing Singletons; ASV/OTU Num: Number of ASV/OTU Sequences per Sample; Even Reads Num: Sample Sequence Data Volume After Even Sequencing per Sample; Even ASV/OTUS Num: Number of ASV/OTU Sequences After Even Sequencing per Sample.

processes of fruits, promote sugar accumulation, and reduce the content of organic acids [29, 30]. In addition, the microbial activity in bio-organic fertilizers may indirectly affect fruit quality by secreting plant growth regulators [31, 32]. The sugar-acid ratio of the MBF-CF treatment was significantly higher than that of the MBF treatment, indicating that top-dressing can further optimize fruit flavor when using medium-concentration bio-organic fertilizer. This optimization may be attributed to the additional nutrients provided by topdressing, such as potassium, which plays a key role in fruit sugar synthesis [33]. However, the insignificant effect of top-dressing on the sugar-acid ratio under highconcentration bio-organic fertilizer conditions may be because high-concentration organic fertilizer has already fully met the needs of fruit development, which reduces the marginal effect of top-dressing.

Survival rate is an important indicator in agricultural production, especially when facing extreme weather conditions (such as waterlogging and drought), as the survival rate directly affects the economic benefits of crops. The experimental results showed that the survival rate of passion fruit in the CK treatment group was the lowest, at only 42.86%, while the survival rate in the HBF-CF treatment group was the highest, reaching 100%. The survival rates of the HBF and MBF-CF treatment groups were both 85.71%. These results indicate that regardless of whether top-dressing was applied, the survival rate of passion fruit significantly increased with the increase in the concentration of bio-organic fertilizer in the basal fertilizer (p<0.05).

The increase in survival rate may be related to the improvement of the soil environment by bio-organic fertilizers. Bio-organic fertilizers can enhance soil water retention and aeration, thereby mitigating the negative impact of extreme weather on plants [34, 35]. In addition, the beneficial microorganisms in organic fertilizers can inhibit the occurrence of soil-borne diseases and improve plant stress resistance [36]. The 100% survival rate of the HBF-CF treatment group indicates that combining high-concentration bio-organic fertilizer with top-dressing can maximize the stress resistance and adaptability of passion fruit. This finding

Sample ID	Raw Reads	Filtered Reads	Denoised Reads	Merged Reads	Non-chimeric Reads	Non-singleton Reads	ASV/OTU Num	Even Reads Num	Even ASV/ OTUs Num
CK1	104856	104648	103524	100198	93340	93,340	600	64791	596
CK2	112055	111832	110715	107254	99365	99,365	571	64791	565
CK3	108736	108542	107538	103547	96389	96,389	489	64791	486
CF1	103800	103580	102890	98823	93276	93,276	231	64791	231
CF2	117718	117454	116862	112039	97955	97,955	167	64791	165
CF3	106126	105934	105274	101151	90791	90,791	212	64791	211
MBF1	102173	101962	101305	98065	94759	94,759	300	64791	299
MBF2	104867	104653	103944	101600	98665	98,665	322	64791	318
MBF3	105731	105489	104949	102939	97954	97,954	261	64791	258
MBF-CF1	102154	101895	101300	86614	68202	68,202	142	64791	142
MBF-CF2	102064	101850	100995	88123	84490	84,490	255	64791	255
MBF-CF3	112743	112500	111664	94457	81819	81,819	224	64791	222
HBF1	101535	101339	100485	98291	93352	93,351	247	64791	245
HBF2	106432	106231	105340	101460	94266	94,266	327	64791	325
HBF3	105732	105523	104833	100541	95027	95,026	301	64791	301
HBF-CF1	107660	107425	106911	101585	94028	94,028	234	64791	234
HBF-CF2	104303	104106	103582	98897	89097	89,097	152	64791	152
HBF-CF3	103375	103113	102347	96217	89426	89,426	298	64791	298

Table 4. Statistical analysis of sample data following fungal denoising (Clustering).

Sample ID: Sample Name; Raw Reads: Raw Data Volume; Filtered Reads: Data Volume After Removing Low-Quality Sequences; Denoised Reads: Sequence Data Volume After Denoising, i.e., Effective Sequence Volume; Merged Reads: Sequence Volume After Merging; Non-chimeric Reads: Sequence Volume After Removing Chimeras, i.e., High-Quality Sequence Volume; Non-singleton Reads: Sequence Volume After Removing Singletons; ASV/OTU Num: Number of ASV/OTU Sequences per Sample; Even Reads Num: Sample Sequence Data Volume After Even Sequencing per Sample; Even ASV/OTUS Num: Number of ASV/OTU Sequences After Even Sequencing per Sample.

holds substantial practical significance, particularly in regions with variable climates.

Effects of Bio-organic and Compound Fertilizer on Soil Physicochemical Properties

This study revealed that, compared with the control (CK) treatment, soil available phosphorus (AP) content in the high bio-organic fertilizer (HBF) and high bioorganic fertilizer + compound fertilizer (HBF-CF) treatment groups was significantly elevated (p<0.05). However, no significant difference in AP content was observed between the HBF and HBF-CF groups (Table 5). This result indicates that the application of bio-organic fertilizer significantly promotes the availability of phosphorus in the soil, and the addition of compound fertilizer does not further significantly increase the AP content. This may be because the organic acids and microbial activity in bio-organic fertilizers promote the dissolution and transformation of insoluble phosphorus in the soil, thereby increasing the availability of phosphorus [37, 38]. In addition, the total phosphorus (TP) content in the HBF and HBF-CF treatment groups was significantly higher than that in the CK and single compound fertilizer (CF) treatment groups (p<0.05), and the TP content in the HBF-CF treatment group was significantly higher than that in the HBF treatment group (Table 5). This suggests that the addition of compound fertilizer directly supplements the inorganic phosphorus in the soil, while bio-organic fertilizer further increases the total phosphorus content in the soil by promoting phosphorus mineralization and release [39, 40]. This result is similar to the study of Cui et al. (2024), indicating that the combined application of bio-organic fertilizer and compound fertilizer has a synergistic effect on increasing soil phosphorus content [37].

In terms of soil alkali-hydrolyzed nitrogen (AN), there was a significant positive correlation between the CK, medium bio-organic fertilizer (MBF), and HBF treatment groups and the CF, MBF-CF, and HBF-CF treatment groups (p<0.05). Compared with the CK, MBF, and HBF treatments, the AN content in the CF, MBF-CF, and HBF-CF treatment groups was



Fig. 1. Passion fruit yield, sugar-acid ratio, and survival rate under different fertilization treatments. Means with different letters are significantly different according to one-way ANOVA followed by the Waller-Duncan test (p<0.05).

significantly increased and increased with the increase in bio-organic fertilizer application (Table 5). This trend was also observed in the total nitrogen (TN) content of the soil. TN content was significantly and positively correlated among the CK, MBF, and HBF groups. The CF, MBF-CF, and HBF-CF groups also exhibited a similar trend, with TN content increasing as the concentration bio-organic fertilizer increased (Table of 5). These results indicate that the application of bioorganic fertilizer significantly enhances the availability of nitrogen in the soil, and the addition of compound fertilizer further strengthens this effect [41]. The organic nitrogen in bio-organic fertilizer is gradually mineralized into inorganic nitrogen under the action of microorganisms, thereby increasing the availability of nitrogen in the soil [42]. In addition, the inorganic nitrogen in compound fertilizer directly supplements the nitrogen content in the soil, further enhancing the effect of bio-organic fertilizer [43].

The changes in soil available potassium (AK) showed a similar trend to TN. A significant positive correlation in AK content was found among the CK, MBF, and HBF treatment groups. Similarly, a significant positive correlation was observed in the CF, MBF-CF, and HBF-CF groups, with AK content increasing as the concentration of bio-organic fertilizer increased (Table 5). For the total potassium (TK) content in the soil, the CF,

MBF-CF, and HBF-CF treatment groups showed a significant positive correlation, with TK content increasing with the increase in bio-organic fertilizer concentration. However, there was no significant difference in TK content between the MBF, MBF-CF, and HBF treatment groups, but the TK content in the HBF-CF treatment group was significantly higher than that in the HBF treatment group (Table 5). This suggests that potassium from compound fertilizers directly increases soil potassium levels, while bio-organic fertilizers enhance potassium release and availability by improving soil structure and microbial activity. The combined application of bio-organic and compound fertilizers thus has a significant effect on increasing soil potassium content. [44, 45].

The soil pH value in the CK treatment group was 8.20, while the other treatment groups all significantly reduced the soil pH value. There was a significant negative correlation between the CK, MBF, and HBF treatment groups and the CF, MBF-CF, and HBF-CF treatment groups (p<0.05), but there was no significant difference in pH value between the MBF and MBF-CF, HBF and HBF-CF treatment groups (Table 5). With the increase in bio-organic fertilizer concentration, the soil pH value gradually decreased. This result is consistent with the study of Li et al. (2023), indicating that long-term application of bio-organic fertilizer may affect

	СК	CF	MBF	MBF-CF	HBF	HBF-CF
AP (mg/kg)	4.73±0.13 ^{bc}	22.39±0.49 ^{ab}	43.12±0.27 ^{ab}	44.53±0.73 ^{ab}	63.14±0.91ª	63.70±0.41ª
TP (g/kg)	0.38±0.01°	0.44±0.00°	$0.74{\pm}0.01^{d}$	0.87±0.01°	1.06±0.03 ^b	1.37±0.04ª
AN (g/kg)	$0.14{\pm}0.00^{ m f}$	0.15±0.00°	$0.16{\pm}0.00^{d}$	0.18±0.00°	0.20±0.00 ^b	0.23±0.00ª
TN (g/kg)	1.91±0.02°	$2.29{\pm}0.00^{d}$	2.59±0.00°	2.60±0.02°	2.83±0.00 ^b	3.00±0.00ª
AK (mg/kg)	30.07±0.45°	34.17±0.31 ^d	46.27±0.45°	46.57±0.17°	55.43±0.17 ^b	60.80±0.49ª
TK (g/kg)	$0.53{\pm}0.00^{d}$	0.59±0.02°	0.63±0.01 ^b	0.64±0.00 ^b	0.65±0.00 ^b	0.74±0.01ª
pH	8.20±0.02ª	7.97±0.03 ^b	7.75±0.02°	7.77±0.02°	7.49±0.01 ^d	7.50±0.03 ^d
TOM (g/kg)	5.40±0.04 ^{cb}	5.47±0.05 ^{ab}	6.13±0.06 ^{ab}	6.24±0.02 ^{ab}	7.17±0.01ª	7.16±0.02ª

Table 5. The impact of different SMS-based bio-organic fertilizer and compound fertilizer combinations on soil physicochemical properties.

The data in the table are expressed as mean values \pm standard deviation. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences between groups (p<0.05). The effects of available phosphorus (AP) and total organic matter (TOM) were tested using the Kruskal-Wallis test, and the effects of total phosphorus (TP), available nitrogen (AN), total nitrogen (TN), available potassium (AK), total potassium (TK), and pH were analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by the Waller-Duncan test.

the soil acid-base balance by increasing soil organic matter content and the production of organic acids, thereby reducing the soil pH value [46]. Soil organic matter content is an important indicator of soil health. This study found that compared with the CK treatment, the soil organic matter content in the HBF and HBF-CF treatment groups was significantly increased (p<0.05), and there was no significant difference between the HBF and HBF-CF treatment groups (Table 5).

This result further confirms the positive role of bio-organic fertilizer in improving soil organic matter content. The increase in organic matter not only improves the physical structure of the soil but also enhances the soil's water and nutrient retention capabilities, providing a better soil environment for crop growth [47].

Effects of Fertilization on Soil Bacterial and Fungal Diversity and Community

The results of boxplot analysis based on the ACE and Chaol indices of bacteria and fungi showed that the soil bacterial α -diversity did not change significantly among different treatment groups (Fig. S1-2), while the fertilization treatments had a significant impact on the α -diversity of soil fungi (Fig. S3-4, p<0.05). This finding is similar to previous studies by Fu et al. (2024), where the abundance of symbiotic fungi in the soil decreased with the application of organic-inorganic nitrogen fertilizer mixtures, and similar results were also obtained by Weixi Li et al. (2021) [48-50].

This may be because fungi generally rely on the decomposition of organic matter to obtain energy and nutrients, and are more sensitive to changes in soil organic matter and nutrients. Therefore, changes in organic matter and nutrients caused by fertilization may directly affect the diversity of fungal communities [51]. In contrast, the relative stability of bacterial community

 α -diversity may be related to the stronger adaptability of bacteria to environmental changes [52, 53].

Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) and non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) were used to assess the β -diversity differences of soil bacteria and fungi. As shown in Fig. 2a) and b), the PC1 and PC2 axes explained 40.7% and 21.2% of the variation in soil bacterial β-diversity among treatments, respectively. NMDS of bacteria showed that the CK treatment was distinctly separated from the other groups, indicating that fertilization significantly affected bacterial community structure (Adonis test, P = 0.001). Similarly, Fig. 2c) and d) showed that the PC1 and PC2 axes explained 38.3% and 27.0% of the variation in soil fungal β -diversity among treatments, respectively. In the fungal NMDS, the CK treatment was significantly separated from other groups, further proving that fertilization significantly affected fungal community structure (Adonis test, P = 0.001). These results indicate that fertilization treatments significantly altered the structure of soil microbial communities, and the application of bioorganic fertilizer reduced the abundance of fungi in the soil, especially the decrease in symbiotic fungi, which is similar to the findings of Ning et al. [18, 54].

A total of 48 phyla and 864 genera of bacteria were detected in the rhizosphere soil of passion fruit under different treatments, among which 11 phyla and 16 genera had an average relative abundance of more than 1%. At the phylum level, the top 10 phyla by average relative abundance were Proteobacteria (33.33%), Actinobacteriota (25.36%), Acidobacteriota (9.34%), Bacteroidota (6.87%), Gemmatimonadota (6.07%), Chloroflexi (5.52%), Myxococcota (2.85%), Patescibacteria (2.02%), Methylomirabilota (1.34%), and Firmicutes (1.21%) (Fig. 3a)). At the genus level, the top 10 genera by average relative abundance were *Streptomyces* (2.90%), *Vicinamibacteraceae* (2.68%), *Achromobacter* (2.37%), *Micromonospora* (2.13%),



Fig. 2. Impact of different fertilization ratios on fungal and bacterial β-diversity.
β-diversity of bacteria (a, b) and fungi (c, d) was analyzed using PCOA and NMDS based on the weighted UniFrac algorithm.

Sphingomonas (1.89%), *Cellvibrio* (1.63%), RB41 (1.52%), *Massilia* (1.42%), *Nocardioides* (1.37%), and 67-14 (1.36%) (Fig. 3b)). These dominant genera mainly belonged to four phyla: Actinobacteriota, Proteobacteria, Gemmatimonadota, and Methylomirabilota.

Kruskal-Wallis test analysis revealed that significantly affected fertilization treatments the abundance of some bacterial phyla and genera (p<0.05). Among the significantly different bacterial species, the abundance of Actinobacteriota (phylum) increased in the groups treated with basal fertilizer + top-dressing compared to those treated with the same concentration of basal fertilizer + no top-dressing, and the increase was significant in the MBF-CF treatment (Fig. 4a)). Actinobacteriota is an important group of soil bacteria that can decompose complex organic matter and participate in nitrogen cycling. The increase in its abundance may be related to the supplementation of inorganic nitrogen from compound fertilizer and organic matter. In addition, Kong et al. (2022) found that Actinobacteriota is abundant in the rhizosphere of healthy plants and can inhibit bacterial wilt, indicating that bio-organic fertilizer treatment may reduce the incidence of passion fruit disease by increasing the abundance of Actinobacteriota [55, 56].

Low concentration bio-organic fertilizer treatment promoted the growth of Acidobacteriota (phylum) and Vicinamibacteraceae (genus), but this effect was inhibited in high concentration bio-organic fertilizer treatment (Fig. 4b)). Acidobacteriota is usually associated with acidic soil environments, and its abundance changes may be related to the changes in soil pH caused by fertilization. It is also closely related to soil physicochemical properties and heavy metal resistance, and its increased abundance may enhance the heavy metal resistance of passion fruit [57, 58].

Compared with the CK treatment, the abundance of Bacteroidota (phylum), *Cellvibrio* (genus), *Microvirga* (genus), and *Ramlibacter* (genus) increased significantly in all other treatment groups, with the most significant increase in the HBF treatment group (Fig. 4a) and b)). Bacteroidota is directly related to soil quality and crop yield, and its increased abundance may indicate improved soil quality [59]. Myxococcota was positively correlated with soil organic matter, AP, and AK, and could promote crops to obtain sufficient nutrients from the soil [60]. The increase in its abundance further indicates that bio-organic fertilizer treatment enhanced the availability of soil nutrients. However, compared with the CK treatment, the abundance of



Fig. 3. The impact of different fertilization ratios on the abundance of dominant populations at the phylum and genus levels. Dominant populations are species with a relative abundance of microorganisms exceeding 1%. a) species with microbial abundance exceeding 1% at the bacterial phylum level; b) species with microbial abundance exceeding 1% at the bacterial genus level; c) species with microbial abundance exceeding 1% at the fungal phylum level; d) species with microbial abundance exceeding 1% at the fungal genus level.

Methylomirabilota (phylum) decreased in all other treatment groups, with the most significant decrease in the HBF treatment group (Fig. 4a)). Liu et al. (2024) showed that Methylomirabilota may be related to soil physicochemical properties, and its decreased abundance may be due to the increased soil porosity caused by bioorganic fertilizer application, which altered the soil's physical structure [61].

In terms of fungal communities, a total of 14 phyla and 298 genera of fungi were detected, among which 3 phyla and 11 genera had an average relative abundance of more than 1%. At the phylum level, Ascomycota (69.84%) dominated, followed by Basidiomycota (8.60%) and Mortierellomycota (1.01%) (Fig. 3c)). At the genus level, the top 10 genera by average relative abundance were *Zopfiella* (8.35%), *Conocybe* (7.44%), *Aspergillus* (5.83%), *Fusarium* (4.40%), *Iodophanus* (2.99%), *Cladosporium* (2.42%), *Penicillium* (1.66%), *Ascobolus* (1.54%), *Botrytis* (1.09%), and *Ciliophora* (1.07%) (Fig. 3d)). These dominant genera mainly belonged to three phyla: Ascomycota, Basidiomycota, and Mortierellomycota.

Kruskal-Wallis test analysis showed that fertilization treatments significantly affected the abundance of some fungal phyla and genera (p<0.05). The abundance of Ascomycota (phylum) in the HBF-CF treatment group was significantly higher than that in the MBF-CF treatment group (Fig. 4c)). Ascomycota is a widely distributed group of fungi in the soil that can decompose complex organic matter, and its increased abundance

may be related to the combined application of compound fertilizer and bio-organic fertilizer, which provides more organic matter and nutrients [62]. The abundance of Basidiomycota (phylum) in the MBF treatment group was significantly higher than that in the other groups, indicating that a medium concentration of bio-organic fertilizer promoted the growth of Basidiomycota, while too high or too low concentrations of organic fertilizer inhibited its growth (Fig. 4c)). Compared with the CK treatment, the abundance of Zopfiella (genus) increased significantly in all other treatment groups, with the most significant increase in the CF treatment group (Fig. 4d)). The abundance of Conocybe (genus) in the MBF treatment group was significantly higher than that in the other groups, indicating that a medium concentration of organic fertilizer promoted its growth (Fig. 4d)). Liu et al. (2023) showed that Conocybe can induce the production of carboxylic acids and amino acids, stimulating the growth of microorganisms that degrade recalcitrant organic matter, and its increased abundance may further promote the decomposition of soil organic matter and the release of nutrients [63]. Compared with the CK treatment, the abundance of Aspergillus (genus) increased in all other treatment groups, with the most significant increase in the MBF-CF group (Fig. 4d)). In contrast, the abundance of Cladosporium (genus) decreased in all treatment groups, with the most significant decrease in the MBF treatment group (Fig. 4d)). Aspergillus is an important decomposer fungus, and its increased abundance may be related to the organic matter and nutrients provided



Fig. 4. The impact of different fertilization ratios on the significantly different species of fungi and bacteria. Dominant populations (with a relative abundance greater than 1%) were selected for Kruskal-Wallis test analysis at the phylum, family, and genus levels, and the resulting species with statistically significant differences (p<0.05) are presented. a) significantly different species at the bacterial genus level; c) significantly different species at the bacterial genus level; c) significantly different species at the fungal genus level. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences between groups (p<0.05).

by fertilization. *Cladosporium* is usually associated with plant diseases, and its decreased abundance may indicate that bio-organic fertilizer treatment reduced the disease risk of passion fruit.

Effects of Fertilization Treatments on Soil Microbes and Environmental Factors

Correlation analysis between environmental factors and soil microbial communities (Fig. 5) revealed that soil pH, available phosphorus (AP), and total nitrogen (TN) exerted the greatest influence on the composition of soil bacterial communities (p<0.001). In contrast, the survival rate of passion fruit exhibited the most significant relationship with soil bacterial communities (p<0.001). All physicochemical indicators were significantly correlated with each other, likely because they were all directly affected by fertilization treatments. Additionally, soil ammonium nitrogen (AN) and total potassium (TK) had the greatest impact on the annual yield of passion fruit, while AN had the most significant impact on soil acidity. Soil pH, AP, AN, total phosphorus (TP), available potassium (AK), and soil organic matter (TOM) all had significant effects on the sweetness of passion fruit, with AN and TP having the greatest impact on the sugar-acid ratio. All physicochemical indicators had significant effects on the survival rate of passion fruit, indicating that soil nutrient status was closely related to the growth and yield of passion fruit.

The significant impact of soil pH, AP, and TN on bacterial communities may be due to their direct regulation of microbial metabolic activities and growth environments. pH is a key factor affecting soil microbial community structure because it directly influences microbial enzyme activity and nutrient availability. AP and TN, as important nutrient indicators, can further affect microbial community composition by altering the



Fig. 5. Correlation heatmap overlaying Mantel test analysis of soil microorganisms, physicochemical properties, passion fruit quality, and yield.

The Mantel test is used to examine the correlation between community matrices and environmental variable matrices. The larger the correlation coefficient of the Mantel test and the smaller the P-value, the greater the impact of environmental factors on microbial communities. Additionally, partial Mantel tests can eliminate the interference of autocorrelation among environmental factors. In the figure, rectangular frames indicate the correlations between different environmental factors established by Pearson, with green indicating negative correlation and red indicating positive correlation, and the deeper the color, the more significant the correlation. Different ranges of P-values in the figure are represented by lines of different colors, and the Mantel test_r values are indicated by the thickness of the lines, with *, **, ****, **** respectively representing significant correlations at the 0.05, 0.01, 0.0001 levels.

phosphorus and nitrogen content in the soil [38, 41, 64]. The significant correlation between the survival rate of passion fruit and bacterial communities suggests that soil microbes play an important role in maintaining plant health and disease resistance [55, 57]. Additionally, the significant impact of AN and TK on the annual yield of passion fruit may be related to the key roles of nitrogen and potassium in plant growth and fruit development [33, 65]. The significant impact of AN on soil acidity further indicates that the application of nitrogen fertilizer may indirectly affect microbial communities and plant growth by changing soil pH values.

To further explore the relationships between environmental factors and core OTUs (species with relative abundance > 1% and significant differences) in the bacterial and fungal phyla and genera under different fertilization treatments, redundancy analysis (RDA) was conducted in combination with soil nutrients and passion fruit yield. As shown in Fig. 6a), the first two axes of RDA explained 58.23% of the variation in bacterial core OTUs, with RDA1 explaining 46.13% and RDA2 explaining 12.10%. Soil pH, total potassium (TK), ammonium nitrogen (AN), and total phosphorus (TP) were the four main environmental factors affecting bacterial phyla and genera communities (p<0.05), with

pH having the greatest impact on bacterial communities, explaining 27.40% of the variation in core OTUs. pH was positively correlated with Acidobacteriota, Myxococcota, Methylomirabilota, Vicinamibacteraceae, and Rokubacteriales, with the strongest correlation with Rokubacteriales; it was negatively correlated with Actinobacteriota, Bacteroidota, Micromonospora, Sphingomonas, Cellvibrio, Microvirga, and Ramlibacter, with the strongest correlation with Bacteroidota. As shown in Fig. 6b), the first two axes of RDA explained 58.14% of the variation in fungal core OTUs, with RDA1 explaining 34.31% and RDA2 explaining 23.82%. Ammonium nitrogen (AN), available potassium (AK), available phosphorus (AP), and soil organic matter (TOM) were identified as the four main environmental factors influencing fungal phyla and genera communities (p<0.05). Among these, AN exerted the greatest influence on fungal communities, accounting for 25.90% of the variation in core OTUs. Soil pH exhibited a positive correlation with Ascomycota and Cladosporium, showing the strongest correlation with *Cladosporium*. In contrast, pH displayed a negative correlation with Basidiomycota, Zopfiella, Conocybe, and Aspergillus, with the strongest correlation observed with Zopfiella.



Fig. 6. Redundancy analysis of soil nutrients and microorganisms under different fertilization ratios (a) for bacteria, b) for fungi). Actinob: Actinobacteriota; Acidoba: Acidobacteriota; Bacter: Bacteroidota; Myxoco: Myxococcota; Methyl: Methylomirabilota; Vicina: Vicinamibacteraceae; Microm: Micromonospora; Sphing: Sphingomonas; Cellvi: Cellvibrio; Rokuba: Rokubacteriales; Microv: Microvirga; Ramlib: Ramlibacter; Ascomy: Ascomycota; Basidi: Basidiomycota; Zopfie: Zopfiella; Conocy: Conocybe; Asperg: Aspergillus; Clados: Cladosporium.

Acidobacteriota typically thrives in acidic conditions, with its abundance positively correlated with pH, giving it a competitive edge under low pH. Similarly, Ascomycota and *Cladosporium* show positive pH correlations, indicating they also favor acidic soils [66, 67]. Conversely, Bacteroidota's negative pH correlation suggests it may prefer neutral or alkaline soils. Likewise, Basidiomycota and *Zopfiella*'s negative pH correlation implies they are better adapted to neutral or alkaline conditions [53, 68, 69].

The significant impact of AN on bacterial and fungal communities indicates that nitrogen supply indirectly affects microbial community structure by altering soil nitrogen cycling processes [65]. The significant impact of TK and TP on bacterial communities may be related to the important roles of potassium and phosphorus in microbial metabolism [70]. The significant impact of AK and AP on fungal communities may be related to the important roles of potassium and phosphorus in fungal growth and reproduction [71, 72]. The significant impact of TOM on fungal communities indicates that the increase in organic matter promotes fungal growth and activity by providing more carbon sources and energy [73].

Conclusions

The combined application of bio-organic fertilizer and compound fertilizer has a significant impact on soil quality and microbial communities. This fertilization strategy not only increases the nutrient content of the soil but also improves its physicochemical properties, particularly by reducing soil pH, creating more favorable conditions for the growth of passion fruit. Soil microbial diversity is closely related to the growth and yield of passion fruit. The application of bio-organic fertilizer increases the number of beneficial microorganisms in the soil, which helps reduce the incidence of diseases in passion fruit, enhances the crop's resistance to heavy metals, and thereby indirectly increases the yield and improves the quality of passion fruit.

Using statistical methods like RDA, Mantel tests, and Pearson correlation analysis, we found that the soil microbial composition under the treatment of 2 kg/plant of bio-organic fertilizer as a basal fertilizer combined with 8 g/plant of compound fertilizer as a top-dressing (MBF-CF) is more favorable for passion fruit growth. In this treatment, half the amount of bio-organic fertilizer used in the HBF-CF treatment resulted in a passion fruit yield of 1.12 kg/plant, with no significant difference in fruit sugar-acid ratio compared to the HBF-CF treatment. This indicates that appropriate fertilization strategies can optimize soil microbial community structure, thereby promoting healthy crop growth. Environmental factors are closely linked to the structure and function of microbial communities, which in turn directly affect the growth status and yield of passion fruit. Therefore, rational regulation of fertilization strategies and maintenance of soil microbial diversity are of great significance for increasing crop yield and quality.

In summary, this study underscores the importance of combining bio-organic and compound fertilizers for enhancing soil quality and crop yield, highlighting the pivotal mediating role of soil microbes. However, the research solely investigated this fertilizer combination in a single-region soil condition. Further refinement regarding different fertilizer ratios and top-dressing options is necessary. Future studies should explore how various fertilizer ratios and methods impact crop growth and soil environments across different regions and crops. Future research should also evaluate the applicability of these strategies under diverse soil and climate conditions to provide more precise and sustainable guidance for agricultural production.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the financial support of the Science and Technology Plan of Guizhou Province of China (No. 2022-226).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- RAMOS M., BURGOS N., BARNARD A., EVANS G., PREECE J., GRAZ M., RUTHES A.C., JIMÉNEZ-QUERO A., MARTÍNEZ-ABAD A., VILAPLANA F., NGOC L.P., BROUWER A., VAN DER BURG B., DEL CARMEN GARRIGÓS M., JIMÉNEZ A. Agaricus bisporus and its by-products as a source of valuable extracts and bioactive compounds. Food Chemistry. 292, 176, 2019.
- LEONG Y.K., MA T.-W., CHANG J.-S., YANG F.-C. Recent advances and future directions on the valorization of spent mushroom substrate (SMS): A review. Bioresource Technology. 344, 126157, 2022.
- ATALLAH E., ZEAITER J., AHMAD M.N., LEAHY J.J., KWAPINSKI W. Hydrothermal carbonization of spent mushroom compost waste compared against torrefaction and pyrolysis. Fuel Processing Technology. 216, 106795, 2021.
- JIANG H., ZHANG M., CHEN J., LI S., SHAO Y., YANG J., LI J. Characteristics of bio-oil produced by the pyrolysis of mixed oil shale semi-coke and spent mushroom substrate. FUEL. 200, 218, 2017.
- EL-RAMADY H., ABDALLA N., BADGAR K., LLANAJ X., TOROS G., HAJDU P., EID Y., PROKISCH J. Edible Mushrooms for Sustainable and Healthy Human Food: Nutritional and Medicinal Attributes. Sustainability. 14 (9), 4941, 2022.
- SENDI H., MOHAMED M.T.M., ANWAR M.P., SAUD H.M., DELL C., RAMAKRISHNA W. Spent Mushroom Waste as a Media Replacement for Peat Moss in Kai-Lan (Brassica oleracea var. Alboglabra) Production. The Scientific World Journal. 2013 (1), 258562, 2013.
- LI X., PEI Z., MENG L., JIANG Y., LIU H., PAN Y. Investigation on epidermal structure and water migration of postharvest passion fruit during storage. Journala of Food Science. 88 (10), 4046, 2023.
- 8. XIA Z., HUANG D., ZHANG S., WANG W., MA F., WU B., XU Y., XU B., CHEN D., ZOU M., XU H., ZHOU X.,

ZHAN R., SONG S. Chromosome-scale genome assembly provides insights into the evolution and flavor synthesis of passion fruit (Passiflora edulis Sims). Horticulture Research. **8** (1), 14, **2021**.

- LIU P., JIA S., HE X., ZHANG X., YE L. Different impacts of manure and chemical fertilizers on bacterial community structure and antibiotic resistance genes in arable soils. Chemosphere. 188, 455, 2017.
- FISCHER G., MELGAREJO L. M., CUTLER J. Preharvest factors that influence the quality of passion fruit: A review. Agronomía Colombiana. 36 (3), 217, 2018.
- 11. GACHARA G., KENFAOUI J., SULEIMAN R., KILIMA B., TAOUSSI M., ABERKANI K., BELABESS Z., MEDDICH A., HANDAQ N., LAASLI S.-E., BARKA E.A., LAHLALI R. The Role of Soil Microbiome in Driving Plant Performance: An Overview Based on Ecological and Ecosystem Advantages to the Plant Community. Journal of Crop Health. 76 (1), 3, 2024.
- CAVALCANTE L.F., CAVALCANTE I.H.L., RODOLFO F., BECKMANN-CAVALCANTE M.Z., DOS SANTOS G.P. Leaf-Macronutrient Status and Fruit Yield of Biofertilized Yellow Passion Fruit Plants. Journal of Plant Nutrition. 35 (2), 176, 2012.
- MENEZES DE AGUIAR A.V., CAVALCANTE L.F., DA SILVA R.M., GUEDES DANTAS T.A., DOS SANTOS E.C. Effect of Biofertilization on Yellow Passion Fruit Production and Fruit Quality. Revista Caatinga. 30 (1), 136, 2017.
- 14. FENG H., HAN X., ZHU Y., ZHANG M., JI Y., LU X., CHEN X., YAN J., ZOU W. Effects of long-term application of organic materials on soil water extractable organic matter, fulvic acid, humic acid structure and microbial driving mechanisms. Plant and Soil. 501 (1-2), 323, 2024.
- YU Y.-Y., LI S.-M., QIU J.-P., LI J.-G., LUO Y.-M., GUO J.-H. Combination of agricultural waste compost and biofertilizer improves yield and enhances the sustainability of a pepper field. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science. 182 (4), 560, 2019.
- 16. LIU M., SONG F., YIN Z., CHEN P., ZHANG Z., QI Z., WANG B., ZHENG E. Organic fertilizer substitutions maintain maize yield and mitigate ammonia emissions but increase nitrous oxide emissions. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. **30** (18), 53115, **2023**.
- NASCIMENTO J.A.M., CAVALCANTE L.F., DANTAS S.A. G., SILVA S.A., DIAS T.J. Biofertilizante e adubação mineral na qualidade de frutos de maracujazeiro irrigado com água salina. Irriga. 20 (2), 220, 2015.
- JIN N., JIN L., WANG S., LI J., LIU F., LIU Z., LUO S., WU Y., LYU J., YU J. Reduced Chemical Fertilizer Combined With Bio-Organic Fertilizer Affects the Soil Microbial Community and Yield and Quality of Lettuce. Frontiers in Microbiology. 13, 863325, 2022.
- QU C.C., CHEN X.M., ZHANG Z.L., WANG N., LYU J.Y., ZHANG J., HUANG C.Y. Long-term effects of bio-organic fertilizer application on soil organic carbon pool and enzyme activity of cucumber continuous cropping. Ying yong sheng tai xue bao = The Journal of Applied Ecology. 30 (9), 3145, 2019.
- 20. SUN J.U., FU Q.X., GU J., WANG X.J., GAO H. Effects of bio-organic fertilizer on soil enzyme activities and microbial community in kiwifruit orchard. Ying yong sheng tai xue bao = The Journal of Applied Ecology. 27 (3), 829, 2016.
- 21. MPANGA I.K., DAPAAH H.K., GEISTLINGER J., LUDEWIG U., NEUMANN G. Soil Type-Dependent

Interactions of P-Solubilizing Microorganisms with Organic and Inorganic Fertilizers Mediate Plant Growth Promotion in Tomato. Agronomy Basel. **8** (10), 213, **2018**.

- SESSITSCH A., MITTER B. 21st century agriculture: integration of plant microbiomes for improved crop production and food security. Microbial Biotechnology. 8 (1), 32, 2015.
- 23. VALDEMÍCIO F., DE SOUSA M.V.F., MAURÍCIO A., COELHO F., FRIZZONE J. Distribuição radicular do maracujazeiro sob diferentes doses de potássio aplicadas por fertirrigação. Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental. 6 (1), 51, 2002.
- 24. UCHOA T.L., DE ARAUJO NETO S.E., FRANCISCO W.D.M., DE SOUZA E SOUZA L.G., DA SILVA N.M. Yield and Quality of Passion Fruit Under Organic Cultivation with Input Levels and Irrigation in the State of Acre. Revista Caatinga. 34 (1), 144, 2021.
- 25. WANG Y., TENG Y., ZHANG J., ZHANG Z., WANG C., WU X., LONG X. Passion fruit plants alter the soil microbial community with continuous cropping and improve plant disease resistance by recruiting beneficial microorganisms. PLoS ONE. 18 (2), e0281854, 2023.
- 26. CHEN M.M., ZHANG S.R., LIU L., WU L.P., DING X.D. Combined organic amendments and mineral fertilizer application increase rice yield by improving soil structure, P availability and root growth in saline-alkaline soil. Soil & Tillage Research. 212, 105060, 2021.
- LIU J., SHU A., SONG W., SHI W., LI M., ZHANG W., LI Z., LIU G., YUAN F., ZHANG S., LIU Z., GAO Z. Longterm organic fertilizer substitution increases rice yield by improving soil properties and regulating soil bacteria. Geoderma. 404, 115287, 2021.
- BERGSTRAND K.J. Organic fertilizers in greenhouse production systems – a review. Scientia Horticulturae. 295, 110855, 2022.
- 29. LI Z., JIAO Y., YIN J., LI D., WANG B., ZHANG K., ZHENG X., HONG Y., ZHANG H., XIE C., LI Y., DUAN Y., HU Y., ZHU Z., LIU Y. Productivity and quality of banana in response to chemical fertilizer reduction with bio-organic fertilizer: Insight into soil properties and microbial ecology. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment. **322**, 107659, **2021**.
- WANG Y., GAO M., WANG Z., HUANG T., LI H. How Organic Acids Affect Plant Nitrogen and Phosphorus Uptake Under Different Fertilization Treatments. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 23 (4), 6048, 2023.
- 31. TONG Y.Y., ZHENG X.Q., LIU H.W., ZHANG H.Q., DENG Y.W., CHEN M., LV W.G., CHEN J.P., GE T.D., YUAN Z.F. Bio-organic fertilizer enhances soil mineral solubilization, microbial community stability, and fruit quality in an 8-year watermelon continuous cropping system. Biology and Fertility of Soils. 2025.
- 32. GAO C., EL-SAWAH A.M., ALI D.F.I., HAMOUD Y.A., SHAGHALEH H., SHETEIWY M.S. The Integration of Bio and Organic Fertilizers Improve Plant Growth, Grain Yield, Quality and Metabolism of Hybrid Maize (*Zea mays* L.). Agronomy Basel. **10** (3), 319, **2020**.
- LESTER G.E., JIFON J.L., MAKUS D.J. Impact of potassium nutrition on postharvest fruit quality: Melon (*Cucumis melo* L) case study. Plant and Soil. 335 (1-2), 117, 2010.
- LI Y., SHAO M., WANG J., LI T. Effects of Earthworm Cast Application on Water Evaporation and Storage in Loess Soil Column Experiments. Sustainability. 12 (8), 3112, 2020.

- 35. DUAN C., LI J., ZHANG B., WU S., FAN J., FENG H., HE J., SIDDIQUE K.H.M. Effect of bio-organic fertilizer derived from agricultural waste resources on soil properties and winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) yield in semi-humid drought-prone regions. Agricultural Water Management. 289, 108539, 2023.
- 36. TAO C., LI R., XIONG W., SHEN Z., LIU S., WANG B., RUAN Y., GEISEN S., SHEN Q., KOWALCHUK G.A. Bio-organic fertilizers stimulate indigenous soil Pseudomonas populations to enhance plant disease suppression. Microbiome. 8 (1), 137, 2020.
- YANG X., CHEN X., YANG X. Effect of organic matter on phosphorus adsorption and desorption in a black soil from Northeast China. Soil and Tillage Research. 187, 85, 2019.
- LIU W., CUI S., WU L., QI W., CHEN J., YE Z., MA J., LIU D. Effects of Bio-organic Fertilizer on Soil Fertility, Yield, and Quality of Tea. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 23 (4), 5109, 2023.
- CUI H., SHUTES B., HOU S.N., WANG X.Y., ZHU H. Long-term organic fertilization increases phosphorus content but reduces its release in soil aggregates. Applied Soil Ecology. 203, 105684, 2024.
- 40. CHEN Y., YANG Z., XIA H. In-situ comparison of phosphorus losses between organic and inorganic fertilizers. Water Supply. **14** (6), 1051, **2014**.
- WANG X.-W., CAI H., LIU Y.-L., LI C.-L., WAN Y.-S., SONG F.-P., CHEN W.-F. Addition of organic fertilizer affects soil nitrogen availability in a salinized fluvo-aquic soil. Environmental Pollutants & Bioavailability. 31 (1), 331, 2019.
- 42. WANG Q., DAI S., NECHAEV V.P., FRENCH D., GRAHAM I., ZHAO L., ZHANG S., LIANG Y., HOWER J.C. Transformation of organic to inorganic nitrogen in NH₄⁺-illite-bearing and Ga-Al-REE-rich bituminous coals: Evidence from nitrogen isotopes and functionalities. Chemical Geology. 660, 122169, 2024.
- XIE K., XU P., YANG S., LU Y., JIANG R., GU W., LI W., SUN L. Effects of Supplementary Composts on Microbial Communities and Rice Productivity in Cold Water Paddy Fields. Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology. 25 (5), 569, 2015.
- 44. ECKHARDT D.P., REDIN M., SANTANA N.A., DE CONTI L., DOMINGUEZ J., JACQUES R.J.S., ANTONIOLLI Z.I. Cattle Manure Bioconversion Effect on the Availability of Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Potassium in Soil. Revista Brasileira De Ciencia Do Solo. 42, e0170327, 2018.
- 45. SHWETHA S., NARAYANA J. Effect of Vermicompost Alone and Its Combination with Recommended Dose of Fertilizers on Available Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium in Rice Field. Journal of Environmental Science & Engineering. 56 (1), 37, 2014.
- 46. LI M., CHEN C., ZHANG H., WANG Z., SONG N., LI J., LIANG X., YI K., GU Y., GUO X. Effects of biochar amendment and organic fertilizer on microbial communities in the rhizosphere soil of wheat in Yellow River Delta saline-alkaline soil. Frontiers in Microbiology. 14, 1250453, 2023.
- 47. ZHU L., JIA X., LI M., WANG Y., ZHANG J., HOU J., WANG X. Associative effectiveness of bio-organic fertilizer and soil conditioners derived from the fermentation of food waste applied to greenhouse saline soil in Shan Dong Province, China. Applied Soil Ecology. 167, 104006, 2021.
- 48. LI W.X., ZHANG F.Y., CUI G.H., WANG Y.N., YANG J.G., CHENG H.C., LIU H.W., ZHANG L.P. Effects of bio-organic

fertilizer on soil fertility, microbial community composition, and potato growth. Scienceasia. **47** (3), 347, **2021**.

- 49. KIRCHMANN H., SCHÖN M., BÖRJESSON G., HAMNÉR K., KÄTTERER T. Properties of soils in the Swedish long-term fertility experiments: VII. Changes in topsoil and upper subsoil at Örja and Fors after 50 years of nitrogen fertilization and manure application. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B – Soil & Plant Science. 63 (1), 25, 2013.
- FU G., HE Y. Responses of soil fungal and bacterial communities to long-term organic and inorganic nitrogenous fertilizers in an alpine agriculture. Applied Soil Ecology. 201, 105498, 2024.
- ZHANG X., LIU Y., MO X., HUANG Z., ZHU Y., LI H., JIANG L., TAN Z., YANG Z., ZHU Y., HUANG J., ZENG B., ZHUO R. Ectomycorrhizal Fungi and Biochar Promote Soil Recalcitrant Carbon Increases under Arsenic Stress. Journal of Hazardous Materials. 137598, 2025.
- DEYELL M., OPUU V., GRIFFITHS A.D., TANS S.J., NGHE P. Global regulators enable bacterial adaptation to a phenotypic trade-off. iScience. 28 (1), 111521, 2025.
- 53. MOUGI A. pH Adaptation stabilizes bacterial communities. npj Biodiversity. **3** (1), 32, **2024**.
- 54. JOSEPH B., BABU S. Effect of Organic and Chemical Fertilizer on the Diversity of Rhizosphere and Leaf Microbial Composition in Sunflower Plant. Current Microbiology. 81 (10), 331, 2024.
- 55. KONG H.G., SANG M.K., AN J.H., KIM S., JIN Y.J., SONG J. Changes in the Composition and Microbial Community of the Pepper Rhizosphere in Field with Bacterial Wilt Disease. Plant Pathology Journal. 38 (6), 692, 2022.
- 56. LI K., XING X., WANG S., LIAO R., HASSAN M.U., AAMER M., BARBANTI L., WEN T., XU H. Organic fertilisation enhances network complexity among bacteria, fungi, and protists by improving organic matter and phosphorus in acidic agricultural soils. European Journal of Soil Biology. **122**, 103649, **2024**.
- 57. WANG C., JIA Y., WANG Q., YAN F., WU M., LI X., FANG W., XU F., LIU H., QIU Z. Responsive change of crop-specific soil bacterial community to cadmium in farmlands surrounding mine area of Southeast China br. Environmental Research. 214, 113748, 2022.
- ZHOU X., TAHVANAINEN T., MALARD L., CHEN L., PÉREZ-PÉREZ J., BERNINGER F. Global analysis of soil bacterial genera and diversity in response to pH. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 198, 109552, 2024.
- 59. KRUCZYNSKA A., KUZNIAR A., JACEK P., SLOMCZEWSKI A., GRZADZIEL J., MARZEC-GRZADZIEL A., GALAZKA A., WOLINSKA A. Bacteroidota structure in the face of varying agricultural practices as an important indicator of soil quality - a culture independent approach. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment. 342, 108252, 2023.
- WU T., QIN Y., LI M. Intercropping of Tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.) and Chinese Chestnut: Variation in the Structure of Rhizosphere Bacterial Communities. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 21 (3), 2178, 2021.
- LIU Q., TANG L., SUN H., KONG X., JIAO Y., WU W., LI S., SHEN Y. Responses of the fungal-bacterial community and network to surface mulching and nitrogen

fertilization in the Loess Plateau. Plant and Soil. **494** (1-2), 111, **2024**.

- 62. MA A., ZHUANG X., WU J., CUI M., LV D., LIU C., ZHUANG G. Ascomycota Members Dominate Fungal Communities during Straw Residue Decomposition in Arable Soil. PLoS ONE. 8 (6), e66146, 2013.
- 63. LIU L., TSYUSKO O.V., UNRINE J.M., LIU S., LIU Y., GUO L., WEI G., CHEN C. Pristine and Sulfidized Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles Promote the Release and Decomposition of Organic Carbon in the Legume Rhizosphere. Environmental Science & Technology. 57 (24), 8943, 2023.
- 64. ZHALNINA K., DIAS R., DE QUADROS P.D., DAVIS-RICHARDSON A., CAMARGO F.A.O., CLARK I.M., MCGRATH S.P., HIRSCH P.R., TRIPLETT E.W. Soil pH Determines Microbial Diversity and Composition in the Park Grass Experiment. Microbial Ecology. 69 (2), 395, 2015.
- ZHAO Y., WANG Z., CAI K., WANG S., WRIGHT A L., JIANG X. Stability of nitrogen-cycling microbial communities and impact on microbial nitrogen function under different land use practices. Applied Soil Ecology. 204, 105729, 2024.
- 66. PARADES-AGUILAR J., CALDERON K., AGUSTIN-SALAZAR S., CERRUTI P., AMBROGI V., GAMEZ-MEZA N., MEDINA-JUAREZ L.A. Isolation and identification of metallotolerant bacteria with a potential biotechnological application. Scientific Reports. 14 (1), 3663, 2024.
- JONES D.L., NGUYEN C., FINLAY R.D. Carbon flow in the rhizosphere: carbon trading at the soil-root interface. Plant and Soil. 321 (1-2), 5, 2009.
- ZHAO X.-Y., GAO J.-L., YU X.-F., BORJIGIN Q.-G., QU J., ZHANG B.-Z., ZHANG S.-N., LI Q., GUO J.-A., LI D.-B. Evaluation of the microbial community in various saline alkaline-soils driven by soil factors of the Hetao Plain, Inner Mongolia. Scientific Reports. 14 (1), 28931, 2024.
- 69. HUANG C., HE Y., ZHOU L., LIU R., CHEN H., DU Z., FU Y., ZHU Y., ZHOU Y., WU C., ZHOU G., ZHOU X. Opposite effects of soil pH on bacteria and fungi β diversity in forests at a continental scale. Journal of Environmental Management. **370**, 122428, **2024**.
- 70. FAN H., ZHANG Y., LI J., JIANG J., WAHEED A., WANG S., RASHEED S.M., ZHANG L., ZHANG R. Effects of Organic Fertilizer Supply on Soil Properties, Tomato Yield, and Fruit Quality: A Global Meta-Analysis. Sustainability. 15 (3), 2556, 2023.
- YU Y., CHEN L., DUAN W. Responses of bacterial and fungal communities to short-term nitrogen and phosphorus additions in temperate forest soil aggregates in northeastern China. Applied Soil Ecology. 197, 105341, 2024.
- 72. BABAR S., BALOCH A., QASIM M., WANG J.Y., WANG X.L., LI Y.X., KHALID S., JIANG C.C. Unearthing the soil-bacteria nexus to enhance potassium bioavailability for global sustainable agriculture: A mechanistic preview. Microbiological Research. 288, 127885, 2024.
- WHALEN E.D., GRANDY A.S., GEYER K.M., MORRISON E.W., FREY S.D. Microbial trait multifunctionality drives soil organic matter formation potential. Nature Communications. 15 (1), 10209, 2024.

Supplementary Material

Link to supplementary material: https://www.pjoes.com/SuppFile/204422/1/